

*A Conference Monograph on*

# **INNOVATIONS DRIVING EDUCATION IN THE AGE OF AI**

**Editors**

**Dr.A.Catherin Jayanthi  
Prof.G.Kalāiyāsan**

**Editorial Board Members**

**Prof.A.Selvan | Prof.R.Ramnath  
Dr.K.Govindarajan | Dr.N.Sasikumar | Dr.M.Mahendraprabu**

**IDEA-2026**

**DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

**ALAGAPPA UNIVERSITY**

[A State University accredited with A++ Grade by NAAC (CGPA 3.59)  
in the 4th Cycle under Dual Mode, Graded as Category-I University by UGC]  
Karaikudi - 630 003, Tamilnadu, India.



Padma Bhushan  
Vallabhi Dr. R.M. Annapurna Chatterjee

*Conference Monograph*  
*on*  
**Innovations Driving Education in the Age  
of Artificial Intelligence (IDEA-2026)**



**CHIEF EDITORS**

Dr.A.CATHERIN JAYANTHY  
Prof.G.Kalaiyarasan

**EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS**

Prof.A.Selvan  
Prof.R.Ramnath  
Dr.K.Govindarajan  
Dr.N.Sasikumar  
Dr.M.Mahendraprabu

**Published by**  
**DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**  
**ALAGAPPAUNIVERSITY**

(A State University Established in 1985)

Accredited with A+ Grade by NAAC (CGPA : 3.59) in the Third  
Cycle, Graded as Category-I University and Granted Autonomy by  
MHRD-UGC

**KARAIKUDI-630003, TamilNadu, India.**



Copyright@ 2026

All rights reserved.

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored, adapted or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronics, mechanical, photocopying, micro-filming recording or otherwise or translated in any language, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner and the publisher. The book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, resold, hired out or otherwise circulated without the prior publisher written consent in any form of binding or cover other than that in which it is published.

The views and opinions expressed in this book are author(s) own and the facts reported by him/her have been verified to the extent possible and the publishers are not in any way liable for the same.

International Conference on

**Innovations Driving Education in the Age of Artificial Intelligence  
(IDEA-2026)**

Conference Monograph

**ISBN: 978-93-5635-477-7**

Price: ₹ 750 /-

***Published by:***

*Department of Education,*

*Alagappa University,*

*Karaikudi.*

*Email: [audepartmentofeducation@gmail.com](mailto:audepartmentofeducation@gmail.com)*

*Ph No: 04565 - 230207*

*Printed in India at PrinThink, Karaikudi.*

## **135.EFFECTIVENESS OF AI TOOLS ON ACHIEVEMENT OF MATHEMATICS AMONG SCHOOL CHILDREN**

**Ajesh Kumar K M**

Research Scholar

School of Education, VISTAS

**Dr. K. SHEEBA**

Associate Professor

School of Education, VISTAS

### **Abstract**

Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools are increasingly used in school education to personalize instruction, provide immediate feedback, and support teachers in designing learning experiences. In mathematics education, where conceptual understanding, procedural fluency, and problem-solving skills develop through guided practice, feedback, and progressive challenge, AI tools can potentially strengthen learning outcomes by adapting tasks to students' needs and pacing. This conference article presents a conceptual analysis of the effectiveness of AI tools on mathematics achievement among school children, without presenting empirical data. It synthesizes established theoretical perspectives (e.g., constructivism, cognitive load theory, mastery learning, formative assessment) and aligns them with AI-enabled instructional functions such as adaptive practice, intelligent tutoring, automated feedback, learning analytics, gamified learning environments, and conversational tutoring. The article discusses key mechanisms through which AI tools may enhance achievement: individualized learning trajectories, error diagnosis and targeted remediation, metacognitive scaffolding, and sustained engagement. It also examines constraints that moderate effectiveness, including bias in algorithms, inequitable access, over-reliance on automation, limited transparency, and risks to student agency. Pedagogical integration is treated as central: AI tools are most likely to improve mathematics achievement when embedded within coherent curriculum goals, teacher-guided classroom routines, and inclusive assessment practices. The article concludes with practical recommendations for implementation, professional development, ethical governance, and research directions focusing on long-term learning, conceptual depth, and equity.

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence, mathematics achievement, school children, adaptive learning, intelligent tutoring systems, formative feedback, learning analytics, educational technology.

### **Introduction**

Mathematics achievement is a foundational indicator of educational progress and a strong predictor of later academic and occupational opportunities. Yet mathematics learning remains challenging for many school children due to the abstract nature of concepts, cumulative skill dependencies, and anxiety associated with evaluation and error. Traditional classroom teaching—often constrained by time, class size, and diverse learner readiness—frequently struggles to deliver truly individualized instruction. In this context, AI tools have gained attention as a potentially transformative educational resource capable of supporting personalized learning at scale.

This article provides a conceptual conference-level discussion of the effectiveness of AI tools on mathematics achievement among school children. It does not report data, experiments, or statistical findings. Instead, it reviews educational theory and widely recognized design principles, synthesizes how AI functions map onto cognitive and pedagogical processes, identifies risks and limitations, and proposes actionable recommendations for educators and policy stakeholders.

## Conceptualizing “Mathematics Achievement” in School Contexts

Mathematics achievement is commonly interpreted as performance on tests, examinations, or standardized assessments. Yet achievement in mathematics is multidimensional. A complete view includes:

1. **Conceptual Understanding:** grasping mathematical ideas, relationships, and representations (e.g., understanding fractions as numbers, not just procedures).
2. **Procedural Fluency:** accurate and efficient execution of procedures (e.g., operations, algebraic manipulation).
3. **Strategic Competence:** the ability to formulate and solve problems, choose methods, and plan solution pathways.
4. **Adaptive Reasoning:** logical thinking, justification, and proof-like explanation.
5. **Productive Disposition:** confidence, persistence, and seeing mathematics as meaningful.

AI tools can influence achievement across these dimensions differently. For example, adaptive practice may strongly support procedural fluency, while intelligent tutoring and conversational scaffolding may support conceptual understanding and reasoning when designed to prompt explanation. Therefore, evaluating effectiveness requires attention to what aspect of achievement is targeted and how it is measured in school systems.

### Categories of AI Tools Used in School Mathematics

AI tools relevant to mathematics learning can be grouped into functional categories:

#### a) Adaptive Learning Systems

These platforms adjust content difficulty, sequencing, and pacing based on learner performance. They typically use models of learner mastery to present appropriately challenging tasks and to revisit prerequisite skills when gaps are detected.

#### b) Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS)

ITS provide structured guidance resembling one-to-one tutoring, often with stepwise hints, error-specific feedback, and scaffolds that model expert problem-solving processes.

#### c) Automated Feedback and Assessment Tools

These systems evaluate responses (including open-ended work in some cases), detect common misconceptions, and provide immediate feedback. Some tools can analyze intermediate steps rather than only final answers.

#### d) Learning Analytics and Teacher Dashboards

These tools generate reports on student progress, error patterns, time-on-task, and mastery levels, supporting teacher decision-making for grouping, remediation, and enrichment.

#### e) Conversational AI and Virtual Assistants

Chat-based tools can generate explanations, pose questions, engage students in practice dialogue, and support metacognitive reflection. Their educational value depends strongly on guardrails and pedagogy.

#### f) Gamified AI-Based Learning Environments

These tools use game elements with AI-driven personalization to sustain engagement and provide practice in an immersive format.

Each category offers distinct affordances, and their contributions to achievement depend on alignment with learning goals.

## **Mechanisms Through Which AI Tools May Enhance Mathematics Achievement**

### **Personalization of Learning Pathways**

A central promise of AI is personalization: matching learning experiences to a student's readiness. In mathematics, where skills are hierarchical, gaps in basic number sense can hinder later algebra, geometry, or problem solving. Adaptive tools can identify missing prerequisites and assign targeted practice.

Personalization supports achievement by:

- preventing learners from repeatedly failing on content they are not ready for;
- accelerating learning for advanced students through enrichment;
- maintaining optimal challenge (neither too easy nor too hard), which supports engagement and persistence.

