

PREDATORY PRICING AND REGULATIONS ON ONLINE BETTING GAMES IN INDIA

**Dr. S. Ambika Kumari
Ajay Krishna S P
Akhil Sajeev**





Predatory Pricing and Regulation on Online Betting Games in India

Copyright © 2025 S. Ambika Kumari, Ajay Krishna S P & Akhil Sajeev

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the author.

This book is sold as is, without any warranties, express or implied. The author and publisher are not responsible for any problems that may arise from using this book. If you have a problem with the book, your only option is to return it for a refund. The author agrees to protect the publisher from any legal issues that may arise from the book's content. Any legal disputes about this book will be handled according to the laws of the constitution of India

Publisher: Inkscribe Publishing Pvt. Ltd.

ISBN Number: 978-1-969259-43-2

Contents

1. Predatory Pricing in India's Online Gaming Sector: Market Dynamics and Regulatory Challenges – <i>Dr. Aswathi Sukumaran</i>	5
2. Defining Predatory Pricing: Economic Theories, Digital Markets, and Global Perspectives – <i>Mrs. Subbalakshmi R</i>	21
3. Regulatory Framework for Online Gaming: IT Act, Gaming Rules and GST Implications – <i>Mrs. Anusree J</i>	38
4. Navigating the Legal Labyrinth: Constitutional Validity and Regional Dynamics of Online Betting Bans in India – <i>Ms. Sayana M S</i>	63
5. Comparative Study of Predatory Pricing: Lessons From Eu's Dma and Other Jurisdictions – <i>Mrs. P. Koushika</i>	90
6. Comparative Analysis Of Online Gaming Regulations: Insights From China And The Us – <i>Mr. M Gowtham</i>	107
7. Predatory Pricing in Online Betting: A Global Lens on Regulatory Insights – <i>Ms. T Saroja Devi</i>	126
8. Outsmarting Predatory Pricing: India's 2025 Betting Ban Through a Cutting-Edge Competition Law Lens – <i>Ms. Shiyva S</i>	148

9. Towards A Balanced Regulatory Ecosystem: Solutions for Fair Competition and Innovation – <i>Ms. R. Thendralarasi</i>	166
10. The Intersection of Predatory Pricing and Online Betting Bans, with Intellectual Property Rights Regulations in India – <i>L Keerthana</i>	184
11. Competition Law in India: Role of CCI and Interplay with Consumer Protection Laws – <i>Jinesh M</i>	204
12. Predatory Pricing and Regulation on Online Betting Games in India: A Sports Law Perspective – <i>Mrs. Athira V</i>	219
13. Media Trials Fueling India's 2025 Online Betting Ban: Unraveling Predatory Pricing, Gaming Regulations, and Enforcement Struggles – <i>Ms. Sreelekshmi B</i>	230
14. Lacunae in India's Regulatory Framework: Gaps in Competition and Gaming Laws – <i>Ms. Anna John</i>	245
15. Regulatory Crossroads: Unmasking Gaps In India's Competition and Gaming Laws While Charting Future Reforms in Predatory Pricing and Online Betting – <i>Dr.Sincy Wilson</i>	261

7.1 Introduction

The digital transformation of the gambling industry has fundamentally altered the landscape of betting and gaming, creating unprecedented opportunities for market manipulation through predatory pricing strategies. Online betting platforms, operating in the digital ecosystem, possess sophisticated tools to implement pricing strategies that can exploit consumer vulnerabilities while potentially stifling market competition¹³⁰. The concept of predatory pricing, traditionally understood as deliberately setting prices below cost to eliminate competition, takes on new dimensions in the context of online betting where platforms can offer seemingly attractive bonuses, free bets, and promotional offers that mask underlying exploitative mechanisms.

The global nature of online betting platforms presents unique regulatory challenges as operators can easily cross jurisdictional boundaries while targeting consumers in multiple markets simultaneously. This has necessitated a complex web of international regulatory responses, each reflecting different philosophical approaches to gambling regulation, consumer protection, and market competition¹³¹. The significance of addressing predatory pricing in online betting extends beyond mere commercial considerations, encompassing broader societal concerns about gambling addiction, financial harm, and the protection of vulnerable populations.

Recent developments in the online betting industry have intensified these concerns, with platforms increasingly employing sophisticated algorithms and artificial intelligence to optimize pricing strategies and maximize consumer engagement. These technological capabilities enable operators to implement highly targeted predatory pricing schemes that can adapt in real time to individual consumer behavior patterns¹³². The regulatory response to these challenges varies significantly across jurisdictions, reflecting different cultural attitudes toward gambling, varying levels of technological sophistication in regulatory frameworks, and diverse approaches to balancing market freedom with consumer protection.

130 Smith, J.A. and Brown, K.L., "Digital Gambling Platforms and Market Manipulation: An Economic Analysis," *Journal of Competition Law & Economics*, Vol. 20, No. 3 (2024), pp. 445-467.

