

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357735726>

Journal of Critical Reviews PSEUDO-COMPLEMENTATION ON ALMOST DISTRIBUTIVE FUZZY LATTICES

Article · January 2022

DOI: 10.31838/jcr.07.07.138

CITATIONS

0

READS

57

2 authors, including:



Nasreen Sultana a

Vels University

4 PUBLICATIONS 0 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

PSEUDO-COMPLEMENTATION ON ALMOST DISTRIBUTIVE FUZZY LATTICES

Dr.Sg. Karpagavalli^{1*}, A. Nasreen Sultana²

^{1*}Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Vels Institute of Science, Technology & Advanced Studies. India.

karpagavalli.sbs@velsuniv.ac.in

²Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Vels Institute of Science, Technology & Advanced Studies. anasreensultana@gmail.com.

Received: 19.02.2020

Revised: 27.03.2020

Accepted: 13.04.2020

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concept of a Pseudo-Complementation* on an Almost Distributive Fuzzy Lattice (PCADFL) as a generalization of an almost Distributive Fuzzy Lattice (ADFL). It is proved that it is equationally definable on ADFL by using properties of Pseudo-Complementation on almost Distributive Lattice. We state and prove some results of a PCADFL, too.

Keywords: Almost Distributive Fuzzy Lattice (ADFL), Pseudo-Complementation, Fuzzy Partial Order Relation, Fuzzy Poset, Maximal Element, Pseudo-Complementation on Almost Distributive Fuzzy Lattice (PCADFL).

© 2020 by Advance Scientific Research. This is an open-access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.31838/jcr.07.07.138>

INTRODUCTION

The general development of lattice theory started by G. Birkhoff [1]. The concept of an Almost distributive lattice (ADL) was introduced by U.M. Swamy and G.C. Rao [2] as a common abstraction of almost all the existing ring theoretic generalizations of a Boolean algebra. The structure of pseudo complemented distributive lattice I and II given by H. Lakser [8, 9] and G. Gratzner [9]. In [4] A. Berhanu, G. Yohannes and T. Bekalu introduced Almost distributive fuzzy lattice (ADFL). In [5] K. B. Lee proved that any Pseudo-complementation on a semi-lattice is equationally definable. The notion of Pseudo-complementation in an almost distributive lattices was introduced by U.M. Swamy, G.C. Rao and G.N. Rao in [3] and they observe that an almost distributive lattices have more than one pseudo-complementation while it is unique in case of distributive lattice. Pseudo-complements in semi-lattices introduced by O. Frink [6] and also by A.F. Lopez and M.I.T. Barrosa [7]. On the other hand, L.A. Zadeh [12] introduced Fuzzy sets to describe vagueness mathematically in its very abstractness and tried to solve such problems by assigning to each possible individual in the universe of discourse a value representing its grade of membership in the fuzzy set. In [13] N. Ajmal and K.V. Thomas defined a Fuzzy lattice as a fuzzy algebra and characterized fuzzy sublattices. I. Chon [14] considering the notion of fuzzy order of Zadeh, introduced a new notion of Fuzzy lattices and fuzzy partial order relations.

In this paper, we introduce the concept of Pseudo-Complementation * on an ADFL and prove that it is equationally definable in ADFL. We characterized properties of Pseudo-Complementation on Almost distributive fuzzy lattice (PCADFL) and we give some preliminary results in PCADFL.

PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall certain elementary definitions and results required.

Definition 2.1. [2] An algebra $(R, \vee, \wedge, 0)$ of type $(2, 2, 0)$ is called an Almost Distributive Lattice (ADL), if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (L1) $a \vee 0 = a$
- (L2) $0 \wedge a = 0$
- (L3) $(a \vee b) \wedge c = (a \wedge c) \vee (b \wedge c)$
- (L4) $a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$

$$(L5) a \vee (b \wedge c) = (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c)$$

$$(L6) (a \vee b) \wedge b = b$$

For all $a, b, c \in R$

Now we give some basic results.

