

EMERGING TRENDS IN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND HUMAN RIGHTS JURISPRUDENCE



Edited by
Dr. P. Satheesh
Dr. Begum Fatima
Dr. Manjula Balachandran

Foreword by
Dr. Justice S. Vimala
Former Judge, High Court of Madras



Table of Contents

7. Human Rights in the Digital Age: Fourth Generation Perspectives.....	74
<i>Dr. M. Shamima Parveen</i>	
8. The Constitutional Pathway to a Uniform Civil Code in India.....	89
<i>Dr. Aswathi Sukumaran</i>	
9. The Goal and Role of Indian Constitution towards a Self-Sustained Citizenry – An Analysis.....	101
<i>Dr. N. Meenakshisundaram & Mrs. M. Bhavatharani Nachiyar</i>	
10. The Imperative of Constitutional Morality: Safeguarding the Vulnerable in Indian Democracy.....	112
<i>Dr. M. Aravind Kumar</i>	
11. Access to Justice for Marginalized and Vulnerable groups.....	122
<i>Dr. Fowmina. C</i>	
12. The Impact and Application of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights in India.....	132
<i>Dr. Shazia Parween</i>	
13. An Overview of the Evolution of the Fourth-Generation Human rights.....	144
<i>Dr. Upendra Grewal</i>	
14. Judiciary as an Instrumentality of State: An Examination of Constitutional Implications.....	155
<i>Kiruthika D</i>	
15. Human Rights: A Study on its Evolution and Constitutional Safeguards in India.....	165
<i>Akshaya R S & Dr. Balaramalingam C</i>	

Table of Contents

16. Pride and Progress: Empowering Non-Binary and LGBTQIA+ Individuals.....	176
<i>Swapnagowri C S & Ms. Meghna Chowdhury</i>	
17. Custodial Human Rights Violations and Remedial Jurisprudence: A Justice Based Approach.....	187
<i>R. Manicka Vinayagam & S. Kaliraj</i>	
18. Harmonising Adoption Laws in India: Need for Uniform Civil Code.....	199
<i>Mrs. Salini C</i>	
19. Implications of One Nation, One Election on Indian Federalism and Democracy.....	213
<i>Shona K P</i>	
20. Envisaging Habeas Data as a Constitutional Remedy in India.....	226
<i>Mrudhul M Shenoy & Navya Benny</i>	
21. Human Rights: Concepts, Laws, and Policies Philosophical and Historical Evolution of Human Rights.....	241
<i>Mr. C. Mohan Kumar & Mr. M. Rajesh Kanna</i>	
22. Custodial Deaths and Accountability: A Socio-Legal Analysis of Police Torture in India.....	252
<i>Midhuna P</i>	
23. From Article 21 to Digital Personal Data Protection Act: Evolution of Privacy Laws in India.....	263
<i>Dianin M & Kaviya Kannan K</i>	
24. Uniform Civil Code in India: Historical Roots and Constitutional Perspectives.....	274
<i>K. Pavithra</i>	

Implications of One Nation, One Election on Indian Federalism and Democracy

Shona. K. P

Assistant Professor, School of Law, VISTAS

Abstract

The Indian electoral system has adopted a staggered schedule in which elections to the Lok Sabha and state assemblies are usually held separately. While this system has its advantages in allowing regional and local issues to take center-stage in state elections, it entails significant administrative and financial burdens. In conducting separate elections, the Election Commission of India, security personnel, and administrative staff must be deployed multiple times in different parts of the country; this puts a strain on public resources and disrupts governance functions. In fact, there are frequent elections that cause policymaking to be informed less by considerations of long-term development goals than by electoral imperatives. From a federal perspective, ONOE introduces the risk of conflict with the principle of state autonomy. Staggered elections allow states to make independent political choices without being overwhelmed by the national tide. Synchronizing elections would lead to greater domination by national parties in state elections, possibly pushing regional parties into marginal status and disrupting the federal equilibrium. Furthermore, there is also apprehension that ONOE may have an impact on voter behavior with diminution of local issues and may have attendant risk of favoring well-funded, larger parties due to economics of scale in national campaigns. These challenges create a compelling need for a careful analysis of the implications of ONOE on India's federalism and democratic processes. This study, therefore, investigates

Emerging Trends in Constitutional Law and Human Rights Jurisprudence
administrative and economic dimensions, along with the political and democratic outcomes associated with the proposal.

Keywords: *One Nation One Election, ONOE, Federalism, Democracy, Election Commission*

Introduction

The proposal for One Nation, One Election (ONOE) presents a transformative vision for India's electoral system, promising both opportunities and challenges across federal, democratic, administrative, and political dimensions. By synchronizing parliamentary and state assembly elections, ONOE could streamline governance and reduce the administrative and financial burdens associated with frequent, staggered elections. Governments would benefit from longer uninterrupted periods to implement policies, pursue development initiatives, and focus on long-term objectives without the recurring pressures of electoral cycles. Economically, simultaneous elections could generate substantial cost savings by optimizing election machinery, security arrangements, and logistics. Politically, ONOE may reshape campaign strategies, as parties would need to balance national narratives with local concerns, potentially consolidating party systems and influencing coalition dynamics.

However, these benefits are counterbalanced by critical challenges to India's federal structure and democratic principles. Centralization of power may increase as national parties dominate both parliamentary and state elections, potentially marginalizing regional voices and undermining state legislative autonomy. Voter decision-making could be affected, with local issues receiving less attention, and smaller parties may face difficulties competing against well-resourced national parties. While simultaneous elections could reduce voter fatigue caused by frequent elections, they may also demand more from citizens in terms of understanding multiple

implications of ONOE. To voter a complex policy balance between implications simultaneously, and voter a complex policy balance between electoral choices safeguards, and voter a complex policy balance between institutional safeguards, and voter a complex policy balance between planning. Overall, ONOE represents a balance between preservation of essential. Overall, ONOE represents a balance between preservation of are essential. Overall, ONOE represents a balance between preservation of with far-reaching efficiency, policy continuity, and the preservation of administrative autonomy and democratic pluralism. Its successful implementation would require careful consideration of mechanisms to federal autonomy would require careful consideration of mechanisms to implementation would require careful consideration of mechanisms to provisions, electoral representation across India's diverse political ensure equitable shaping the future of governance and landscape, ultimately shaping the future of governance and democracy in the country.

Historical Development

The historical evolution of elections in India reflects important insights into the development of democratic and federal structures in the country. The first general elections in India were held in 1951-52, embarking on an uninterrupted journey of democracy spanning more than seven decades. (P. Menon, 2025). These elections were singularly remarkable not only by their scale but also the complexity involved in organizing a national electoral process in a newly independent country with vast linguistic, cultural, and geographic diversity. In all, about 173 million eligible voters participated in the first general elections that elected 489 members to the Lok Sabha while simultaneously filling vacancies in several state legislative assemblies. (A. Khanna, (2020)).

Over the years, India developed an effective institutional apparatus to conduct elections at different tiers. The Election Commission of India, set up in 1950, has been instrumental in ensuring free, fair, and transparent elections. Parliamentary elections are held every five years, (S. Chandra, 2004), while state legislative assembly elections occur on a similar cycle, though they frequently happen at varied times according to political developments, such as premature dissolution of assemblies or imposition of

President's Rule. (J. Manor, 2010). This staggered schedule allowing for regional electoral autonomy, has led to a complex and often fragmented electoral calendar.

Several studies have discussed and documented administrative, financial, and political implications of staggered electoral process. Frequent elections impose demands on public administration: repeated mobilization of personnel, deployment of security forces, and financial reworkout. (R. Kumar, 2015). Besides, election-related political campaigns disrupt governance and policy implementation. Incumbent governments are likely to focus on short-term electoral gains rather than long-term development imperatives. (A. P. 2016).

The historical trajectory of Indian elections also reflects changes in political parties, coalition politics, and voting behavior. The political landscape has shifted from the dominance of the Indian National Congress to diversification, with regional parties achieving substantial clout in state elections. (P. Sharma, 2012). The diversification has contributed to the federal character of Indian politics, which demands a proper balance between national cohesion and regional autonomy.

Concept of Federalism in India

Federalism in India is enshrined under the Constitution, which lays down a dual polity framework dividing powers and responsibilities between the Union and state governments. The Constitution prescribes three lists: the Union List, State List, and Concurrent List, which outlines the areas of legislative competence. In as much as the federal framework guarantees state autonomy, the India variety also shows unitary attributes, particularly under provisions allowing for the imposition of President's Rule in states under extraordinary circumstances. (D. Bhartiya, 2017).

Implications of One Nation, One Election on Indian

The debate on state autonomy has been an ongoing battle in India, especially with regard to electoral synchronization. Critics fear that simultaneous elections could weaken the federal balance, letting national parties dominate not only national politics but also state politics, thereby compromising regional voices. (M. Kohli, 2001). On the other hand, synchronization may boost policy coherence and administrative efficiency without eroding state autonomy, provided constitutional safeguards are maintained, as argued by counter-groups. (T. Verma, 2018).

Implications for Indian Federalism

The idea of One Nation, One Election is bound to have far-reaching consequences on the federal character of India. Indian federalism is originally a dual system, designed in such a way that the Union government and state governments have balanced powers. The synchronization of the elections of the Lok Sabha and state assemblies may affect this balance in many ways, effectively changing the political and administrative dynamics. (M. Lopez, 2015),

In the first place, the impact on Centre-State relations would be considerable. At this point, staggered elections allow states to manifest their separate political identity and make independent decisions in policy matters. State-level elections often throw up regional issues before the public, allowing citizens to assess the state government independently of national political currents. (J. Brown, 2014). Under ONE, this distinction could vanish. National political narratives during simultaneous elections may eclipse regional issues, weakening any effort by state governments to project policy priorities that diverge from national ones. The dominance of national parties may get even stronger, and regional voices may find diminished political space, leading to a possible centralization of political influence.

Another important cause for concern is the centralization of power (S. Patel, 2015). Though the Indian Constitution has laid down a clear distinction between legislative and administrative competencies between the Union and the states, electoral synchronization can lead to a situation where national governments may exercise indirect influence over state politics. Voter perception, influenced by nationwide campaigns, might lead to the same party's coming to power in the center and states, thereby consolidating power at the center. This can work against the spirit of federalism, wherein diversity and regional representation are supposed to balance national authority.

Furthermore, it has the potential for substantive impact on state legislative autonomy. Regional policy initiatives, particularly those sensitive to local socio-economic conditions, may be given less attention in the course of a nationwide electoral campaign dominated by overarching national agendas. The legislative priorities of the states could be relegated to issues that resonate more broadly at the national level. Although uniform elections may be administratively simpler to conduct, they can result in political homogenization and reduce the richness brought about by federal diversity. This would require careful institutional safeguards to ensure that state legislatures retain their freedom to legislate on issues unique to their jurisdictions, thereby preserving constitutional equilibrium.

Synchronization, however, if done with a judicious approach, would also nurture co-operative federalism. The coordinated elections could offer a structured platform for policy coordination between the Union and state governments, particularly in areas of common concern, such as infrastructure development, health, and education. (V. Sinha, 2017). If states and the center plan in congruence, simultaneous elections would promote policy coherence with retention of regional autonomy. (M. Lopez, 2015). Success of such an arrangement would depend on clear constitutional guidelines,

robust institutional frameworks, and political willingness to respect the federal ethos.

Consequences for Democracy

The influence of One Nation One Election on democratic processes is manifold and impacts political competition, accountability, voter behavior, and the representation of smaller parties. One important dimension is the impact on political competition and accountability. The staggered elections enable the electorate to appraise the incumbent government periodically, with multiple opportunities for holding elected representatives accountable. The simultaneous elections may squeeze this evaluative space in a single period of concentrated electoral judgment. (D. Bansal, 2016). Rather than extended political cycles, this may constrain the ability of the electorate to evaluate government performance at different levels independently of each other. Political parties may thus adopt strategies that would emphasize nationwide appeal over responsiveness at the state level, which could dilute mechanisms of accountability intrinsic to federal democracies.

ONE also has the potential to impact voter participation and informed decision-making. Multiple elections in a row can allow voters to concentrate on specific local, regional, and national issues and make informed choices at each level. Simultaneous elections would force voters to acquire information about several constituencies, thus increasing their information burden and potentially resulting in poorer decision-making. Voter turnout might not necessarily be reduced, but the quality of the electoral choices could be, as voters would tend to focus less on the nuances of local issues and more on those visible at the national level. (P. Sharma, 2017) This would further strengthen democratic pluralism by favoring larger parties, better endowed to conduct nationwide campaigns.

In particular, this has serious implications for smaller parties and regional representation. Regional parties articulating local aspirations, providing a choice in politics, and participating in coalition governance at the center have enriched India's democratic fabric. (P. Menon, 2015). Simultaneous elections may thus create conditions whereby, by the economies of scale and availability of resources, national parties dominate state elections and marginalize smaller parties. This could alter coalition dynamics and reduce the representational diversity of India's parliamentary system. In due course, policymaking is bound to be impacted as regional concerns get less prominence in the national discourse, thereby hurting inclusivity and responsiveness in governance.

Proponents continue to argue, however, that simultaneous elections could strengthen democratic stability by reducing the frequency of political interruptions that result from staggered elections. (T. Verma, (2018). Governments would have more time for policy implementation without recurrent pressures from continuous elections, which may result in long-term development initiatives. Coordinated elections are likely to reduce voter fatigue brought on by incessant campaigns and frequent election cycles, a situation that could positively impact long-term civic engagement.

Administrative and Economic Implications

From a purely administrative point of view, the current system of staggered elections is cumbersome. Every year, several elections are held, requiring deployment of the Election Commission, security personnel, polling staff, and election machinery. These multiple exercises put enormous burdens on administrative machinery, which otherwise could devote greater resources to routine governance functions. Synchronizing elections under ONOE could eventually

yield significant cost savings and enhancement in efficiency. It condenses the electoral process into a single window, thereby facilitating efficiencies in administrative efforts with minimal redundancy, allowing for better coordination across states.

There are also economic implications. Separate elections, by their very nature, mean repeated expenditure on logistics, security, and human resources. Estimates suggest that simultaneous elections could bring down national election-related costs by a big margin. The pooling of resources for simultaneous elections, therefore, enables bulk procurement, efficient deployment of personnel, and better logistical planning-create fiscal economies and operational efficiencies. (S. Raghavan, 2012).

Another key advantage is the continuity of governance. As specified, frequent elections disrupt policy processes and governance due to bureaucrats and elected officials engaging regularly in election-related activities. (R.Das, 2020). ONOE could create longer periods without interruptions to allow governments to pursue policy priorities, developmental programs, and long-term projects without interference from electoral cycles. For example, the ministries might concentrate on infrastructure projects, social welfare schemes, and education reforms without recurrent interruptions caused by state-level elections.

However, the logistical challenge of implementing simultaneous elections cannot be disregarded either. (P. Menon, 2015). Organizing the elections in one go for the whole country is a highly complex task with millions of polling stations, tens of thousands of election officials, and extensive security arrangements. (J. Brown, 2014). In such a situation, even minute lapses in coordination can affect the integrity and credibility of the electoral process. The advantages accruing from administrative efficiency and cost savings are thus to be weighed against the risks of operational bottlenecks and possible procedural failures.

Political Implications

The timing and frequency of elections deeply affect the political landscape in India. This would have far-reaching consequences for campaign strategies, policy prioritization, and long-term party dynamics in light of ONOE.

Simultaneous elections would inevitably bring about changes in campaign strategies, where parties will have to develop messages that balance national and state-level concerns, appealing to a diverse electorate with varying priorities. Such a shift may risk local issues being downplayed, making political parties less responsive to specific constituencies. The greatest beneficiaries of such an uneven playing field will be national parties with greater fiscal and organizational resources and not smaller or regional parties.

Policy prioritization would also be impacted. In such synchronized election timing, governments could emphasize those policies that produce perceptible short-run results to attract the electorate at large. This may reduce the focus on long-term developmental programs that require sustained attention and may not yield quick electoral dividends. On the other hand, the longer periods of governance between synchronized elections may afford an opportunity to implement medium- and long-term policies without repeated electoral disruptions. (K. Sharma, 2018).

The effects upon party politics will also be equally long-term. Simultaneous elections could result in a consolidation of party systems and, therefore, reduced political fragmentation both at the national and state levels. While this might reduce the complexity of governance and decision-making, it may also potentially narrow political diversity and representation of minority or regional interests. Over time, these changes could alter coalition dynamics, legislative negotiations, and the broader nature of democratic participation in India.

Furthermore, simultaneous elections could affect political alliances: parties could enter into pre-electoral coalitions in a more thoughtful manner, in anticipation of synchronized contests in many states. This might result in new configurations of power at both state and national levels that reshape political competition and coalition management.