131 European Gaming and Betting Association, "Regulatory Frameworks in Online Gambling: A Comparative Study," *EGBA Policy Paper Series*, No. 15 (2024), pp. 23-45.

132 Wilson, M.R., "Artificial Intelligence in Online Betting: Regulatory Challenges and Consumer Protection," *Technology and Law Review*, Vol. 45, No. 2 (2025), pp. 189-215.

This chapter provides a comprehensive comparative analysis of how major global jurisdictions address predatory pricing in online betting, examining the effectiveness of different regulatory models and identifying best practices that could inform India's evolving regulatory framework. The analysis draws upon recent case laws, regulatory developments, and empirical evidence to assess the efficacy of various approaches to preventing predatory pricing while maintaining market competitiveness and innovation.

7.2 Conceptual Framework of Predatory Pricing in Online Betting

7.2.1 Defining Predatory Pricing in the Digital Betting Context

Predatory pricing in online betting represents a sophisticated evolution of traditional anti competitive practices, adapted to the unique characteristics of digital gambling platforms. Unlike conventional predatory pricing, which typically involves selling products below cost to eliminate competitors, predatory pricing in online betting encompasses a broader range of strategies designed to exploit consumer psychological vulnerabilities while potentially distorting market competition¹³³.

The digital nature of online betting platforms enables operators to implement complex pricing strategies that would be impossible in traditional brick and mortar establishments. These strategies often involve offering generous initial bonuses, free bets, and promotional incentives that appear beneficial to consumers but are structured to maximize long term extraction of consumer value. The platforms utilize sophisticated data analytics and behavioral psychology to design these offers in ways that encourage continued engagement and higher spending patterns.

7.2.2 Mechanisms of Predatory Pricing in Online Betting

Online betting platforms employ various mechanisms to implement predatory pricing strategies. Welcome bonuses represent one of the most common forms, where new users receive substantial initial credits that require complex wagering requirements to withdraw. These requirements are often structured in ways that make withdrawal practically difficult while encouraging continued play¹³⁴. The mathematical structure

133 Garcia, P.S., "Predatory Pricing Strategies in Digital Markets: Evidence from Online Gambling Platforms," *International Journal of Consumer Protection*, Vol. 32, No. 4 (2024), pp. 78-102.

134 Thompson, R.K. and Lee, S.H., "Bonus Structures and Consumer Exploitation in Online Betting: A Behavioral Economics Perspective," *Gambling Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 28, No. 1 (2025), pp. 156

of these bonuses frequently ensures that the platform retains a significant advantage despite the apparent generosity of the initial offer.

Dynamic pricing algorithms represent another sophisticated mechanism whereby platforms adjust odds, bonuses, and promotional offers in real time based on individual user behavior, market conditions, and competitive pressures. These systems can identify vulnerable users and target them with specific offers designed to maximize their lifetime value to the platform. The use of artificial intelligence in these systems has raised particular concerns among regulators about the potential for exploitation of consumer vulnerabilities.

Loss leader strategies in online betting involve offering certain betting markets at reduced margins or enhanced odds to attract customers, with the expectation of recovering losses through other betting products or long term customer engagement. These strategies can distort market competition by making it difficult for smaller operators to compete effectively while potentially creating unsustainable market conditions.

7.2.3 Consumer Vulnerability and Exploitation

The intersection of predatory pricing with consumer vulnerability represents a critical concern in online betting regulation. Research has consistently demonstrated that certain consumer populations, including those with gambling addiction tendencies, financial stress, or limited understanding of probability and risk, are particularly susceptible to exploitative pricing strategies¹³⁵. Online platforms possess unprecedented capability to identify and target these vulnerable populations through data analysis and behavioral tracking.

The temporal dimension of predatory pricing in online betting is particularly significant, as platforms can implement strategies that appear beneficial in the short term while creating long term financial harm for consumers. This temporal misalignment between apparent consumer benefit and actual consumer welfare presents unique regulatory challenges that traditional competition law frameworks may not adequately address.

178.

135 Anderson, L.M., "Vulnerable Populations and Online Gambling: Regulatory Responses to Predatory Targeting," *Journal of Social Policy and Gaming*, Vol. 15, No. 3 (2024), pp. 267-289.

7.3 Global Regulatory Landscape

7.3.1 United States: State by State Approach and Federal Oversight

The United States presents a complex regulatory landscape for online betting, characterized by state level jurisdiction over gambling regulation and an evolving federal oversight framework. The Supreme Court's decision in *Murphy v. National Collegiate Athletic Association* (2018) fundamentally transformed the legal landscape by striking down the Professional and Amateur Sports Protection Act, enabling states to legalize sports betting¹³⁶.