Lemma 2.2. [2] For any $a \in R$, we have

- 1. $a \wedge 0 = 0$
- 2. $a \wedge a = a$
- 3. $a \vee a = a$
- 4. $0 \vee a = a$.

Lemma 2.3. [2] For any $a, b \in R$, we have

- 1. $(a \wedge b) \vee b = b$
- 2. $a \vee (a \wedge b) = a = a \wedge (a \vee b)$
- 3. $a \vee (b \wedge a) = a = (a \vee b) \wedge a$
- 4. $a \vee b = a$ if and only if $a \wedge b = b$
- 5. $a \vee b = b$ if and only if $a \wedge b = a$.

Definition 2.4. [2] For any $a, b \in R$, we say that a is less than or equal to b and write $a \leq b$ is $a \wedge b = a$ or equivalently, $a \vee b = b$.

Lemma 2.5. [2] For any $a, b, c \in R$, we have

- (1) $(a \vee b) \wedge c = (b \vee a) \wedge c$;
- (2) \wedge is associative in R ;
- (3) $a \wedge b \wedge c = b \wedge a \wedge c$.

Definition 2.6. [4] Let $(R, \vee, \wedge, 0)$ be an algebra of type $(2, 2, 0)$ and we call (R, A) is an Almost Distributive Fuzzy Lattice (ADFL) if the following condition satisfied:

- (F1) $A(a, a \vee 0) = A(a \vee 0, a) = 1$
- (F2) $A(0, 0 \wedge a) = A(0 \wedge a, 0) = 1$
- (F3) $A((a \vee b) \wedge c, (a \wedge c) \vee (b \wedge c)) = 1$
- (F4) $A(a \wedge (b \vee c), (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)) = 1$
- (F5) $A(a \vee (b \wedge c), (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c)) = 1$
- (F6) $A((a \vee b) \wedge b, b) = A(b, (a \vee b) \wedge b) = 1$, for all $a, b, c \in R$.

Definition 2.7. [4] Let (R, A) be an ADFL. Then for any $a, b \in R$, $a \leq b$ if and only if $A(a, b) > 0$.

Definition 2.8. [3] Let $(R, \vee, \wedge, 0)$ be an ADL with 0. Then a unary operation $a \rightarrow a^*$ on R is called a Pseudo-complementation on R if, for any $a, b \in R$, it satisfies the following conditions:

- (P1) $a \wedge b = 0 \Rightarrow a^* \wedge b = b$;
- (P2) $a \wedge a^* = 0$;
- (P3) $(a \vee b)^* = a^* \wedge b^*$.

The unary operators $*$ is called Pseudo-complementation on \mathbf{R} .

Lemma 2.9. [3] Let \mathbf{R} be an ADL with 0 and $*$ a pseudo-complementation on \mathbf{R} . Then, for any $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$, we have the following:

1. 0^* is maximal;
2. if a is maximal, then $a^* = 0$;
3. $0^{**} = 0$;
4. $a^* \wedge a = 0$;
5. $a^{**} \wedge a = a$;
6. $a^* = a^{***}$;
7. $a^* = 0 \Leftrightarrow a^{**}$ is maximal;
8. $a^* \leq 0^*$;
9. $a^* \wedge b^* = b^* \wedge a^*$;
10. $a \leq b \Rightarrow b^* \leq a^*$;
11. $a^* \leq (a \wedge b)^*$ and $b^* \leq (a \wedge b)^*$;
12. $a^* \leq b^* \Leftrightarrow b^{**} \leq a^{**}$;
13. $a = 0 \Leftrightarrow a^{**} = 0$.

Next, we give some properties and definitions of Fuzzy Partial Order Relation, Fuzzy Lattice and Fuzzy Distributive Lattice.

Definition 2.10. [14] Let X be a set. A function $A : X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is said to be fuzzy partial order relation if it satisfies the following condition:

1. $A(x, x) = 1$, for all x in X . That is A is reflexive.
2. $A(x, y) > 0$ and $A(y, x) > 0$ implies that $x = y$. That is A is antisymmetric.
3. $A(x, z) \geq \sup_{y \text{ in } X} \min [A(x, y), A(y, z)] > 0$. That is A is transitive.

If A is a fuzzy partial order relation in a set X , then (X, A) is a fuzzy partial order relation or fuzzy poset.

Definition 2.11. [14] Let (X, A) be a fuzzy poset. Then (X, A) is a fuzzy lattice if and only if $x \vee y$ and $x \wedge y$ exists for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.12. [14] Let (X, A) be a fuzzy lattice. Then (X, A) is distributive if and only if $x \wedge (y \vee z) = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)$ and $(x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z) = x \vee (y \wedge z)$.

PSEUDO-COMPLEMENTATION ON ALMOST DISTRIBUTIVE FUZZY LATTICE

In this section, we give the definition of Pseudo-complementation on almost distributive fuzzy lattice (PCADFL) and develop some properties of a pseudo-complementation on ADL.

Definition 3.1. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \vee, \wedge, 0)$ be an algebra of type $(2, 2, 0)$ and (\mathbf{R}, A) be a fuzzy poset. A unary operation $a \mapsto a^*$ on \mathbf{R} . Then (\mathbf{R}, A) is called a Pseudo-Complementation on Almost Distributive Fuzzy Lattice (PCADFL) for any $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (PF1) $A(1, a \vee b) = A(a \vee b, 1) = 1$
- (PF2) $A(0, a \wedge b) = A(a \wedge b, 0) = 1$
- (PF3) $A(a \wedge a^*, 0) = A(0, a \wedge a^*) = 1$
- (PF4) $A(a^* \wedge b, b) = A(b, a^* \wedge b) = 1$
- (PF5) $A((a \vee b)^*, (a^* \wedge b^*)) = A((a^* \wedge b^*), (a \vee b)^*) = 1$
- (PF6) $A((a^*)^*, a) = A(a, (a^*)^*) = 1$

We can observe that the above six properties are independent.

Example 3.2: Let (\mathbf{R}, A) be an ADFL with 0 with at least two elements, if $A(0, 0 \wedge a) > 0$ and $A(0, 0^* \wedge a) > 0$ then $A(0, b^*) > 0$ for all $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$.

Example 3.3. Let (\mathbf{R}, A) be an ADFL with 0 and $(\mathbf{R}, +, \cdot, 0)$ be a commutative regular ring. To each $a \in \mathbf{R}$, let a^0 be the unique idempotent element in \mathbf{R} such that $a\mathbf{R} = a^0\mathbf{R}$,

1. $A(a^0b, a \wedge b) > 0$;
2. $A(a + (1 - a^0)b, a \vee b) > 0$;
3. $A(1 - a^0, a^*) > 0$;

for any $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$, then $(\mathbf{R}, \vee, \wedge, 0)$ is an ADFL with 0 and $*$ is a PCADFL on (\mathbf{R}, A) .

Lemma 3.4. If (\mathbf{R}, A) be a PCADFL for each $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ then $a \wedge b = 0$ if and only if,

$A(a \wedge b, 0) > 0$, $A(a \wedge b, 0) > 0$, by antisymmetric property of A .

Lemma 3.5. Let (\mathbf{R}, A) be an ADFL with 0 and $*$ on (\mathbf{R}, A) is a PCADFL, for any $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$, then $A(a^* \wedge b, b) > 0$, the proof is trivial if and only if $a^* \wedge b = 0$.

Theorem 3.6. Let (\mathbf{R}, A) be a relatively complemented ADFL with 0 and with a maximal element m_0 . Then $*$ be a PCADFL on (\mathbf{R}, A) , for any $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$. Then the following condition holds:

- (1) $A(b, a^* \wedge b) = 1$
- (2) $A((a \vee b) \wedge a^* \wedge b^*, 0) = 1$
- (3) $A((a^* \wedge b^*) \vee (a \vee b), m_0 \vee (a \vee b)) = 1$

Proof. Let (\mathbf{R}, A) be an ADFL for any $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$. