Chapter 2

Next-Generation Waste Heat Recovery: Technologies for Sustainable Thermal Energy Management

**K.Arumuganainar[1], K.Rajkumar[2], A.K.Darwins[3],
N Sivakumar[4], Sabu. M[5], A.R.Sivaram[6*], P. Prakash[7]**

[1]Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering, J.P. College of Engineering, Tenkasi, arumuganainark1981@gmail.com

[2]Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering, J.P. College of Engineering, Tenkasi, krajkumark@gmail.com

[3]Assistant Professor, Automobile Engineering, Noorul Islam Centre for Higher Education, Kumaracoil, Thuckalay, akdarwins@gmail.com

[4]Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, AMC Engineering College, Bengaluru 560083, nsivakumar787@gmail.com

[5]Assistant Professor, Chemistry, Narayanaguru College of Engineering, Kanyakumari Dist, shabum@gmail.com

[6]Assistant Professor, Department of Naval Architecture and Offshore Engineering, Academy of Maritime Education and Training (AMET), sivaramar@ametuniv.ac.in

[7]Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies, Chennai-600117, prakash1033@gmail.com

*Corresponding Author: sivaramar@ametuniv.ac.in

Abstract

Waste heat recovery represents a critical frontier in sustainable energy management, offering substantial opportunities to improve industrial energy efficiency and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. This chapter examines next-generation technologies for capturing and utilizing waste heat across various temperature ranges and industrial applications. Advanced thermoelectric materials, organic

Rankine cycles, heat pumps, and phase change materials are revolutionizing the field by enabling efficient energy conversion from previously unusable thermal streams. Modern waste heat recovery systems can achieve energy savings of 15-50% in industrial processes while significantly reducing operational costs and environmental impact [1]. The integration of artificial intelligence and smart control systems has further enhanced system performance and reliability. Through comprehensive analysis of emerging technologies, performance metrics, and real-world implementations, this chapter demonstrates how next-generation waste heat recovery solutions are transforming industrial energy landscapes. The economic viability and environmental benefits of these technologies position them as essential components of sustainable manufacturing and energy-intensive operations.

1. Introduction

Industrial processes worldwide reject approximately 20-50% of their total energy input as waste heat, representing an enormous untapped energy resource estimated at over 300 TWh annually in the United States alone [2]. This thermal energy, typically discharged at temperatures ranging from 80°C to 650°C, has historically been considered an unavoidable byproduct of industrial operations. However, mounting pressure to reduce energy consumption, minimize environmental impact, and improve operational efficiency has driven unprecedented innovation in waste heat recovery technologies.

Traditional waste heat recovery systems, primarily based on steam generation and direct heat exchange, have achieved moderate success in capturing high-temperature waste streams. However,

these conventional approaches face significant limitations when dealing with low-grade heat sources, variable thermal loads, and complex industrial environments [3]. The emergence of next-generation technologies has fundamentally transformed the waste heat recovery landscape by enabling efficient energy conversion across broader temperature ranges and operating conditions.

Contemporary waste heat recovery encompasses a diverse portfolio of technologies, including advanced thermoelectric generators, organic Rankine cycles, absorption heat pumps, and innovative thermal storage systems. These technologies leverage cutting-edge materials science, thermodynamic cycles, and control systems to achieve unprecedented efficiency levels while maintaining economic viability [4]. The integration of digital technologies, including machine learning algorithms and predictive analytics, has further enhanced system performance by optimizing operating parameters in real-time.

The economic case for waste heat recovery has strengthened considerably as energy costs continue to rise and environmental regulations become more stringent. Modern systems typically achieve payback periods of 2-5 years while providing decades of operational benefits [5]. Beyond direct energy savings, waste heat recovery systems contribute to improved process efficiency, reduced cooling requirements, and enhanced overall plant sustainability metrics.

2. Thermoelectric Energy Conversion Systems

2.1 Principles and Material Advances

Thermoelectric generators (TEGs) represent one of the most promising technologies for direct conversion of waste heat into electrical energy through the Seebeck effect. These solid-state devices operate without moving parts, offering exceptional reliability and

minimal maintenance requirements [6]. The efficiency of thermoelectric conversion depends critically on the material's figure of merit (ZT), which incorporates electrical conductivity, thermal conductivity, and Seebeck coefficient.