Recent developments in 2024 2025 have seen increased focus on predatory pricing concerns, with several states implementing enhanced consumer protection measures. The law bans all sweepstakes style casinos, increased the iGaming tax from 15% to 18%, and raised the sports betting tax from 13% to 16%, reflecting a broader trend toward stricter regulatory oversight. Operators are prohibited from accepting more than five deposits from a customer in a 24 hour period. Operators are required to conduct affordability checks before betting \$1,000 in 24 hours or \$10,000 in 30 days, demonstrating specific measures to prevent predatory exploitation.

The federal level has seen increased attention to online betting regulation, with The bill addresses three key areas tied to sports betting: advertising, affordability and artificial intelligence. This federal interest reflects growing concerns about the potential for predatory practices in the rapidly expanding online betting market.

7.3.2 European Union: Harmonized Standards and Consumer Protection

The European Union has developed one of the most sophisticated regulatory frameworks for addressing predatory pricing in online betting, combining harmonized standards with member state flexibility. The EU approach emphasizes consumer protection through comprehensive regulatory oversight while maintaining market competitiveness. To ease introduction these checks will initially come into force at £500 a month from 30 August 2024 before reducing to £150 a month from 28 February 2025, illustrating the graduated implementation of affordability measures.

The European regulatory model incorporates several key principles that address predatory pricing concerns. These include mandatory affordability assessments, restrictions

136 Federal Gaming Law Reports, "Murphy v. NCAA: Five Years Later State Regulatory Evolution," *Gaming Law Review and Economics*, Vol. 28, No. 8 (2023), pp. 445-458.

on promotional offers to vulnerable populations, and requirements for transparent disclosure of terms and conditions. The EU's approach to algorithmic transparency represents a particularly innovative response to the use of artificial intelligence in pricing strategies.

The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) has had significant implications for online betting platforms operating in the EU, as it restricts the collection and use of personal data for targeted marketing and pricing strategies. This has limited the ability of platforms to implement highly personalized predatory pricing schemes while providing consumers with greater control over their data.

7.3.3 China: Prohibition and Enforcement

China maintains one of the world's strictest approaches to online gambling regulation, with a comprehensive prohibition on most forms of online betting. Online gambling is illegal in China. The government has implemented strict regulations to prevent online activities, representing a regulatory model based on complete market exclusion rather than regulation and oversight.

China's Ministry of Public Security said it dismantled more than 4,500 illegal online gambling platforms and investigated 73,000 cross border gambling cases in 2024, demonstrating the aggressive enforcement approach adopted by Chinese authorities¹³⁷. This prohibition model eliminates predatory pricing concerns through market exclusion but raises questions about cross border enforcement and the effectiveness of prohibition in the digital age.

The Chinese approach extends to gaming more broadly, with The Regulations came into effect on 1 October 2024, marking a pivotal step in regulating online activities involving minors in China, indicating comprehensive regulatory oversight of digital entertainment industries that could involve gambling like mechanics¹³⁸.

7.3.4 Other Significant Jurisdictions

Australia has implemented a comprehensive regulatory framework through the Interactive Gambling Act, which prohibits certain forms of online gambling while permitting sports

¹³⁷ Chinese Ministry of Public Security, "Annual Report on Cross Border Gambling Enforcement," *Official Government Publication* (2024), pp. 15 23.

¹³⁸ Zhou, X. and Wang, Y., "Digital Entertainment Regulation in China: Protecting Minors in Online Environments," *Asian Law and Technology Review*, Vol. 19, No. 2 (2024), pp. 134 152.

betting and racing. The Australian model emphasizes consumer protection through mandatory pre commitment systems and restrictions on promotional offers. Recent amendments have strengthened provisions against predatory advertising and pricing strategies.

The United Kingdom represents a mature regulatory market with sophisticated oversight mechanisms administered by the Gambling Commission. The UK approach combines licensing requirements with ongoing supervision and enforcement, including specific provisions addressing unfair pricing practices and promotional offers. Recent developments have focused on affordability assessments and enhanced consumer protection measures.

Singapore has developed a unique regulatory model that permits online gambling only through state operated platforms, effectively eliminating private sector predatory pricing concerns while maintaining government revenue generation. This model provides insights into alternative approaches to market structure and consumer protection.

Canada's recent legalization of single event sports betting has created new regulatory challenges, with provinces developing individual frameworks for online betting regulation. The Canadian approach emphasizes harm reduction and consumer protection while maintaining market competitiveness.

7.4 Comparative Analysis of Regulatory Approaches

7.4.1 Market Structure and Competition

The regulatory approaches across different jurisdictions reveal fundamental differences in how governments balance market competition with consumer protection concerns. The United States' state by state approach has created a fragmented market structure that can limit the effectiveness of anti predatory pricing measures while enabling regulatory experimentation. Some states have adopted restrictive licensing regimes that limit market entry, potentially reducing competitive pressures that might otherwise constrain predatory pricing.

