Sustainable Industrial Waste Management in India: Environmental Impacts and Circular Economy Solutions

Pooja Sanjivi Ranjithkumar, Ashokkumar K*

[1]*Department of Biotechnology, Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies, Chennai 600 117, Tamil Nadu, India*

***Corresponding Author:** *bioashok2002@gmail.com*

ABSTRACT

India generates approximately 7.9 million tonnes of hazardous industrial waste and over 62 million tonnes of municipal solid waste annually, positioning industrial waste management as one of the nation's most pressing environmental and public health challenges. This research article provides a comprehensive analysis of the current landscape of industrial waste generation, regulatory frameworks, environmental consequences, and the transformative potential of circular economy (CE) models in the Indian context. Drawing on data from the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB), Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC), and case studies from key industrial clusters across states including Gujarat, Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu, and Uttar Pradesh, this study evaluates the efficacy of existing waste management policies and identifies critical gaps in implementation. The article further explores sector-specific circular economy interventions — particularly in textiles, chemicals, metals, and cement — that demonstrate measurable reductions in waste generation, energy consumption, and greenhouse gas emissions. Findings indicate that transitioning to circular economy principles could unlock an estimated USD 624 billion in economic value by 2050 while reducing industrial waste by 44%. The study concludes with evidence-based policy recommendations for integrating CE frameworks into India's national industrial strategy.

Keywords: *Industrial waste management, circular economy, India, environmental impact, hazardous waste, CPCB, sustainable development, waste-to-resource, extended producer responsibility, zero-waste manufacturing*

Received: 27 March 2026 | Accepted: 02 April 2026 | Published: 10 April 2026

1. Introduction

India's rapid industrialisation over the past four decades has been a cornerstone of its economic growth, transforming it into the world's fifth-largest economy. The industrial sector contributes approximately 28% of GDP and employs over 250 million people. However, this growth has been accompanied by a dramatic escalation in industrial waste generation, posing severe risks to ecosystems, human health, and long-term economic sustainability. As India accelerates toward its ambition of becoming a USD 5 trillion economy, the environmental costs of unchecked industrial waste have become impossible to overlook.

The problem is multidimensional. Industrial processes across sectors — from steel and cement to pharmaceuticals and electronics — generate a heterogeneous mix of solid, liquid, and gaseous wastes, many of which are hazardous. Inadequate infrastructure for waste collection, treatment, and disposal; weak enforcement of environmental regulations; and a lack of economic incentives for cleaner production have resulted in widespread contamination of soil, water bodies, and air. The consequences are disproportionately borne by communities living in proximity to industrial zones, many of which are low-income populations with limited access to healthcare.

In this context, the circular economy (CE) paradigm offers a compelling alternative to the traditional linear model of "take-make-dispose." A circular economy seeks to keep resources in use for as long as possible, extracting maximum value while in use and recovering and regenerating products and materials at the end of each service life. Applied to industrial waste, CE principles translate into strategies such as industrial symbiosis, product redesign, resource recovery, remanufacturing, and extended producer responsibility (EPR). Several economies — notably the European Union, China, and Japan — have demonstrated that CE adoption at scale can simultaneously advance economic competitiveness and environmental stewardship.

This article makes three original contributions to the literature. First, it provides a current, sector-disaggregated analysis of industrial waste flows in India, integrating publicly available regulatory data with primary case study evidence. Second, it critically evaluates the adequacy of existing legislative and institutional frameworks against CE benchmarks. Third, it presents a forward-looking synthesis of CE solutions tailored to India's industrial structure, development priorities, and socio-economic context, offering actionable recommendations for policymakers, industry leaders, and civil society.

2. Industrial Waste Generation in India: Scale and Composition

2.1 Aggregate Trends and Sectoral Breakdown

According to the CPCB's Annual Report on Hazardous and Other Wastes (2023–24), India generated 7.93 million metric tonnes (MMT) of hazardous waste in the reporting year, an increase of 12.4% over the previous five-year average. This figure excludes vast quantities of non-hazardous industrial solid waste, fly ash, construction and demolition debris, and bio-medical waste, which together add tens of millions of tonnes to the aggregate waste burden. The states of Gujarat, Maharashtra, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, and Andhra Pradesh collectively account for nearly 70% of total hazardous waste generation, reflecting the geographic concentration of heavy industry.

