Digital Disruption In HRM: Tools, Trends & Transformation

Dr K.Malarvizhi

Dr.V.Vetrivel

Dr. K. Vinayagam

Dr. L. Esther Thamarine

Dr. R. Manigandan

New Chennai Publications

Digital Disruption in HRM: Tools, Trends, and Transformation

Editors

Dr K.Malarvizhi

Vice Principal (A&R) HoD PG Commerce & Research,
Hindustan College of Arts & Science,
Padur, Kelambakkam, Chennai 603103.

Dr.V.Vetrivel

Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration,
Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies,
Pallavaram, Chennai – 600117, Tamilnadu, India.

Dr. K. Vinayagam

Associate Professor, Department of Business Administration,
Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies,
Pallavaram, Chennai – 600117, Tamilnadu, India.

Dr. L. Esther Thamarine

Dean of the School of Business Studies and Head,
Hindustan College of Arts & Science,
Padur, Kelambakkam, Chennai 603103.

Dr. R. Manigandan

Senior Consultant, National Institute for Empowerment of
Persons with Multiple Disabilities (NIEPMD),
Government of India, Chennai.

Digital Disruption in HRM: Tools, Trends, and Transformation

Edited by

Dr. K. Malarvizhi, Dr. V. Vetrivel, Dr. K. Vinayagam, Dr. L. Esther Thamarine, and **Dr. R.Manigandan**

Volume I, Nov 2025

Price: Rs. 500/-

ISBN: **978-81-984949-8-6**

Copyright © HRMalar2025

Book DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17791165

Publication Year: 2025

Pages: 270

Published by

New Chennai Publications
No: 16/ 22 Jawaharlal Nehru Street,
New Perungalathur,
Chennai- 600063
Tamil Nadu, India

Editor's Spotlight

Dr. K. Malarvizhi, Vice Principal, (Academics & Research) has 30 years of experience in Teaching, Research and administration, She serves as a Members of Board of Studies, Doctoral panel and External Academic Audit. She has also published 34 Research articles, 60 paper presentations in national and international forums and attended many workshop. She also holds the position of Governing body members of Hindustan college of Arts & Science and Received fellowship from fellow of Singapore Institute of Auditors She served as an academic council member from 2009 to 2011. She has Published two books Knowledge management &Principles of Management . She is the recognized research supervisor for Ph.D., in Commerce under the University of Madras and 6 Ph.D research scholars are doing their research. Three scholars have competed their Ph.D.

Dr. V. Vetrivel is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Business Administration at VISTAS, Chennai, with over a decade of teaching experience. He has published more than 60 research papers in reputed national and international journals indexed in Scopus and UGC CARE. A prolific academician, he has authored five books and holds four patents, reflecting his innovative contributions. His expertise covers Marketing, Human Resource Management, Research Methodology, and Statistics. Dr. Vetrivel has successfully guided and produced several Ph.D. scholars and actively mentors young researchers. He frequently serves as a chief guest, resource person, and examiner at various academic events. His dedication to teaching, research, and innovation has earned him a strong reputation as a respected scholar and educator in Business Administration.

Dr. K. Vinayagam is an Associate Professor in the School of Management Studies and Commerce at Vels Institute of Science, Technology & Advanced Studies (VISTAS), Pallavaram, Chennai. He completed his Ph.D. in Human Resource Management in 2006 and has over 22 years of teaching experience. He has served as Project Coordinator in IIT, MSME, and NEEE initiatives and contributed to various national and international research projects. He is a member of IAEC as an Outside Scientist at Green Signal Bio-Pharma, Gummidipoondi under the Department of Environment and Forests, Government of India. He has also served as Anna University Representative (AUR), Chief Examiner, and Controller of Examinations for PGDM (AICTE). He was part of the NBA Accreditation Committee at Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering & Technology. He has published over 20 research papers and authored five books, and is regularly invited as a resource person and chief guest across India.

Dr. L. Esther Thamarine is a distinguished academic leader currently serving as the Dean of the School of Business Studies and Head of the Department of Management. With an illustrious teaching career spanning over 27 years, she has made significant contributions to academia and institutional development. She also holds the pivotal role of IQAC Coordinator, where she plays a key part in promoting quality assurance and academic excellence. Her academic expertise lies in areas such as Company Law, Business Law, and the Indian Tax System. A committed researcher, Dr. Thamarine has published and presented numerous papers on diverse topics, including national migration in India, consumer behavior, tourism economics, and digital banking. Her strong leadership, extensive experience, and dedication to both teaching and research have made her a highly respected figure in the field of business and management education.

Dr. R. Manigandan is a dedicated academician and administrative professional with over a decade of experience in higher education, research, and institutional development. He holds a Doctorate in Business Administration from Annamalai University, with research focused on management and organizational studies, and has also qualified the State Eligibility Test for Assistant Professor. Currently, he serves as Senior Consultant at the National Institute for Empowerment of Persons with Multiple Disabilities (NIEPMD), Government of India, Chennai, where he coordinates national and international training programmes, conferences, and accreditation initiatives. His experience also includes teaching at PRIST Deemed to be University and corporate service with Manappuram Finance Ltd. A prolific researcher, he has published several papers in reputed journals on inclusive education, HR development, and public transport management, reflecting his commitment to academic excellence and social inclusion.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We express our sincere thanks and deep sense of gratitude to all those who directly or indirectly contributed to the successful completion of this book chapter. This work is the result of continuous learning, meaningful academic exchange and collective effort, and we take this opportunity to acknowledge every individual and institution whose support made this scholarly achievement possible.

With immense pleasure, we, the Editorial Team, extend our heartfelt appreciation to all authors, reviewers, academicians and supporters who enriched this publication through their research, ideas and intellectual engagement. This edited volume stands as a joint academic accomplishment, strengthened by the dedication, cooperation and scholarly enthusiasm of every contributor involved.

We gratefully thank the chapter authors for their timely submissions, research depth and valuable insights. Their analysis, perspectives and theoretical contributions on digital transformation in HRM have significantly enhanced the quality and relevance of this book. We also acknowledge the peer reviewers and subject experts whose constructive feedback helped refine the manuscripts and elevate the academic merit of the final work.

Our sincere appreciation goes to our respective institutions—Hindustan College of Arts & Science, Vels Institute of Science, Technology & Advanced Studies (VISTAS), and NIEPMD, Government of India—for providing continuous encouragement, academic resources and a conducive research environment. We also thank colleagues, research scholars, administrative staff and technical teams for their silent yet invaluable support throughout editing and publication.

With gratitude, we acknowledge our families for their patience, encouragement and unwavering emotional support. Above all, we thank the Almighty for strength and guidance.This book is dedicated to every scholar, reader and researcher who seeks knowledge, reflects on its insights and carries the discourse forward.

Editors

Dr. K. Malarvizhi
Dr. V. Vetrivel
Dr. K. Vinayagam
Dr. L. Esther Thamarine
Dr. R. Manigandan

PREFACE

The present volume, *Digital Disruption in HRM: Tools, Trends, and Transformation*, is a curated collection of research-based chapters that reflect the evolving landscape of Human Resource Management in the digital era. Rapid advancements in artificial intelligence, HR analytics, automation, cloud-based systems, virtual learning platforms and digital engagement tools have altered the way organizations attract, develop, measure and retain human capital. Recognizing the urgency of this transformation, this book brings together diverse scholarly insights to understand the opportunities, challenges, ethical implications and future directions of technology-based HR practices.

Each chapter in this volume contributes uniquely to the central theme by offering theoretical perspectives, industry examples, conceptual models, and critical discussions on digital HRM. The authors—representing academia, research and industry experience—have addressed a wide range of contemporary topics, including AI-driven recruitment, HRIS adoption, hybrid work models, HR analytics, digital learning systems, remote workforce management and emerging technology ethics. This multidimensional approach makes the book not only academically relevant but also practically useful for HR professionals, business leaders, scholars and policy-makers.

The objective of this edited work is to stimulate meaningful discourse on how technology is reshaping people management, and to provide readers with conceptual clarity, empirical insights and future-oriented thought. In compiling this volume, the editors aimed to create a knowledge platform that bridges academic thinking with organizational realities, preparing readers to navigate and contribute to the next phase of HR innovation.