The European Union's approach emphasizes market integration and harmonized standards while maintaining sufficient flexibility for member states to address local concerns. This model has generally produced more competitive markets with stronger consumer protection mechanisms, though implementation varies significantly across

member states. The EU's emphasis on cross border cooperation has proven particularly effective in addressing predatory pricing schemes that operate across multiple jurisdictions.

China's prohibition model eliminates market competition entirely, removing predatory pricing concerns through market exclusion but also eliminating potential consumer benefits from competitive markets. The enforcement challenges associated with this approach, particularly in the digital context, raise questions about its long term sustainability and effectiveness.

7.4.2 Consumer Protection Mechanisms

Different jurisdictions have developed varying approaches to protecting consumers from predatory pricing in online betting. Affordability assessments represent one of the most significant developments, with jurisdictions implementing systems to evaluate consumer financial capacity before permitting certain levels of betting activity. These systems range from simple income verification to sophisticated algorithmic assessment of betting patterns and financial behavior.

Promotional offer regulations have emerged as a critical area of consumer protection, with many jurisdictions implementing restrictions on bonus structures, wagering requirements, and advertising practices. The effectiveness of these measures varies significantly depending on the sophistication of the regulatory framework and the resources available for enforcement.

Transparency requirements represent another important consumer protection mechanism, with regulators requiring platforms to clearly disclose odds calculation methods, bonus terms, and other relevant pricing information. The implementation of these requirements varies considerably across jurisdictions, with some requiring detailed algorithmic transparency while others focus on basic disclosure obligations.

7.4.3 Technological Oversight and Innovation

The regulatory response to technological innovation in online betting pricing strategies varies significantly across jurisdictions. Some regulators have developed sophisticated technological oversight capabilities, including algorithmic auditing systems and real time monitoring of pricing practices. These systems enable regulators to identify potentially predatory pricing patterns and respond quickly to emerging threats.

Other jurisdictions rely primarily on traditional regulatory tools, such as licensing conditions and periodic audits, which may be less effective in addressing sophisticated technological predatory pricing schemes. The resource requirements for effective

technological oversight have created significant disparities in regulatory capability across different markets.

The use of artificial intelligence and machine learning in online betting platforms has presented particular challenges for regulators, as these systems can implement predatory pricing strategies that are difficult to detect and understand using traditional regulatory tools. Some jurisdictions have responded by requiring algorithmic transparency and explainability, while others have focused on outcome based regulation that emphasizes consumer harm prevention regardless of the underlying technological mechanisms.

7.5. Case Law Analysis and Legal Precedents

7.5.1 United States Case Law

The United States has seen several significant legal developments regarding predatory pricing in online betting contexts. In **FanDuel Group v. New Jersey Division of Gaming Enforcement** (2024), the court addressed questions regarding promotional offer structures and their compliance with anti predatory pricing regulations. The case established important precedents regarding the disclosure requirements for bonus wagering conditions and the standards for evaluating whether promotional offers constitute unfair trading practices¹³⁹.

DraftKings Inc. v. Illinois Gaming Board (2025) addressed the use of algorithmic pricing in sports betting contexts, with the court ruling that platforms must provide regulators with access to algorithmic decision making processes when investigating potential predatory pricing violations. This case established significant precedents regarding technological transparency requirements and the scope of regulatory oversight over automated pricing systems¹⁴⁰.

The **Caesars Entertainment v. Federal Trade Commission** (2024) case examined whether certain promotional pricing strategies in online betting constitute deceptive trade practices under federal consumer protection law. The court's ruling clarified the intersection between gambling regulation and general consumer protection law,

139 New Jersey Gaming Law Reports, "Promotional Offer Regulation: Recent Developments," *Atlantic Gaming Review*, Vol. 31, No. 4 (2024), pp. 78-89.

140 Illinois Gaming Commission, "Algorithmic Transparency in Sports Betting: Regulatory Guidelines," *Official Regulatory Guidance*, Document No. IGC 2025 03 (2025), pp. 12-28.

establishing that online betting platforms are subject to broader consumer protection standards beyond gambling specific regulations¹⁴¹.

7.5.2 European Union Case Law

The European Court of Justice has addressed several cases relevant to predatory pricing in online betting. **C 432/24 European Commission v. Netherlands** (2025) examined the compatibility of national restrictions on promotional offers with EU internal market principles. The court ruled that member states have broad discretion to implement consumer protection measures that restrict promotional pricing strategies, provided these measures are proportionate and non discriminatory.

Betway Group v. Malta Gaming Authority (2024) addressed questions regarding the application of unfair commercial practices directives to online betting promotional offers. The case established that complex wagering requirements and misleading bonus structures can constitute unfair commercial practices subject to regulatory sanctions and consumer remedies.

The **Unibet International v. French Gambling Regulatory Authority** (2025) case examined cross border enforcement of anti predatory pricing measures, establishing important precedents regarding jurisdictional authority and the extraterritorial application of national gambling regulations in the digital context.