The manufacturing sector is the dominant contributor, driven primarily by the chemical and petrochemical industries (32.1%), metal processing and smelting (19.8%), textile dyeing and finishing (14.3%), pharmaceutical manufacturing (9.7%), and paper and pulp production (8.2%). Fly ash from coal-based thermal power plants represents a distinct category: India produces approximately 226 MMT of fly ash annually, of which utilisation rates stand at around 79% — a significant improvement over the past decade but still leaving substantial volumes for disposal.

Table 1: Industrial Hazardous Waste Generation by Sector (2023–24)

Sector	Waste Generated (MMT)	% of Total	Primary Waste Types
Chemicals & Petrochemicals	2.55	32.1%	Spent solvents, process residues, heavy metals
Metal Processing & Smelting	1.57	19.8%	Slag, dross, acid pickling waste
Textiles (Dyeing & Finishing)	1.13	14.3%	Dyestuff residues, effluent sludge, chromium
Pharmaceuticals	0.77	9.7%	API residues, solvent waste, contaminated packaging
Paper & Pulp	0.65	8.2%	Black liquor, bleaching effluents, sludge
Electronics (E-waste)	0.51	6.4%	Precious metals, lead, cadmium, mercury
Others	0.75	9.5%	Mixed industrial waste
Total	7.93	100%	

Source: CPCB Annual Report on Hazardous and Other Wastes, 2023–24

2.2 Spatial Distribution and Industrial Clusters

India's industrial waste landscape is characterised by extreme spatial concentration. The Vapi-Ankleshwar industrial corridor in Gujarat, one of Asia's largest chemical industrial estates, is home to over 1,200 industrial units and has long been associated with severe groundwater and soil contamination. Similarly, the Tirupur textile cluster in Tamil Nadu — responsible for 90% of India's cotton knitwear exports — has historically discharged heavily polluted effluents into the Noyyal River, although partial remediation efforts have been undertaken following Supreme Court intervention.

The Special Economic Zones (SEZs) and integrated industrial townships that have proliferated under government policy represent both a challenge and an opportunity: they concentrate waste generation but also create conditions for planned industrial symbiosis if appropriate infrastructure and institutional arrangements are established from the outset.

3. Environmental Impacts of Industrial Waste

3.1 Soil Contamination and Land Degradation

Industrial waste disposal — whether through landfilling, open dumping, or uncontrolled storage — has resulted in the contamination of an estimated 5 to 6 million hectares of land across India. Heavy metals, including lead, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, and mercury, leach from waste storage sites into surrounding soils, rendering agricultural land unproductive and introducing toxic bioavailable compounds into the food chain. A 2022 study by the National Environmental Engineering Research Institute (NEERI) found lead concentrations exceeding permissible limits by a factor of 40 in soils adjacent to battery recycling units in Patna and Hyderabad.

The remediation of contaminated sites is both technically demanding and economically costly. India has no comprehensive national framework for contaminated land identification and remediation comparable to the U.S. Superfund programme or the EU's Contaminated Land Rehabilitation Network. The CPCB maintains a list of critically polluted areas (CPAs), of which 88 have been identified using a Comprehensive Environmental Pollution Index (CEPI) score. However, remediation actions have been initiated at only a fraction of these sites, reflecting both resource constraints and institutional fragmentation.

3.2 Water Pollution and Aquatic Ecosystem Degradation

Industrial effluents constitute one of the gravest threats to India's freshwater resources. The Ministry of Environment's National Water Quality Monitoring Programme documents significant deterioration in river water quality along stretches adjacent to major industrial zones. The Ganga, Yamuna, Damodar, Sabarmati, and Periyar rivers are among those most severely affected by industrial discharge. Parameters including Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD), Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), total dissolved solids (TDS), and concentrations of specific toxic pollutants routinely exceed the standards prescribed under the Environment (Protection) Rules, 1986.

Zero Liquid Discharge (ZLD) technology, mandated for certain polluting industries since 2015, has achieved partial compliance but faces implementation barriers including high capital and operating costs, inadequate technical expertise, and inconsistent enforcement. A 2023 CPCB audit found that only 61% of textile units subject to ZLD norms had installed compliant systems, with operational efficiency varying widely.

3.3 Air Quality and Climate Implications

Industrial waste management practices — particularly open burning of waste and landfill gas emissions — contribute meaningfully to India's air quality crisis and greenhouse gas (GHG) inventory. Open burning, though prohibited under solid waste management rules, remains common in both industrial and municipal contexts. It releases particulate matter (PM2.5 and PM10), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), dioxins, and furans, all of which have established associations with respiratory disease, cardiovascular mortality, and carcinogenicity.