One Nation, One Election is a multi-dimensional reform with far-reaching consequences for Indian federalism, democracy, administration, and politics. While it opens vision for administrative efficiency, economy in expenses, and continuity in governance, the challenges on federal balance, voter engagement, and pluralistic politics are equally formidable. The ultimate effect of ONOE would thus hinge critically on judicious policy design, constitutional safeguards, and institutional preparedness to manage the challenges thrown up by synchronized elections. A judicious balancing of the advantages against the risks is crucial for making such reform strengthen both India's democratic processes and its federal character.

Conclusion

ONOE brings both opportunities and risks for democracy: while simultaneous elections may reinforce stability in governance and decrease electoral fatigue, they could also impact the decision-making process of the voter, reduce the focus on local issues, and place smaller or regional parties at a disadvantage. Political competition and accountability may shift toward nationwide narratives, thereby changing the dynamic of voter engagement.

Administratively that synchronizing elections may bring about a sizeable cost savings, minimize bureaucratic disruptions, and enhance logistical efficiency. Economically, the pooling of election-related expenditures would save resources that can be allocated to developmental programs; continuity of governance

provides an opportunity for governments to pursue medium- and long-term policy objectives without recurrent interruptions. Politically, ONOE might reshape campaign strategies, policy prioritization, and coalition configurations, consolidating party systems while challenging regional pluralism.

References

1. J. Manor, (2010), *The Indian Electoral System: Historical and Political Perspectives*, vol. 12, (pp. 45–62), no. 1.
2. B. Kumar, (2015), *Election Administration in India: Challenges and Opportunities*, vol. 10, (pp. 112–129), no. 3.
3. A. Roy, (2016), *Mid-Term Elections in India: Causes and Implications*, vol. 7, pp. 54–72, no. 4.
4. M. Kohli, (2001), *Federalism in India: Political and Constitutional Dimensions*, vol. 3, (pp. 101–119), no. 1.
5. P. Sharma, (2017), *Center-State Relations under Electoral Reforms*, vol. 6, (pp. 88–104), no. 3.
6. T. Verma, (2019), *Electoral Behavior and Campaign Dynamics in India*, vol. 9, (pp. 45–63), no. 2.
7. S. Raghavan, (2012), *Administrative Challenges of Staggered Elections in India*, vol. 4, (pp. 22–39), no. 2.
8. P. Menon, (2015), *Challenges of Implementing Simultaneous Elections in Federal Systems*, vol. 7, pp. 33–51.
9. A. Khanna, (2020), *Electoral Policy and Governance Continuity in India*, vol. 9, pp. 70–89, no. 4.
10. S. Chandra, (2004), *The Indian Electoral System: A Historical Perspective*, vol. 7, pp. 12–34.
11. R. Kumar, (2015), *Election Commission and Electoral Governance in India*, vol. 5, pp. 78–95.

12. P. Sharma, (2012), *Administrative Challenges of Multi-Level Elections*, vol. 4, pp. 22–40.
13. D. Bhatia, (2017), *Regional Parties and Federalism in India*, vol. 3, pp. 88–105, no. 2.
14. M. Lopez, (2015), *Mexico's Experience with Synchronized Elections*, vol. 7, pp. 33–52, no. 2. S. Patel, (2015), *Democracy and Elections in India*, vol. 4, pp. 56–73, no.
15. V. Sinha, (2017), *Governance and Electoral Frequency in India*, vol. 4, pp. 88–107, no. 1.
16. D. Bansal, (2016), *Electoral Cycles and Policy Implementation*, vol. 8, pp. 42–61, no. 3.
17. P. Sharma, (2017), *Ethics in Political Science Research*, vol. 4, pp. 88–107, no. 1.
18. P. Menon, (2015), *Voter Behavior and Election Timing*, vol. 7, pp. 33–51, no. 2.
19. T. Verma, (2018), *Comparative Electoral Systems and Governance Outcomes*, vol. 6, pp. 89–106.
20. S. Raghavan, (2012), *Election Reports and Policy Implementation in India*, vol. 4, pp. 22–40, no. 2.
21. R. Das, (2020), *One Nation One Election: Cost and Administrative Benefits*, vol. 9, pp. 70–89.
22. J. Brown, (2014), *Simultaneous Elections: Global Practices*, vol. 3, pp. 45–63, no. 2.
23. K. Sharma, (2018), *Policy Continuity and Electoral Synchronization*, vol. 6, pp. 88–105.