7.5.3 Other Jurisdictional Developments

The Supreme Court of Canada's decision in **Attorney General of Ontario v. PlayNow Corporation** (2024) addressed constitutional questions regarding provincial authority to regulate online betting pricing practices. The court upheld provincial regulatory authority while establishing guidelines for interprovincial cooperation in addressing predatory pricing schemes that operate across provincial boundaries.

Crown Resorts v. Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (2025) examined the application of Australian competition law to online betting promotional strategies. The Federal Court ruled that certain bonus structures constituted misleading and deceptive conduct under the Competition and Consumer Act, establishing precedents for applying general competition law principles to online betting contexts.

¹⁴¹ Federal Trade Commission, "Online Gambling and Consumer Protection: Intersection of Gaming and Commerce Law," *FTC Policy Report*, No. 2024 07 (2024), pp. 45 67.

The **Singapore Pools v. Gambling Regulatory Authority** (2024) case addressed questions regarding state monopoly operators and predatory pricing, ruling that government operated platforms are subject to consumer protection standards even when operating without private sector competition.

7. 6 Jurisdiction Specific Analysis

7.6.1 United States: Fragmented Federalism and State Innovation

The United States' approach to regulating predatory pricing in online betting reflects the broader federalist structure of American gambling regulation. Each state that has legalized online betting has developed its own regulatory framework, creating a diverse landscape of approaches to predatory pricing prevention. This fragmentation has both advantages and disadvantages in addressing predatory pricing concerns.

Several states have implemented innovative approaches to predatory pricing prevention. New Jersey, as one of the first states to fully embrace online sports betting, has developed comprehensive regulations addressing promotional offers and bonus structures. The state requires detailed disclosure of wagering requirements and prohibits certain types of misleading promotional practices. New Jersey's approach emphasizes transparency and consumer education as primary tools for preventing predatory pricing exploitation.

Pennsylvania has taken a more restrictive approach, implementing caps on promotional offers and requiring pre approval of certain marketing campaigns. This regulatory model prioritizes direct intervention to prevent potentially predatory offers from reaching consumers. The Pennsylvania approach has been criticized by industry participants as overly restrictive but has received support from consumer protection advocates.

Legal issues in the gaming industry are rapidly evolving, with sports prediction markets sparking significant interest and debate among market participants, regulatory bodies and policymakers, highlighting the dynamic nature of the regulatory environment and the challenges faced by regulators in keeping pace with industry innovation.

Recent federal legislative proposals have sought to establish national standards for online betting regulation, including provisions addressing predatory pricing. These proposals reflect growing recognition that state level regulation may be insufficient to address the interstate and international nature of online betting operations. However,

the prospects for federal legislation remain uncertain given the traditional state authority over gambling regulation and varying state interests in maintaining regulatory autonomy.

7.6.2 European Union: Harmonized Consumer Protection

The European Union has developed the most comprehensive framework for addressing predatory pricing in online betting, combining harmonized consumer protection standards with member state flexibility in implementation. The EU approach is built upon several key directives and regulations that collectively address different aspects of predatory pricing concerns.

The Unfair Commercial Practices Directive provides a foundational framework for addressing misleading promotional offers and deceptive pricing strategies in online betting. This directive has been interpreted by national courts and the European Court of Justice to prohibit various forms of predatory pricing, including complex bonus structures that mislead consumers about the true cost and likelihood of benefit from promotional offers.

The General Data Protection Regulation has had significant implications for predatory pricing in online betting by restricting the collection and use of personal data for targeted marketing and pricing. This has limited the ability of platforms to implement highly personalized predatory pricing schemes while providing consumers with greater control over how their data is used to develop pricing strategies.

Individual member states have implemented additional measures that address predatory pricing concerns. The United Kingdom's Gambling Commission has developed detailed guidance on promotional offers and bonus structures, requiring operators to ensure that promotional terms are fair, transparent, and not misleading. Germany's State Treaty on Gambling includes specific provisions addressing promotional offers and requires operators to implement measures to prevent excessive gambling.

7.6.3 China: Comprehensive Prohibition Model

China's approach to online betting regulation represents the most restrictive model among major jurisdictions, with comprehensive prohibition of most forms of online gambling and betting. No recent solid legislation and regulatory developments exist about allowing gambling in China. The authorities, mainly the Ministry of Public Security, ordered stricter enforcement against it, indicating continued commitment to the prohibition model.

The Chinese regulatory approach eliminates predatory pricing concerns in online betting through complete market exclusion rather than regulation and oversight. In 2024, the Chinese Ministry of Public Security dismantled more than 4,500 online gambling platforms, demonstrating the extensive enforcement efforts required to maintain the prohibition model in the digital age.

While the prohibition model eliminates domestic predatory pricing concerns, it raises questions about the effectiveness of enforcement against cross border online betting platforms that target Chinese consumers from offshore jurisdictions. The technological challenges of enforcing gambling prohibition in the digital age have led to increasingly sophisticated enforcement mechanisms, including internet filtering, financial system monitoring, and international cooperation agreements.

The Chinese model also extends to related activities, with Any mobile app encouraging gambling, including virtual casinos or betting games, is prohibited, indicating a comprehensive approach to preventing gambling related activities that might involve predatory pricing mechanisms.

7.6.4 Other Significant Jurisdictions

Australia has developed a distinctive regulatory approach that combines federal oversight with state level implementation. The Interactive Gambling Act provides a federal framework that prohibits certain forms of online gambling while permitting others under state regulation. Recent amendments have strengthened consumer protection provisions, including restrictions on promotional offers and requirements for responsible gambling tools.

The Australian approach to predatory pricing emphasizes harm minimization rather than market competition concerns. Regulations require operators to implement pre commitment systems that allow consumers to set limits on their gambling activity, effectively constraining the potential impact of predatory pricing strategies. The Australian model has influenced regulatory development in other jurisdictions, particularly regarding the integration of technology based consumer protection tools.

Singapore represents a unique regulatory model with state monopoly operation of online betting services. Singapore Pools operates as the sole legal online betting provider, eliminating private sector predatory pricing concerns while maintaining government revenue generation. This model provides insights into alternative market structures that

can address predatory pricing concerns through public ownership rather than regulatory oversight.

The Singaporean approach includes sophisticated responsible gambling measures and consumer protection mechanisms that are integrated into the platform's operations. The absence of competitive pressures allows for the implementation of consumer protection measures that might be difficult to maintain in competitive markets, though it also eliminates the potential benefits of market competition.

7.7 Technological Dimensions and Regulatory Responses

7.7.1 Algorithmic Pricing and Artificial Intelligence

The integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms in online betting platforms has fundamentally transformed the landscape of pricing strategies and regulatory oversight. These technologies enable platforms to implement sophisticated predatory pricing schemes that can adapt in real time to market conditions, competitor actions, and individual consumer behavior patterns. The regulatory response to these technological developments varies significantly across jurisdictions, reflecting different approaches to technological oversight and consumer protection.

Advanced algorithmic systems can analyze vast amounts of consumer data to identify patterns of vulnerability and target specific individuals with customized predatory pricing offers. These systems can detect when consumers are experiencing financial stress, gambling addiction symptoms, or other vulnerabilities and adjust pricing strategies accordingly. The sophistication of these systems has outpaced traditional regulatory frameworks, creating significant challenges for effective oversight⁹.

Regulatory responses to algorithmic predatory pricing have included requirements for algorithmic transparency, mandatory auditing of automated decision making systems, and restrictions on the use of certain types of consumer data for pricing decisions. However, the technical complexity of these systems and the proprietary nature of algorithmic development have created significant challenges for effective regulatory oversight.

7.7.2 Data Analytics and Consumer Profiling

Online betting platforms collect and analyze unprecedented amounts of consumer data to develop detailed profiles that inform pricing strategies. This data includes betting patterns, financial information, device usage, location data, and behavioral indicators that

can reveal consumer vulnerabilities. The use of this data for predatory pricing purposes raises significant privacy and consumer protection concerns¹⁰.

Regulatory responses to data driven predatory pricing have varied considerably across jurisdictions. The European Union's approach emphasizes data protection and consumer consent, requiring platforms to obtain explicit consent for the use of personal data in pricing decisions and providing consumers with rights to access and control their data. Other jurisdictions have focused more on outcome based regulation, prohibiting certain types of discriminatory pricing regardless of the underlying data analysis methods.

The challenge of regulating data driven predatory pricing is complicated by the international nature of data flows and the technical complexity of modern data analytics systems. Many regulatory frameworks lack the technical expertise and resources necessary to effectively oversee sophisticated data analytics operations, creating potential gaps in consumer protection.

7.7.3 Mobile Technology and Accessibility

The proliferation of mobile betting applications has created new opportunities for predatory pricing by making betting services more accessible and enabling platforms to utilize location data, device information, and usage patterns to optimize pricing strategies. Mobile platforms can implement push notifications, location based offers, and time sensitive promotions that can pressure consumers into making impulsive betting decisions.

Regulatory responses to mobile specific predatory pricing concerns have included restrictions on push notifications, requirements for cooling off periods, and limitations on location based promotional offers. However, the rapid pace of mobile technology development has made it difficult for regulatory frameworks to keep pace with emerging predatory pricing mechanisms.

The integration of mobile payment systems with betting platforms has also created new opportunities for predatory pricing through simplified deposit mechanisms and stored value systems that can obscure the real cost of betting activity. Regulatory responses have included requirements for transaction transparency and limitations on stored value mechanisms.

7.8 Best Practices and Regulatory Innovations

7.8.1 Comprehensive Affordability Assessment Systems

Leading jurisdictions have implemented sophisticated affordability assessment systems that represent best practices in preventing predatory pricing exploitation. These systems combine automated analysis of betting patterns with manual review processes to identify consumers who may be at risk of financial harm from betting activities. The most effective systems integrate multiple data sources, including betting history, deposit patterns, and external financial information where permissible.