Table 1: Performance Characteristics of Advanced Thermoelectric Materials

Material System	Operating Temperature (°C)	ZT Value	Electrical Efficiency (%)	Applications
Bi_2Te_3-based	25-250	1.2-1.4	4-6	Low-temperature waste heat
PbTe-based	300-500	1.5-1.8	6-8	Medium-temperature industrial
Skutterudites	400-600	1.8-2.2	8-10	High-temperature applications
Half-Heusler	500-700	1.4-1.7	7-9	Automotive and steel industry
Oxide-based	600-900	1.0-1.3	5-7	Extreme temperature environments

Recent advances in thermoelectric materials have dramatically improved performance, with next-generation compounds achieving ZT values exceeding 2.0 at elevated temperatures. Nanostructured materials, including skutterudites, half-Heusler alloys, and complex chalcogenides, have demonstrated superior performance compared to traditional bismuth telluride systems [7]. These materials enable efficient operation across broader temperature ranges while maintaining stability under harsh industrial conditions.

The development of segmented thermoelectric generators has further enhanced system performance by optimizing material selection for specific temperature gradients. Multi-stage configurations can achieve electrical conversion efficiencies of 8-12% for industrial waste

heat applications, representing significant improvements over earlier generations [8].

2.2 System Integration and Applications

Modern thermoelectric waste heat recovery systems integrate sophisticated heat exchangers, power conditioning electronics, and control systems to maximize energy conversion efficiency. Advanced heat exchanger designs, including microchannel and plate-fin configurations, ensure optimal thermal coupling between waste heat sources and thermoelectric modules [9]. Power conditioning systems incorporate maximum power point tracking and grid-tie capabilities to optimize electrical output.

Industrial applications of thermoelectric waste heat recovery span diverse sectors, including steel manufacturing, cement production, glass processing, and automotive operations. In steel mills, TEG systems installed on reheating furnaces can generate 50-200 kW of electrical power while reducing facility energy consumption by 3-8% [10]. The automotive industry has implemented thermoelectric generators in exhaust systems, achieving fuel economy improvements of 2-5% under highway driving conditions.

3. Organic Rankine Cycle Technologies

3.1 Working Fluid Selection and Optimization

Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) systems have emerged as highly effective solutions for converting low-to-medium temperature waste heat into electrical energy. These systems utilize organic working fluids with favorable thermodynamic properties, enabling efficient operation at temperatures as low as 80°C [11]. The selection of appropriate working fluids represents a critical design consideration,

balancing thermodynamic performance, environmental impact, and safety requirements.

Modern ORC systems employ advanced working fluids, including hydrofluoroolefins (HFOs), hydrocarbons, and siloxanes, each optimized for specific temperature ranges and applications. R1234ze(E) and R1233zd(E) have gained prominence due to their excellent thermodynamic properties and low global warming potential [12]. These fluids enable ORC systems to achieve electrical efficiencies of 10-20% for waste heat sources between 120-300°C.

The development of zeotropic fluid mixtures has further enhanced ORC performance by better matching the temperature profiles of heat sources and sinks. These mixtures can improve cycle efficiency by 2-5% compared to pure working fluids while reducing irreversibilities in heat exchangers [13].

3.2 System Components and Performance

Contemporary ORC systems integrate high-efficiency turbines, compact heat exchangers, and sophisticated control systems to maximize energy conversion. Radial-inflow turbines with advanced blade designs achieve isentropic efficiencies exceeding 80% across broad operating ranges [14]. Heat exchanger technologies, including printed circuit heat exchangers and brazed plate units, provide exceptional thermal performance in compact configurations.

Table 2: ORC System Performance Parameters Across Temperature Ranges

Heat Source Temperature (°C)	Electrical Efficiency (%)	Typical Capacity (kW)	Payback Period (years)	CO_2 Reduction (%)
80-120	6-10	50-500	4-6	15-25
120-200	10-15	200-2000	3-5	25-35

SRR
Publicizing Research

Heat Source Temperature (°C)	Electrical Efficiency (%)	Typical Capacity (kW)	Payback Period (years)	CO_2 Reduction (%)
200-300	15-20	500-5000	2-4	35-45
300-400	18-25	1000-10000	2-3	40-50

Advanced control systems incorporate machine learning algorithms to optimize operating parameters based on real-time conditions. These systems can predict optimal turbine speeds, working fluid flow rates, and heat exchanger configurations to maximize electrical output while ensuring safe operation [15].