We hope this book serves as a valuable reference for researchers, students and practitioners who seek to understand and engage with digitally transformed HR landscapes. May it inspire continued research, critical reflection and innovative practice in the era of intelligent workforce management.

— Editors

CONTENTS

38. Legal and Ethical Challenges in the Use of AI for Hiring and Monitoring

Ms. S. Ismath Fathima, Lecturer, Department of Commerce, Hindustan College of Arts & Science, Padur, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India - 600119.

Ms. N. Shesha Malini, Lecturer, Department of Commerce (Shift-II), Hindustan College of Arts & Science, Padur, Chennai, India - 603103.

Dr. Bakkialakshmi S, Assistant Professor, Department of Business Management, Vels Institute of Science, Technology & Advanced Studies, Pallavaram, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has rapidly become a transformative force in Human Resource Management (HRM), particularly in the domains of recruitment, hiring, and employee monitoring. From automated résumé screening to real-time behavioral analytics, AI systems promise enhanced efficiency, objectivity, and predictive capability in workforce decisions. However, these same systems raise profound legal and ethical challenges related to bias, discrimination, transparency, privacy, and accountability.

This theoretical study explores the complex intersection of AI ethics, employment law, and HRM practice. Drawing on frameworks such as Ethical AI, deontological ethics, algorithmic fairness theory, and data protection law (GDPR, EEOC, and the EU AI Act), the chapter critically examines how organizations can align AI-based HR technologies with fundamental human rights and organizational integrity.

A conceptual AI Ethics in HR Governance Framework (AIE-HRGF) is proposed, integrating ethical design, regulatory compliance, and human oversight mechanisms. The chapter argues that responsible AI deployment in HRM requires balancing efficiency with empathy, legality with innovation, and data power with human dignity.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, HRM, Ethical AI, Hiring, Monitoring, Bias, Legal Compliance, GDPR, AI Act, HR Analytics.

1. Introduction

The adoption f Artificial Intelligence in HRM has revolutionized traditional people management systems. By leveraging algorithms, predictive analytics, and machine learning, organizations aim to streamline hiring, reduce turnover, and enhance performance evaluation [1].

However, this growing dependence on algorithmic decision-making has led to ethical and legal controversies. Reports of AI-based hiring tools discriminating on the basis of gender, race, or disability have underscored the dark side of digital automation [2]. Similarly, AI-powered employee monitoring tools that track productivity, keystrokes, and sentiment in remote work environments raise concerns about privacy and surveillance ethics [3].

At the heart of this issue lies a fundamental paradox: while AI can increase fairness through data-driven decisions, it can also amplify systemic bias embedded in historical datasets. Moreover, the opacity of many machine learning models (the “black box” problem) conflicts with the principles of accountability and explainability required in employment law [4].

ISBN: 987-81-984949-8-6
Vol 01: Issue 01; Nov: 2025
New Chennai Publication

Thus, the central research question guiding this conceptual inquiry is:

How can HRM reconcile the efficiency gains of AI-based hiring and monitoring with the legal and ethical imperatives of fairness, transparency, and human dignity?

This chapter seeks to address this question by integrating insights from ethics, law, and HRM theory to construct a holistic framework for AI governance in HR functions.

2. Literature Review

2.1 AI in Hiring and Recruitment

AI applications in recruitment include résumé parsing, candidate matching, psychometric assessments, and automated interviews [5]. Platforms such as HireVue, LinkedIn Talent Insights, and Pymetrics use algorithms to assess facial expressions, voice tones, and response patterns.

While these tools increase speed and scalability, studies show that they often replicate historical biases, disadvantaging marginalized groups [6]. Amazon's 2018 AI hiring tool, which unintentionally discriminated against female candidates, remains a cautionary example [7].

2.2 AI in Employee Monitoring

AI monitoring systems collect continuous data on employee behavior, attendance, and digital interaction. Technologies like Microsoft Viva Insights and Teramind analyze productivity patterns to optimize workflows. Yet, these systems blur boundaries between performance measurement and workplace surveillance, raising psychological and ethical concerns [8].

2.3 Legal Frameworks

AI in HR is governed by an emerging web of international regulations:

- General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) – mandates lawful, transparent, and purpose-limited data processing.
- Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) – prohibits algorithmic discrimination in employment decisions.
- EU Artificial Intelligence Act (AI Act, 2024) – classifies recruitment and monitoring systems as "high-risk AI," requiring strict compliance with transparency and human oversight.
- ISO 42001:2023 – outlines standards for AI management systems emphasizing accountability and traceability.

2.4 Ethical Frameworks

Ethical AI theory emphasizes principles such as fairness, accountability, transparency, and explainability (the FATE model) [9]. In HRM contexts, these principles ensure that algorithmic systems respect human values.

2.5 Theoretical Foundations

This chapter draws on several theoretical perspectives:

- Deontological Ethics (Kantian) – moral responsibility over outcomes.
- Utilitarian Ethics – maximizing benefit for the greatest number.
- Algorithmic Fairness Theory – ensuring equality of opportunity across demographic groups [10].
- Stakeholder Theory – balancing interests of employees, employers, and regulators.
- Socio-Technical Systems Theory – co-optimization of human and machine collaboration.

3. Conceptual Framework: AI Ethics in HR Governance Framework (AIE-HRGF)

3.1 Overview

The AIE-HRGF integrates ethical, legal, and organizational dimensions of responsible AI deployment in HRM. It outlines how HR leaders can align AI

ISBN: 987-81-984949-8-6
Vol 01: Issue 01; Nov: 2025
New Chennai Publication

governance with compliance and fairness through structured interventions.

Dimension	Key Principle	HR Application	Ethical Safeguard
Algorithmic Fairness	Avoid discrimination and bias	Inclusive datasets, bias audits	Regular fairness testing
Transparency & Explainability	Disclose decision rationale	Explain AI screening outcomes	Right to explanation (GDPR Art. 22)
Data Protection & Privacy	Limit intrusive monitoring	Consent-based data collection	Anonymization, encryption
Human Oversight	Preserve human judgment	Final decisions by HR professionals	Hybrid human–AI governance
Accountability & Auditability	Ensure traceability	Maintain decision logs	External audits, AI ethics boards
Psychological Safety	Protect employee trust	Clear communication of AI usage	Ethics training and disclosure policies

3.2 Framework Logic

The model operates under three key governance pillars:

1. Ethical Design – ensuring systems are built with bias mitigation and fairness by default.
2. Regulatory Compliance – adhering to global labor and privacy laws.
3. Human-in-the-Loop (HITL) – guaranteeing human oversight in all AI-driven HR decisions.

4. Theoretical Integration

4.1 Deontological Ethics

Kantian deontology posits that actions are ethical if they respect human autonomy and dignity. Applying this to HR, algorithms must treat employees not as data objects but as ends in themselves [11]. Transparency and consent become moral duties, not optional compliance features.

4.2 Algorithmic Fairness Theory

Fairness in AI systems involves ensuring that outcomes are not disproportionately biased toward any protected group. Statistical definitions include equalized odds, demographic parity, and individual fairness [12]. HR systems must employ bias-detection algorithms and diverse data sources to meet these fairness standards.

4.3 Socio-Technical Systems Theory

AI deployment should harmonize technical efficiency with human well-being. In HR contexts, this means designing AI systems that enhance rather than replace human decision-making [13].

4.4 Institutional Theory

Organizations adopt AI governance structures in response to external pressures—regulatory, normative, and cognitive [14]. The EU AI Act exemplifies coercive institutional pressure, compelling firms to formalize AI ethics programs.

5. Discussion

5.1 Ethical Dilemmas in AI-Based Hiring

- Opacity vs. Explainability – complex algorithms challenge HR's ability to justify hiring decisions.
- Efficiency vs. Equity – faster hiring may come at the cost of fairness.
- Automation vs. Empathy – candidates may feel alienated when interacting solely with machines.

5.2 Employee Monitoring and Privacy

AI-enabled monitoring threatens employees' psychological safety, especially in remote work settings. Ethical design demands clear communication about data use, limited intrusion, and purpose alignment with legitimate business interests [15].

5.3 Legal Tensions

- EEOC requires employers to demonstrate that AI tools do not create adverse impacts.
- GDPR Art. 22prohibits fully automated decisions with legal consequences for individuals without human review.
- AI Act (2024) mandates algorithmic risk classification, third-party auditing, and human control mechanisms.

ISBN: 987-81-984949-8-6
Vol 01: Issue 01; Nov: 2025
New Chennai Publication

5.4 Emerging Risks

1. Algorithmic Bias Amplification – inherited discrimination in datasets.
2. Digital Surveillance Fatigue – erosion of employee trust.
3. Liability Ambiguity – unclear accountability when errors occur.
4. Ethical Drift – normalization of unethical practices under efficiency pressure.

6. Findings and Insights

1. AI governance is an ethical necessity, not a technical feature.
2. Bias management must be proactive and continuous, involving both algorithmic audits and inclusive data design.
3. Transparency enhances legitimacy, reducing reputational and legal risk.
4. Human oversight is irreplaceable—final HR decisions should involve human judgment.
5. Ethical leadership in HR is central to ensuring responsible AI deployment.

7. Implications for HR Practice

- Establish AI Ethics Committees within HR departments.
- Implement algorithmic impact assessments (AIAs) prior to deploying AI tools.
- Provide ethics and compliance training for HR practitioners.
- Ensure cross-disciplinary collaboration between HR, IT, and legal teams.
- Introduce employee rights charters outlining data privacy and AI transparency policies.
- Regularly publish AI ethics reports to demonstrate accountability and compliance.

8. Conclusion

The integration of AI in HRM symbolizes both progress and peril. While algorithmic systems offer unprecedented efficiency, they also challenge the ethical foundations of fairness, autonomy, and privacy that underpin human work.

The AI Ethics in HR Governance Framework (AIE-HRGF) proposed here provides a conceptual roadmap for reconciling digital innovation with legal and moral responsibility. As AI regulation intensifies globally, HR professionals must assume a proactive role as ethical custodians of algorithmic fairness.

Responsible AI in HR is not about resisting technology—it is about ensuring that technology serves humanity with transparency, justice, and respect.

References

[1] PwC, *AI in HR: Efficiency and Ethics Report 2024*, PwC Global, 2024.

[2] E. Bogen and S. Rieke, "Help wanted: An examination of hiring algorithms, equity, and bias," *Upturn*, 2018.

[3] S. Zuboff, *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism*, PublicAffairs, 2019.

[4] R. Mittelstadt et al., "The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate," *Big Data & Society*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 1–21, 2016.

[5] J. Tambe, P. Cappelli, and V. Yakubovich, "Artificial intelligence in human resources management," *Academy of Management Annals*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 715–759, 2019.

[6] S. Raghavan, "Mitigating bias in AI recruitment tools," *Human Resource Management Review*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 12–29, 2023.

[7] Reuters, "Amazon scraps secret AI recruiting tool that showed bias against women," *Reuters Technology*, 2018.

[8] N. Moore, "Surveillance and trust in digital workplaces," *Journal of Business Ethics*, vol. 178, no. 3, pp. 615–632, 2022.

ISBN: 987-81-984949-8-6
Vol 01: Issue 01; Nov: 2025
New Chennai Publication

[9] A. Jobin, M. Ienca, and E. Vayena, "The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines," *Nature Machine Intelligence*, vol. 1, pp. 389–399, 2019.

[10] S. Barocas and A. D. Selbst, "Big data's disparate impact," *California Law Review*, vol. 104, no. 3, pp. 671–732, 2016.

[11] I. Kant, *Groundwork for the Metaphysics of Morals*, Cambridge University Press, 1785/1997.

[12] J. Kleinberg et al., "Inherent trade-offs in the fair determination of risk scores," *Proceedings of the Innovations in Theoretical Computer Science Conference*, 2017.

[13] E. Trist and K. Emery, *Socio-Technical Systems in Organizational Development*, 1951.

[14] P. DiMaggio and W. Powell, "Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality," *American Sociological Review*, 1983.

[15] European Commission, *AI Act Regulation (EU 2024/1680)*, Brussels, 2024.

ISBN: 987-81-984949-8-6
Vol 01: Issue 01; Nov: 2025
New Chennai Publication