### **Immediate, Specific Feedback and Error Diagnosis**

Feedback is most effective when timely, specific, and actionable. AI tools can provide rapid feedback after each attempt, allowing students to learn from mistakes. Some AI tools can classify error types (e.g., sign errors in algebra, misconception about fraction magnitude) and propose targeted remediation.

When feedback goes beyond “right/wrong” to explain why an answer is incorrect and how to correct it, it can promote conceptual learning and reduce the accumulation of misconceptions.

### **Increased Practice Opportunities with Quality Control**

Achievement in mathematics requires sustained practice. AI tools can expand practice opportunities beyond classroom time. Crucially, practice must be purposeful: repetition alone does not ensure learning. AI tools can maintain practice quality by interleaving topics, spacing review, and mixing problem types to strengthen transfer.

#### **2.4.4 Scaffolding of Problem-Solving Processes**

Many students struggle not because they cannot compute, but because they cannot plan solution strategies. Intelligent tutoring can scaffold:

- understanding the problem statement;
- identifying knowns and unknowns;
- selecting appropriate formulas or strategies;
- checking solutions for reasonableness.

Such scaffolding can strengthen strategic competence and adaptive reasoning—key components of achievement.

#### **2.4.5 Engagement and Motivation Through Interactive Learning**

For some learners, AI tools may reduce mathematics anxiety by providing private practice without public embarrassment. Gamified environments can increase time-on-task by making practice more engaging. However, engagement must be connected to meaningful mathematical activity rather than superficial rewards, otherwise improvements may be short-lived.

#### **2.4.6 Teacher Support Through Analytics and Instructional Decisions**

AI tools can strengthen achievement indirectly by supporting teachers. Dashboards can reveal which students struggle with specific subskills, enabling targeted remediation and differentiated instruction.

This helps teachers allocate instructional time efficiently and focus on conceptual difficulties rather than only grading.

## Conclusion

AI tools can be effective in improving mathematics achievement among school children when they provide personalized learning pathways, timely and specific feedback, targeted remediation, and structured support for problem solving. Their impact is maximized when embedded within coherent curriculum-aligned instruction and mediated by teachers who use AI analytics to differentiate support. However, effectiveness is not automatic; it is constrained by tool quality, implementation fidelity, equity of access, and ethical governance. AI tools should be positioned as instructional supports that enhance—not replace—teacher expertise and student agency. A balanced approach that combines AI-enabled personalization with teacher-led conceptual teaching, mathematical discourse, and authentic assessment offers the most plausible pathway to sustained improvements in mathematics achievement.

## References

1. Anderson, J. R., Corbett, A. T., Koedinger, K. R., & Pelletier, R. (1995). Cognitive tutors: Lessons learned. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 4(2), 167–207.
2. Bloom, B. S. (1984). The 2 sigma problem: The search for methods of group instruction as effective as one-to-one tutoring. *Educational Researcher*, 13(6), 4–16.
3. Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (Eds.). (2000). *How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School*. National Academies Press.
4. Chevallard, Y. (1991). *La transposition didactique*. La Pensée Sauvage.
5. Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81–112.
6. Koedinger, K. R., Anderson, J. R., Hadley, W. H., & Mark, M. A. (1997). Intelligent tutoring goes to school in the big city. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 8, 30–43.
7. Mayer, R. E. (2009). *Multimedia Learning* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
8. National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM). (2000). *Principles and Standards for School Mathematics*. NCTM.
9. National Research Council. (2001). *Adding It Up: Helping Children Learn Mathematics*. National Academies Press.
10. OECD. (2021). *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Challenges and Opportunities for Sustainable Development*. OECD Publishing.
11. Piaget, J. (1970). *Science of Education and the Psychology of the Child*. Orion Press.
12. Shute, V. J. (2008). Focus on formative feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 78(1), 153–189.
13. Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. *Cognitive Science*, 12(2), 257–285.
14. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Harvard University Press.
15. Wiliam, D. (2011). *Embedded Formative Assessment*. Solution Tree Press.