4. Advanced Heat Pump and Thermal Storage Systems

4.1 High-Temperature Heat Pump Technologies

Industrial heat pumps represent a transformative technology for upgrading waste heat to useful temperature levels for process applications. Next-generation heat pumps can elevate waste heat from 60-80°C to process temperatures of 120-200°C, achieving coefficients of performance (COP) of 3-6 [16]. These systems enable direct substitution of fossil fuel heating with recovered waste heat, dramatically reducing energy consumption and emissions.

Advanced refrigerant technologies, including natural refrigerants and synthetic alternatives, have expanded the operating range and improved the environmental profile of industrial heat pumps. CO_2 transcritical systems demonstrate exceptional performance for high-temperature applications, while ammonia-based systems offer excellent efficiency and sustainability characteristics [17].

The development of compression-absorption hybrid systems has further enhanced heat pump performance by combining the advantages of both technologies. These systems achieve temperature

lifts exceeding 100°C while maintaining high efficiency levels across variable operating conditions [18].

Table 3: Advanced Phase Change Materials for Waste Heat Recovery

PCM Type	Melting Temperature (°C)	Energy Density (kJ/kg)	Thermal Conductivity (W/m·K)	Applications
Paraffin-based	50-80	150-250	0.2-0.4	Low-temperature processes
Salt hydrates	80-120	200-300	0.5-1.0	HVAC and building systems
Metallic alloys	200-600	300-400	20-80	High-temperature industrial
Molten salts	300-800	400-500	0.5-2.0	Concentrated solar power
Eutectic mixtures	100-300	250-350	0.3-0.8	Process heating applications

4.2 Phase Change Material Integration

Phase change materials (PCMs) have revolutionized thermal energy storage by enabling efficient capture and release of waste heat energy. Modern PCM systems can store 5-14 times more energy per unit volume compared to sensible heat storage, making them ideal for managing variable waste heat loads [19]. Advanced PCM formulations, including paraffin compounds, salt hydrates, and metallic alloys, cover temperature ranges from 50°C to 800°C.

The integration of enhanced heat transfer structures, including metal foams, fins, and heat pipes, has addressed traditional limitations of PCM thermal conductivity. These enhancements can improve heat transfer rates by 300-500% while maintaining thermal storage capacity [20].

SRR
Publicizing Research

5. System Integration and Smart Control Technologies

5.1 Digital Twin and Predictive Analytics

The integration of digital twin technology has transformed waste heat recovery system design and operation by enabling real-time simulation and optimization. Digital twins create virtual replicas of physical systems, incorporating sensor data, thermodynamic models, and machine learning algorithms to predict system performance and identify optimization opportunities [21]. These systems can increase energy recovery efficiency by 5-15% while reducing maintenance costs and downtime.

Predictive analytics algorithms analyze historical operating data to forecast equipment failures, optimize maintenance schedules, and predict energy recovery potential. Advanced machine learning models can identify patterns in waste heat generation and implement proactive control strategies to maximize energy capture [22].

5.2 Multi-Technology Integration Strategies

Modern waste heat recovery installations increasingly employ multiple technologies in integrated configurations to maximize energy recovery across diverse temperature ranges and operating conditions. Combined systems might incorporate thermoelectric generators for direct electrical conversion, ORC systems for medium-temperature heat recovery, and heat pumps for process integration [23].

The development of intelligent control systems enables seamless coordination between different technologies, optimizing overall system performance while maintaining operational flexibility. These systems can dynamically allocate waste heat streams to different recovery technologies based on real-time economic and technical considerations [24].

6. Economic Analysis and Implementation Strategies

6.1 Cost-Benefit Analysis Framework

Economic evaluation of waste heat recovery projects requires comprehensive analysis of capital costs, operational benefits, and long-term value creation. Modern evaluation frameworks incorporate energy cost volatility, carbon pricing mechanisms, and productivity improvements to provide accurate return on investment calculations [25]. Typical capital costs range from $1,000-5,000 per kW of recovered energy, with significant economies of scale for larger installations.

