



MERLIN JABA T <merlinjeba12@gmail.com>

Inderscience Publishers: Paper accepted for publication IJMEI-256801

Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>
To: merlinjeba12@gmail.com
Cc: lipsanayak981@outlook.com

Tue, Aug 12, 2025 at 9:03 PM



Dear Mrs. Merlin Jaba T,

(Co-authors are copied into this email for information purposes.)

Ref: Title: "A framework for classifying thyroid cancer using APPO_HyRZNet from ultrasound images"
Submission code: IJMEI-256801

I am pleased to inform you that your paper has been accepted for publication in Int. J. of Medical Engineering and Informatics.

You now need to upload the final revised version of your paper and your author copyright agreement form(s) or your Open Access order form.

The reviewers' comments are added to the end of this email for your information. There may be some useful suggestions for improving your final version.

*** INSTRUCTIONS ***

Log in to the Inderscience Submissions system. There is a reminder facility there if you have forgotten your username or password.

Then use the following link, and you will be taken to the correct section for uploading:
<https://indersciencesubmissions.com/track/index.php?action=submissiondetails&intSubmissionId=256801>

1. Scroll down the page to the section called "Peer Review Progress".

Use "Edit metadata" link to make any required corrections to the title, abstract or keywords. Please also review carefully for any spelling or grammatical errors.

Remember to use the "Save" button to save your changes.

It is not possible for you to make changes to author information yourself. If changes are essential, then you will need to get approval by contacting the journal's Editor in Chief with your change request and a clear reason for the change.

This request must come from all the authors, including the person you are adding or removing and they must be copied into the email you send.

2. At "Type of Article" select from the drop-down list whether you are publishing with a "Standard" licence or an "Open Access" licence.

If you want to publish as Open Access, select the OA Licence and upload your completed OA order form, and then move onto point 4 on this list.

See more information on the Inderscience web site at
https://www.inderscience.com/info/inauthors/author_oa.php

3. If you are publishing with a Standard licence, select 'Standard' and use the "Browse" or "Choose file" button to locate and insert your signed Author Copyright Agreement Form. Then use the adjacent 'Upload' button.

We must receive a signature from every author.

Author signatures can be all on one form or on separate forms.

If there is more than one form, please submit forms all together in a zipped file.

It is not acceptable for one author to sign on behalf of the other authors.

The Author Copyright Agreement Form (and instructions on how to sign and submit it) can be found on the Inderscience website at

https://www.inderscience.com/info/inauthors/author_copyright.php

Note: Papers submitted to Open Access-only journals or to some Special Issues will not display a choice of licence. Such papers can only be published with an Open Access licence and will incur an APC fee.

4. Next you need to upload the final version of your paper.

Find your latest version. (You can download and use the file you submitted to our system if you wish. See 'Author's original submission' or 'Author's revised version')

Insert the author details, such as name, email address, institution and biographical statement in the first page of your document.

Be sure to remove your reply or any response to reviewers that might show at the beginning of your paper.

Save your changes as a Word document.

No other file types are accepted.

(if this is a LaTeX file, please upload a pdf version as a supplementary file).

Now return to our submissions system and use the "Browse" or "Choose file" button to insert this file and then use the adjacent 'Upload' button.

5. Check that you have done all 4 steps above as required and then use the "Submit" button to complete the process.

Thank you! Your continuing cooperation is most appreciated.

With kind regards,
Prof. Dr. Dinesh P. Mital (Editor for this submission)
Int. J. of Medical Engineering and Informatics
<https://www.inderscience.com/ijmei>

REVIEWER 1

Review date: 22 Jun 2025

MANUSCRIPT FEATURES

Originality of the work: Marginal

Subject relevance: Acceptable

Professional/industrial relevance: Marginal

Completeness of the work: Marginal

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references: Acceptable

Organisation of the manuscript: Poor

Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations: Poor

Likelihood of passing the test of time: Poor

QUALITY AND RIGOUR

Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable): no

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?: yes

Is the manuscript free of commercialism?: yes

Is the article too long?: yes

RECOMMENDATION: Acceptable with major revisions

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Changes which must be made before publication:

Paper is far too long to be considered for publication in journal.

Normal recommended length is 12.15 pages, it is like a long report of 43 pages.

Author is recommended to shorten the paper to 12.15 pages. In particular

section 3 is basic tutorial type. can not be published as whole. reduce to 0.5 a page

Reduce mathematical treatment to bare minimum.

I do not understand the need of Pay Net Architecture. To be deleted. just give reference,

Section 3.3.2 is all about training. Why so many pages are devoted.

I really do not know why so much of math. Actual application is taking back seat, Looks like paper has to be submitted to science journal.

Overall, reduce to 12 pages, and resubmit. cover the intended application, not mathematics

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication:

Paper not acceptable in current form

REVIEWER 2

Review date: 20 Jun 2025

MANUSCRIPT FEATURES

Originality of the work: Marginal

Subject relevance: Acceptable

Professional/industrial relevance: Marginal

Completeness of the work: Poor

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references: Acceptable

Organisation of the manuscript: Marginal

Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations: Poor

Likelihood of passing the test of time: Poor

QUALITY AND RIGOUR

Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable): yes

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?: yes

Is the manuscript free of commercialism?: yes

Is the article too long?: yes

RECOMMENDATION: Acceptable with major revisions

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Changes which must be made before publication:

The paper is not written as a research paper.

It is far too long. It looks like author has simply reproduced the research report.

It has to be written as a 10.12 pages research paper to be reconsidered.

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication:

none

*** End of reviewer comments ***