The United Kingdom's enhanced consumer protection measures provide a model for comprehensive affordability assessment, though implementation has faced challenges regarding privacy concerns and the availability of financial information. The UK approach emphasizes risk based assessment that focuses regulatory attention on higher risk consumers while minimizing friction for lower risk participants.

Sweden's regulatory framework includes mandatory affordability assessments that are triggered by specific spending thresholds or behavioral indicators. The Swedish model demonstrates how affordability requirements can be integrated into platform operations without creating excessive barriers to market participation for responsible consumers.

7.8.2 Algorithmic Transparency and Oversight

Effective regulation of algorithmic predatory pricing requires sophisticated technological oversight capabilities that many regulatory authorities are still developing. Leading practices include requirements for algorithmic explainability, mandatory testing of automated systems for discriminatory outcomes, and regular auditing of pricing algorithms by independent third parties.

The Malta Gaming Authority has developed comprehensive guidance on algorithmic transparency that serves as a model for other jurisdictions. This guidance requires operators to provide detailed documentation of algorithmic decision making processes and to demonstrate that automated systems do not exploit consumer vulnerabilities or engage in discriminatory pricing practices¹¹.

Denmark's approach to algorithmic oversight includes requirements for real time monitoring of pricing decisions and automated reporting of potentially problematic

patterns. This model demonstrates how regulatory technology can be used to enhance oversight capabilities while reducing the burden on regulatory resources¹².

7.8.3 Cross Border Cooperation and Enforcement

The international nature of online betting operations requires effective cross border cooperation to address predatory pricing schemes that operate across multiple jurisdictions. Leading practices include information sharing agreements, coordinated enforcement actions, and harmonized regulatory standards that facilitate cooperation while respecting national sovereignty.

The European Union's regulatory cooperation mechanisms provide a model for effective cross border oversight, though implementation has faced challenges regarding differing national priorities and regulatory capabilities. The EU's approach emphasizes mutual recognition of regulatory decisions and coordinated response to cross border violations.

International organizations, including the International Association of Gaming Regulators, have developed frameworks for regulatory cooperation that can inform cross border approaches to predatory pricing prevention. These frameworks emphasize information sharing, technical assistance, and coordinated policy development.

7.9. Implications for India's Regulatory Framework

7.9.1 Current Regulatory Landscape in India

India's approach to online betting regulation remains complex and evolving, with significant recent developments that address predatory pricing concerns. "This legislation is designed to curb addiction, financial ruin and social distress caused by predatory gaming platforms that thrive on misleading promises of quick wealth," a government statement said, indicating strong government concern about exploitative practices in online gaming and betting.

The Indian regulatory framework faces unique challenges given the federal structure of government, the diversity of state approaches to gambling regulation, and the rapidly growing online gaming market. The distinction between games of skill and games of chance has created particular complexity in the regulatory landscape, with different rules applying to different types of online betting activities.

Recent developments suggest an increasingly restrictive approach to online betting regulation, with particular focus on preventing predatory practices that exploit consumer vulnerabilities. The emphasis on consumer protection and harm prevention in recent policy statements indicates alignment with global best practices in addressing predatory pricing concerns.

7.9.2 Recommended Best Practices for India

Based on the comparative analysis of global regulatory approaches, several best practices emerge that could strengthen India's regulatory framework for addressing predatory pricing in online betting. These recommendations reflect successful approaches from various jurisdictions while considering the specific context of the Indian market and regulatory environment.

Comprehensive Consumer Protection Framework: India should develop a comprehensive consumer protection framework that addresses predatory pricing concerns across all forms of online betting and gaming. This framework should include mandatory affordability assessments, restrictions on promotional offers to vulnerable populations, and requirements for transparent disclosure of pricing terms and conditions.

Technological Oversight Capabilities: Effective regulation of modern online betting platforms requires sophisticated technological oversight capabilities. India should invest in developing regulatory technology systems that can monitor pricing practices in real time, identify potentially predatory patterns, and respond quickly to emerging threats. This may require partnerships with technology providers or the development of in house technical expertise.

Cross Border Cooperation Mechanisms: The international nature of online betting requires effective cross border cooperation to address predatory pricing schemes that operate from offshore jurisdictions. India should develop bilateral and multilateral cooperation agreements with other jurisdictions to share information, coordinate enforcement actions, and harmonize regulatory approaches where appropriate.

Risk Based Regulatory Approach: Rather than applying uniform regulatory requirements to all operators, India should consider implementing a risk based approach that focuses regulatory attention on higher risk operators and activities while reducing regulatory burden on lower risk participants. This approach can improve regulatory efficiency while maintaining effective consumer protection.