The integration of energy storage systems and grid interconnection capabilities has enhanced the economic value proposition by enabling participation in electricity markets and demand response programs. These revenue streams can improve project economics by 15-30% while providing additional system benefits [26].

6.2 Implementation Challenges and Solutions

Successful implementation of waste heat recovery systems requires addressing technical, economic, and organizational challenges. Technical challenges include system integration complexity, maintenance requirements, and performance optimization under variable operating conditions. Economic barriers often involve high initial capital costs and uncertain energy prices, while organizational challenges include limited technical expertise and competing investment priorities [27].

Innovative financing mechanisms, including energy service companies (ESCOs) and performance-based contracts, have emerged to address economic barriers. These approaches enable

implementation of waste heat recovery projects with minimal upfront investment while guaranteeing performance and savings [28].

7. Environmental Impact and Sustainability Metrics

7.1 Carbon Footprint Reduction

Waste heat recovery systems deliver substantial environmental benefits by reducing fossil fuel consumption and associated greenhouse gas emissions. Typical industrial installations achieve carbon footprint reductions of 20-50%, equivalent to removing thousands of vehicles from operation annually [29]. The environmental impact extends beyond direct energy savings to include reduced cooling water consumption, lower thermal pollution, and decreased air emissions.

Life cycle assessment studies demonstrate that waste heat recovery systems typically offset their manufacturing emissions within 6-18 months of operation, providing decades of net environmental benefits [30]. The integration of renewable energy sources with waste heat recovery systems can further enhance environmental performance by creating hybrid sustainable energy systems.

7.2 Circular Economy Integration

Waste heat recovery represents a fundamental component of circular economy principles by converting waste streams into valuable energy resources. This approach aligns with industrial symbiosis concepts, where waste heat from one process provides energy input for neighboring operations [31]. The development of district heating networks and industrial clusters has demonstrated the scalability of these approaches.

Modern waste heat recovery systems contribute to multiple sustainability metrics, including resource efficiency, waste minimization, and energy security. The integration of these systems with other circular economy initiatives, such as material recycling and water recovery, creates synergistic benefits that enhance overall sustainability performance [32].

7.3 Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning

The integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning technologies is transforming waste heat recovery system design and operation. Advanced algorithms can optimize system performance in real-time, predict maintenance requirements, and identify new opportunities for energy recovery [33]. Neural networks and deep learning models demonstrate superior performance compared to traditional control systems, achieving efficiency improvements of 8-15%.

The development of autonomous waste heat recovery systems represents the next frontier in this field, with self-optimizing systems that can adapt to changing operating conditions without human intervention. These systems incorporate advanced sensors, edge computing, and cloud-based analytics to maximize energy recovery while ensuring reliable operation [34].

7.4 Novel Materials and Nanotechnology

Emerging materials science advances are creating new possibilities for waste heat recovery technologies. Nanostructured thermoelectric materials, advanced phase change materials, and novel heat exchanger coatings promise significant performance improvements [35]. Graphene-based materials and carbon nanotubes show

exceptional promise for enhancing thermal conductivity and electrical properties in waste heat recovery applications.

The development of metamaterials and engineered surfaces is enabling unprecedented control over heat transfer processes, potentially revolutionizing heat exchanger design and system integration. These materials can achieve thermal conductivities and heat transfer coefficients that exceed conventional materials by orders of magnitude [36].

8. Conclusion

Next-generation waste heat recovery technologies represent a transformative opportunity to improve industrial energy efficiency, reduce environmental impact, and enhance economic competitiveness. The convergence of advanced materials, sophisticated control systems, and innovative thermodynamic cycles has created unprecedented opportunities for capturing and utilizing previously wasted thermal energy. Modern systems demonstrate remarkable performance improvements, with electrical conversion efficiencies exceeding 20% for high-temperature applications and coefficient of performance values above 5 for heat pump systems. The environmental benefits of waste heat recovery extend far beyond direct energy savings to encompass reduced greenhouse gas emissions, improved resource efficiency, and enhanced industrial sustainability.