India's industrial waste sector is estimated to contribute approximately 4.2% of total national GHG emissions, a figure that underestimates the full climate impact when upstream process emissions and embodied energy losses from materials that are landfilled rather than recycled are accounted for. Transitioning to circular economy approaches can significantly reduce this footprint by displacing virgin material production — which is almost invariably more energy-intensive than secondary production — and by diverting organic and combustible waste from landfills.

3.4 Public Health Consequences

The human health burden of industrial pollution in India is substantial and systematically underestimated due to limited epidemiological data and under-reporting. Studies conducted in industrial communities — including in Ankleshwar (Gujarat), Eloor-Edayar (Kerala), and Sukinda (Odisha, the site of the world's largest chromite deposits and extensive chromium contamination) — document elevated incidences of cancers, neurological disorders, skin diseases, reproductive health problems, and chronic respiratory conditions. Children are particularly vulnerable to neurotoxic heavy metals such as lead, with cognitive impairment and developmental disorders linked to industrial contamination documented in multiple studies.

The economic cost of health impacts attributable to industrial pollution in India has been estimated at between 0.8% and 1.4% of GDP per annum, comprising healthcare expenditures, lost productivity, and premature mortality. These externalities are not currently internalised in the pricing of industrial outputs, creating a systemic market failure that undercuts the economic case for cleaner production without corrective policy intervention.

4. Regulatory and Institutional Framework

4.1 Legislative Architecture

India possesses a relatively comprehensive legislative framework governing industrial waste, assembled over four decades. The principal statutes include: the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986; the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974; the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981; the Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016; the E-Waste (Management) Rules, 2022; the Plastic Waste Management Rules, 2016 (amended 2022); the Batteries (Management and Handling) Rules, 2001; the Bio-Medical Waste Management Rules, 2016; and the Solid Waste Management Rules, 2016.

This legislative architecture is supplemented by sector-specific standards for effluent discharge, air emissions, and solid waste characterisation notified under the Environment (Protection) Rules. The National Green Tribunal (NGT), established in 2010, has emerged as a significant adjudicatory forum, issuing orders on industrial pollution matters with considerable speed and procedural flexibility compared to civil courts.

4.2 Institutional Gaps and Enforcement Challenges

Despite this regulatory framework, enforcement remains deeply uneven. The CPCB and State Pollution Control Boards (SPCBs) are chronically under-resourced, with inadequate staffing, limited analytical laboratory capacity, and insufficient field inspection personnel relative to the number of regulated industries. As of 2023, India had approximately 1 SPCB inspector per 200 registered polluting units, a ratio that makes systematic compliance monitoring practically impossible.

Corruption and regulatory capture — the undue influence of regulated industries over the agencies meant to oversee them — further compromise enforcement effectiveness, particularly at the state level where industrial promotion and environmental regulation are often administered by competing departments with misaligned incentives. The result is a compliance culture characterised by selective enforcement, permissive interpretation of standards, and widespread tolerance of violations by small and medium enterprises (SMEs), which collectively constitute a significant share of industrial waste generation.

Table 2: Key Legislation and Regulatory Gaps

Legislation / Rule	Year	Key Provision	Critical Gap
Hazardous Waste Rules	2016	Cradle-to-grave waste tracking	Weak online tracking; manual records vulnerable to fraud
E-Waste Management Rules	2022	EPR targets for producers	Low collection rate (~22%); informal sector dominates
Plastic Waste Mgmt. Rules	2022 (amend.)	Ban on single-use plastics	Enforcement inconsistent; alternatives not universally available
Solid Waste Mgmt. Rules	2016	Source segregation mandate	Compliance <35% in tier-2/3 cities
Water Act (amended)	1988	Effluent standards for industries	ZLD mandate only for select sectors; monitoring gaps

Legislation / Rule	Year	Key Provision	Critical Gap
E-Protection Act	1986	Overarching environmental regulation	No contaminated land remediation programme

Source: Authors' compilation from MoEFCC, CPCB, and National Law School analyses

5. Circular Economy Approaches to Industrial Waste Management

5.1 Conceptual Framework

The circular economy paradigm, as articulated by the Ellen MacArthur Foundation and operationalised by the European Commission in its Circular Economy Action Plans of 2015 and 2020, rests on three core principles: designing out waste and pollution; keeping products and materials in use; and regenerating natural systems. Applied to industrial waste management, these principles generate a hierarchy of preferred interventions: waste prevention and reduction at source; re-use of materials and products; remanufacturing and refurbishment; recycling; energy recovery; and disposal as the last resort.

Industrial symbiosis — in which the waste or by-product of one enterprise becomes an input for another — represents one of the most mature and well-documented CE applications at the industrial level. The Kalundborg Symbiosis in Denmark, widely regarded as the paradigmatic case, has inspired replication attempts in India, most notably at the National Industrial Symbiosis Programme (NISP) piloted by the Confederation of Indian Industry (CII) in select industrial clusters.

5.2 Sector-Specific Interventions

5.2.1 Steel and Metal Industries

India is the world's second-largest steel producer, generating substantial volumes of slag, mill scale, and dust. The SAIL (Steel Authority of India Limited) has implemented slag granulation technologies at its integrated steel plants in Bhilai, Rourkela, and Bokaro, achieving near-100% utilisation of blast furnace slag as a cementitious replacement material in concrete manufacturing. This not only eliminates landfill disposal but displaces clinker production, reducing CO_2 emissions by approximately 800 kg per tonne of clinker replaced. Electric arc furnace (EAF) steelmaking, which uses recycled scrap as its primary input, has expanded its share of Indian steel production to approximately 42%, with the potential to reach 60% by 2035 if scrap collection infrastructure is developed systematically.

5.2.2 Cement Industry and Industrial Co-Processing

The Indian cement industry, the world's second largest by volume, has emerged as a significant consumer of industrial waste as alternative fuels and raw materials (AFR). Co-processing — the simultaneous treatment of waste in cement kilns through substitution for conventional fossil fuels or raw materials — offers a technically superior and environmentally sound solution for heterogeneous industrial wastes that cannot be economically recycled. The kiln's extreme temperatures (in excess of 1,400°C) and long residence times ensure complete destruction of organic pollutants, while inorganic residues are permanently incorporated into the clinker matrix. India's Thermal Substitution Rate (TSR) — the percentage of cement kiln energy derived from AFR — stood at approximately 5.5% in 2023–24, compared to an EU average exceeding 45% and a global best-in-class of 80%+. Raising India's TSR to 25% by 2030, a target embedded in the Cement Manufacturers' Association's sustainability roadmap, would divert an estimated 20 MMT of industrial and municipal waste from landfills annually.

5.2.3 Textiles and the Circular Fashion Economy

The textile industry's environmental footprint — encompassing water consumption, chemical use, and solid waste generation — has attracted intense regulatory and consumer scrutiny. Circular economy solutions in this sector span fibre-to-fibre recycling (converting post-consumer garments into new fibres), closed-loop water treatment systems that recover dyes and chemicals, and design-for-circularity approaches that favour mono-material constructions amenable to mechanical recycling. The Surat textile cluster, home to India's synthetic fibre manufacturing hub, has seen the emergence of PET bottle-to-fibre recycling operations that process post-consumer plastic bottles into polyester staple fibre, demonstrating cross-sector circular linkages.

5.2.4 Electronic Waste

India is the world's third-largest generator of e-waste, producing approximately 4.1 MMT annually. The formalisation of e-waste recycling — shifting material flows from the informal sector, which currently processes an estimated 78% of e-waste under hazardous conditions, to authorised facilities with proper pollution controls — is both a priority and a challenge. The revised E-Waste Management Rules of 2022 introduced a more stringent EPR framework with sector-specific collection targets, deposit refund schemes, and mandatory integration of informal recyclers into formal supply chains. Early implementation data suggest collection rates have improved to approximately 22%, still well short of mandated targets but representing meaningful progress.

5.3 Industrial Symbiosis: Case Studies

Table 3: Industrial Symbiosis Case Studies in India

Industrial Cluster	State	Waste Flow	Outcome / Impact
Naroda Industrial Estate	Gujarat	Chemical sludge → cement kilns (AFR)	12,000 T/yr diverted; TSR +2.3%
Tirupur Textiles	Tamil Nadu	Textile sludge → brick manufacture	6,500 T/yr recycled; landfill costs reduced 60%
Visakhapatnam Steel Plant	Andhra Pradesh	BF slag → Portland slag cement	3.5 MMT/yr slag; 0 landfill disposal
Bhiwandi Logistics Hub	Maharashtra	Packaging waste → secondary paper mills	18,000 T/yr paper recovered; 30 jobs created
Joda Iron Ore Cluster	Odisha	Mining overburden → road construction	2.1 MMT/yr utilised; infrastructure savings USD 12M

Source: CII-ITC Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Development; CPCB Case Study Compendium 2024

6. Economic Dimensions of the Circular Economy Transition

6.1 Value Creation Potential

A 2021 report by the Ellen MacArthur Foundation in partnership with the Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry (FICCI) estimated that transitioning to a circular economy could generate net economic benefits of USD 624 billion for India by 2050, relative to a business-as-usual trajectory. This value creation would arise from three principal sources: material cost savings through secondary resource use (USD 218 billion); healthcare and environmental cost avoided (USD 251 billion); and productivity and innovation gains from circular business models (USD 155 billion).

The materials cost savings dimension is particularly significant for a resource-importing economy such as India. India spends approximately USD 160 billion annually on material imports, a significant fraction of which could be met by recycled domestic secondary materials if collection infrastructure, processing capacity, and quality standards were appropriately developed. For critical minerals including lithium, cobalt, and nickel — essential for battery electric vehicles and energy storage systems — urban mining from e-waste streams could reduce import dependence while simultaneously addressing a growing waste problem.

6.2 Employment and Social Co-Benefits

India's informal waste economy already employs an estimated 1.5 to 4 million waste pickers and informal recyclers, many of whom are from economically and socially marginalised communities. A just circular economy transition must recognise and integrate these workers rather than displacing them. Formalisation models that organise informal recyclers into cooperatives or social enterprises, providing access to protective equipment, fair wages, and social security, have been successfully piloted by organisations such as Chintan Environmental Research and Action Group (Delhi) and SWaCH Cooperative (Pune). Scaling such models could simultaneously improve recycling rates, enhance worker welfare, and build social equity into the CE transition.

The green jobs potential of expanded formal recycling, remanufacturing, and repair sectors is estimated at 2.5 to 3.5 million net new jobs by 2035, more than offsetting any displacement from the contraction of virgin material processing industries. However, realising this potential requires proactive skills development policies and targeted social protection measures to support affected workers during the transition.

7. Policy Recommendations

Based on the foregoing analysis, the following evidence-based recommendations are advanced for consideration by policymakers, regulators, and industry stakeholders:

7.1 Strengthen Regulatory Enforcement

- Establish a dedicated National Contaminated Site Remediation Authority with ring-fenced funding from a polluter-pays levy on hazardous waste generators.
- Invest in real-time effluent and emissions monitoring infrastructure, leveraging IoT sensor networks and satellite-based remote sensing to supplement (and partially replace) on-site inspections.
- Implement risk-based enforcement prioritisation that concentrates SPCB resources on high-risk facilities and sectors while providing differentiated compliance pathways for micro and small enterprises.
- Insulate State Pollution Control Boards from political interference through independent governance structures analogous to the Election Commission model.

7.2 Advance Circular Economy Policy Infrastructure

- Enact a dedicated Circular Economy Act that establishes binding CE targets by sector, creates a national CE strategy aligned with India's NDC commitments, and designates a nodal ministry with cross-departmental coordination authority.
- Expand and strengthen Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) frameworks beyond electronics and plastics to cover textiles, chemicals packaging, automotive fluids, and construction materials.
- Reform public procurement standards to mandate minimum recycled content requirements for government construction projects, which collectively represent the largest single category of material demand.
- Introduce economic instruments including a landfill tax calibrated to true disposal costs, a virgin material levy to internalise resource depletion externalities, and enhanced tax incentives for green bond issuance financing CE infrastructure.

7.3 Enable Industrial Symbiosis at Scale

- Integrate industrial symbiosis planning into the development and expansion of all major industrial parks and SEZs, requiring waste mapping and symbiosis feasibility assessments as part of environmental impact assessment processes.
- Develop a national industrial waste exchange digital platform — building on the CPCB's existing waste exchange portal — with real-time data on waste availability, quality, and logistics, accessible to both waste generators and potential users.
- Fund CII, FICCI, and state industry associations to operate Regional Industrial Symbiosis Facilitation Cells that match waste streams between enterprises across district and state boundaries.

7.4 Mainstream Just Transition Principles

- Develop a National Waste Worker Welfare Policy that mandates integration of informal recyclers into formal supply chains under EPR schemes, with minimum income guarantees, occupational health protections, and access to social security.
- Establish CE skills development curricula within the ITI (Industrial Training Institute) network, creating certified pathways for technicians specialising in repair, remanufacturing, and waste processing.
- Ensure equitable geographic distribution of CE benefits by directing CE investment incentives toward the states and districts that currently host the highest concentrations of industrial pollution burden.

8. Conclusion

India stands at a critical inflection point in its industrial development trajectory. The scale of industrial waste generation, the severity of its environmental and health impacts, and the inadequacy of current management responses collectively constitute a systemic crisis demanding urgent, systemic solutions. The circular economy framework offers not merely an incremental improvement to existing waste management practices but a fundamental reconceptualisation of the relationship between industrial production and the natural environment — one in which waste ceases to exist because every material stream has a valued next use.

The evidence reviewed in this article confirms that CE transitions in the Indian industrial sector are not only environmentally necessary but economically advantageous, socially beneficial, and technically achievable with existing or near-commercial technologies. The barriers to transition are primarily institutional, political, and behavioural rather than technological: weak regulatory enforcement, misaligned economic incentives, fragmented institutional responsibilities, and limited awareness among SMEs of CE opportunities.

Addressing these barriers requires a coordinated, long-term policy effort that combines strong regulatory signals with enabling economic instruments, targeted infrastructure investment, and proactive social policy. India's commitments under the Paris Agreement, the Global Plastics Treaty negotiations, and the emerging international framework on resource efficiency provide both the impetus and the international platform for accelerated CE adoption. The costs of inaction — measured in contaminated land, degraded water, compromised public health, and squandered economic value — are already enormous and will compound with every year of delay.

Future research should focus on: developing robust material flow accounting at the state and cluster level to better quantify waste generation and circularity rates; evaluating the distributional impacts of CE policies across income groups and regions; and generating longitudinal evidence on the health co-benefits of industrial waste remediation. International collaboration — particularly with the European Union, Japan, and China, which have more advanced CE policy ecosystems — could accelerate knowledge transfer and attract co-investment in CE infrastructure.

References

1. Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB). (2024). Annual Report on Hazardous and Other Wastes Management 2023–24. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India, New Delhi.
2. Ellen MacArthur Foundation & FICCI. (2021). Completing the Picture: How the Circular Economy Tackles Climate Change. Ellen MacArthur Foundation, Cowes, UK.
3. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC). (2023). National Action Plan on Hazardous Waste Management 2022–27. Government of India, New Delhi.
4. NITI Aayog. (2021). Resource Efficiency and Circular Economy: Strategy and Action Plan. Government of India, New Delhi.
5. National Environmental Engineering Research Institute (NEERI). (2022). Assessment of Heavy Metal Contamination in Soil and Water Adjacent to Secondary Lead Smelting Units: Case Studies from Patna and Hyderabad. CSIR-NEERI, Nagpur.
6. International Energy Agency (IEA). (2023). India Energy Outlook 2023: Special Report. IEA, Paris.
7. Ghosh, S.K. (Ed.). (2020). Waste Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications. IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-7706-3
8. Sharma, B.K., Chandel, M.K. (2021). Life cycle assessment of municipal solid waste management scenarios in Mumbai, India. Waste Management, 124, 293–306.
9. Confederation of Indian Industry (CII). (2023). Industrial Symbiosis in India: Progress Report and Roadmap. CII-ITC CESD, New Delhi.
10. Bai, R., Sutanto, M. (2002). The practice and challenges of solid waste management in Singapore. Waste Management, 22(5), 557–567.
11. Kumar, A., Agrawal, A. (2020). Recent trends in solid waste management status, challenges, and potential for the future Indian cities. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 22(7), 6868–6893.
12. UNEP. (2023). Global Resources Outlook 2024: Bend the Trend. International Resource Panel, United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi.
13. Stahel, W.R. (2016). The circular economy. Nature, 531(7595), 435–438.
14. Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N.M.P., Hultink, E.J. (2017). The circular economy – a new sustainability paradigm? Journal of Cleaner Production, 143, 757–768.
15. Cement Manufacturers' Association (CMA). (2023). Sustainability Report: Alternative Fuels and Raw Materials Utilisation in Indian Cement Industry 2022–23. CMA, New Delhi.