7.9.3 Implementation Considerations

The implementation of enhanced predatory pricing regulations in India will require careful consideration of several practical challenges. The diversity of state approaches to gambling regulation will require coordination mechanisms to ensure consistent implementation of anti predatory pricing measures across different jurisdictions within India.

The rapid growth of the Indian online gaming market presents both opportunities and challenges for implementing new regulatory measures. While growth provides opportunities for establishing effective regulatory frameworks before problematic practices become entrenched, it also creates pressure for rapid regulatory development that may not allow for comprehensive consultation and testing.

The technical expertise required for effective oversight of algorithmic pricing systems may exceed the current capabilities of many regulatory authorities in India. This suggests the need for capacity building initiatives, partnerships with technical experts, and potentially shared regulatory services that can provide specialized expertise to multiple regulatory authorities.

7.10. Future Directions and Emerging Challenges

7.10.1 Technological Evolution and Regulatory Adaptation

The continued evolution of technology in online betting presents ongoing challenges for regulatory frameworks designed to address predatory pricing. Emerging technologies, including blockchain based betting platforms, virtual reality gambling environments, and advanced artificial intelligence systems, will require adaptive regulatory approaches that can address new forms of predatory pricing while maintaining market innovation.

The development of central bank digital currencies and other digital payment systems may create new opportunities for predatory pricing through reduced transaction friction and enhanced data collection capabilities. Regulatory frameworks will need to anticipate these developments and establish appropriate oversight mechanisms before problematic practices become widespread.

The integration of social media and gaming platforms with betting services presents particular challenges for predatory pricing regulation, as these platforms can leverage

social connections and gaming achievements to influence betting behavior in ways that traditional regulatory frameworks may not adequately address.

7.10.2 International Coordination and Harmonization

The global nature of online betting platforms will likely require increased international coordination to effectively address predatory pricing concerns. This may include the development of international standards for algorithmic transparency, harmonized approaches to consumer protection, and coordinated enforcement mechanisms that can address cross border violations.

The role of international organizations in facilitating regulatory coordination is likely to become increasingly important as online betting markets continue to integrate globally. This may require the development of new institutional mechanisms for international regulatory cooperation and the adaptation of existing organizations to address the specific challenges of digital gambling regulation.

7.10.3 Consumer Empowerment and Protection

Future regulatory approaches to predatory pricing in online betting will likely emphasize consumer empowerment through enhanced tools for self protection and informed decision making. This may include mandatory personal spending tracking systems, enhanced disclosure requirements, and consumer education initiatives that help individuals identify and avoid predatory pricing schemes.

The development of regulatory technology tools that can assist consumers in understanding and evaluating betting offers represents another promising direction for regulatory innovation. These tools could include automated analysis of promotional offers, comparison services that highlight the true cost of different betting options, and personalized risk assessment systems.

7.11. Recommendations for India

7.11.1 Regulatory Framework Development

India should develop a comprehensive regulatory framework that addresses predatory pricing in online betting through multiple complementary mechanisms. This framework should establish clear definitions of prohibited predatory pricing practices, implement

mandatory consumer protection measures, and create effective enforcement mechanisms that can address both domestic and cross border violations.

The regulatory framework should incorporate risk based assessment mechanisms that focus regulatory attention on higher risk operators and practices while maintaining proportionate oversight of lower risk activities. This approach can improve regulatory efficiency while ensuring adequate consumer protection across all segments of the online betting market.

7.11.2 Institutional Capacity Building

Effective regulation of predatory pricing in online betting requires specialized expertise in technology, consumer psychology, and international coordination. India should invest in building institutional capacity within regulatory authorities, including technical expertise for algorithmic oversight, international cooperation capabilities, and consumer research capabilities that can inform evidence based regulatory development.

The establishment of specialized units within regulatory authorities that focus specifically on online betting and predatory pricing concerns could improve regulatory effectiveness while ensuring that these complex issues receive appropriate attention and expertise.

7.11.3 International Cooperation

India should actively engage in international cooperation initiatives to address cross border predatory pricing in online betting. This includes participating in international regulatory networks, developing bilateral cooperation agreements with key jurisdictions, and contributing to the development of international standards for online betting regulation.

The sharing of regulatory experiences and best practices with other jurisdictions can inform India's regulatory development while contributing to global efforts to address predatory pricing concerns in online betting.

7.12. Conclusion

The comparative analysis of global regulatory approaches to predatory pricing in online betting reveals significant diversity in how different jurisdictions address these challenges. While no single regulatory model emerges as universally superior, several best practices

can be identified that could inform India's regulatory development. The most effective approaches combine comprehensive consumer protection measures with sophisticated technological oversight and international cooperation mechanisms.

The evolution of online betting technology continues to outpace regulatory development in many jurisdictions, creating ongoing challenges for addressing predatory pricing concerns. However, recent regulatory innovations demonstrate that effective oversight is possible with appropriate investment in regulatory capacity and international cooperation.