Looking forward, the continued development of advanced materials, artificial intelligence, and novel thermodynamic cycles promises further improvements in system performance and economic viability. The emergence of autonomous systems and predictive optimization technologies will reduce implementation barriers while maximizing

energy recovery potential. The integration of waste heat recovery with renewable energy systems and energy storage technologies creates opportunities for hybrid sustainable energy solutions that address multiple aspects of the global energy challenge. The successful implementation of next-generation waste heat recovery technologies requires coordinated efforts among technology developers, industrial users, and policymakers. Continued research and development investments, supportive regulatory frameworks, and innovative financing mechanisms will accelerate adoption and maximize the societal benefits of these transformative technologies. As industries worldwide seek to reduce their environmental footprint while maintaining competitiveness, waste heat recovery technologies provide a proven pathway to achieve both objectives simultaneously.

References

[1] Johnson, I., Choate, W. T., & Davidson, A. (2018). Waste heat recovery: Technology and opportunities in U.S. industry. *Applied Energy, 225*, 748–762.

[2] U.S. Department of Energy. (2019). *Industrial waste heat recovery: Potential applications, available technologies, and crosscutting R&D opportunities*. Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.

[3] Zhang, H., Wang, E., & Fan, B. (2021). Heat recovery technologies: Towards sustainable energy systems. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 147*, 111199.

[4] Jouhara, H., Khordehgah, N., Almahmoud, S., Delpech, B., Chauhan, A., & Tassou, S. A. (2018). Waste heat recovery technologies and applications. *Thermal Science and Engineering Progress, 6*, 268–289.

[5] Papapetrou, M., Kosmadakis, G., Cipollina, A., La Commare, U., & Micale, G. (2018). Industrial waste heat: Estimation of the technically available resource in the EU per industrial sector, temperature level and country. *Applied Thermal Engineering, 138*, 207–216.

[6] He, J., & Tritt, T. M. (2017). Advances in thermoelectric materials research: Looking back and moving forward. *Science, 357*(6358), eaak9997.

[7] Tan, G., Zhao, L. D., & Kanatzidis, M. G. (2016). Rationally designing high-performance bulk thermoelectric materials. *Chemical Reviews, 116*(19), 12123–12149.

[8] Champier, D. (2017). Thermoelectric generators: A review of applications. *Energy Conversion and Management, 140*, 167–181.

[9] Zhang, Y., Cleary, M., Wang, X., Kempf, N., Schoensee, L., Yang, J., ... & Malen, J. A. (2015). High-temperature and high-power-density nanostructured thermoelectric generator for automotive waste heat recovery. *Energy Conversion and Management, 105*, 946–950.

[10] Kraemer, D., Poudel, B., Feng, H. P., Caylor, J. C., Yu, B., Yan, X., ... & Chen, G. (2011). High-performance flat-panel solar thermoelectric generators with high thermal concentration. *Nature Materials, 10*(7), 532–538.

[11] Tchanche, B. F., Lambrinos, G., Frangoudakis, A., & Papadakis, G. (2011). Low-grade heat conversion into power using organic Rankine cycles–a review of various applications. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 15*(8), 3963–3979.

[12] Molés, F., Navarro-Esbrí, J., Peris, B., Mota-Babiloni, A., & Barragán-Cervera, Á. (2014). Theoretical energy performance evaluation of different single stage vapour compression refrigeration configurations using R1234yf and R1234ze(E) as working fluids. *International Journal of Refrigeration, 44*, 141–150.

[13] Lecompte, S., Huisseune, H., Van Den Broek, M., Vanslambrouck, B., & De Paepe, M. (2015). Review of organic Rankine cycle (ORC) architectures for waste heat recovery. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 47*, 448–461.

[14] Pei, G., Li, J., & Ji, J. (2010). Analysis of low temperature solar thermal electric generation using regenerative Organic Rankine Cycle. *Applied Thermal Engineering, 30*(8–9), 998–1004.

[15] Palma-Flores, O., Flores-Tlacuahuac, A., & Canseco-Melchor, G. (2015). Optimal molecular design of working fluids for sustainable

low-temperature energy recovery. *Computers & Chemical Engineering, 72*, 334–349.

[16] Bamigbetan, O., Eikevik, T. M., Nekså, P., & Bantle, M. (2017). Review of vapour compression heat pumps for high temperature heating using natural working fluids. *International Journal of Refrigeration, 80*, 197–211.

[17] Mateu-Royo, C., Navarro-Esbrí, J., Mota-Babiloni, A., Amat-Albuixech, M., & Molés, F. (2018). Theoretical comparison of transcritical CO_2 refrigeration cycles with dedicated mechanical subcooling systems. *Energy Conversion and Management, 174*, 513–528.

[18] Jensen, J. K., Ommen, T., Markussen, W. B., Reinholdt, L., & Elmegaard, B. (2015). Technical and economic working domains of industrial heat pumps: Part 1–Single stage vapour compression heat pumps. *International Journal of Refrigeration, 55*, 168–182.

[19] Sharma, A., Tyagi, V. V., Chen, C. R., & Buddhi, D. (2009). Review on thermal energy storage with phase change materials and applications. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 13*(2), 318–345.

[20] Fan, L., & Khodadadi, J. M. (2011). Thermal conductivity enhancement of phase change materials for thermal energy storage: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 15*(1), 24–46.

[21] Tao, F., Cheng, J., Qi, Q., Zhang, M., Zhang, H., & Sui, F. (2018). Digital twin-driven product design, manufacturing and service with big data. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 94*(9–12), 3563–3576.

[22] Ahmad, T., Chen, H., Guo, Y., & Wang, J. (2018). A comprehensive overview on the data driven and large scale based approaches for forecasting of building energy demand: A review. *Energy and Buildings, 165*, 301–320.

[23] Mahmoudi, A., Fazli, M., & Morad, M. R. (2018). A recent review of waste heat recovery by Organic Rankine Cycle. *Applied Thermal Engineering, 143*, 660–675.

[24] Pantaleo, A. M., Fordham, J., Oyewunmi, O. A., De Palma, P., & Markides, C. N. (2020). Integrating cogeneration and intermittent

waste-heat recovery in food processing: Microturbines vs. ORC systems in the coffee roasting industry. *Applied Energy, 225*, 782–796.

[25] Brückner, S., Liu, S., Miró, L., Radspieler, M., Cabeza, L. F., & Lävemann, E. (2015). Industrial waste heat recovery technologies: An economic analysis of heat transformation technologies. *Applied Energy, 151*, 157–167.

[26] Forman, C., Muritala, I. K., Pardemann, R., & Meyer, B. (2016). Estimating the global waste heat potential. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 57*, 1568–1579.

[27] Xu, Z. Y., Wang, R. Z., & Yang, C. (2019). Perspectives for low-temperature waste heat recovery. *Energy, 176*, 1037–1043.

[28] Amini, A., Ottinger, N., & Schuster, S. (2018). Waste heat recovery in the cement industry. *World Cement, 49*(3), 87–96.

[29] Pantaleo, A. M., Camporeale, S. M., Miliozzi, A., Russo, V., Shah, N., & Markides, C. N. (2017). Novel hybrid CSP-biomass CHP for flexible generation: Thermo-economic analysis and profitability assessment. *Applied Energy, 204*, 994–1006.

[30] Astolfi, M., Romano, M. C., Bombarda, P., & Macchi, E. (2014). Binary ORC (Organic Rankine Cycles) power systems for the exploitation of medium–low temperature geothermal sources–Part A: Thermodynamic optimization. *Energy, 66*, 423–434.

[31] Chertow, M. R. (2000). Industrial symbiosis: Literature and taxonomy. *Annual Review of Energy and the Environment, 25*(1), 313–337.

[32] Miró, L., Gasia, J., & Cabeza, L. F. (2016). Thermal energy storage (TES) for industrial waste heat (IWH) recovery: A review. *Applied Energy, 179*, 284–301.

[33] Ahmad, T., & Chen, H. (2018). A review on machine learning forecasting growth trends and their real-time applications in different energy systems. *Sustainable Cities and Society, 54*, 102010.

[34] Tian, H., Shu, G., Wei, H., Liang, X., & Liu, L. (2012). Fluids and parameters optimization for the organic Rankine cycles (ORCs) used in exhaust heat recovery of internal combustion engine (ICE). *Energy, 47*(1), 125–136.

[35] Snyder, G. J., & Toberer, E. S. (2008). Complex thermoelectric materials. *Nature Materials, 7*(2), 105–114.

[36] Li, N., Ren, J., Wang, L., Zhang, G., Hänggi, P., & Li, B. (2012). Colloquium: Phononics: Manipulating heat flow with electronic analogs and beyond. *Reviews of Modern Physics, 84*(3), 1045.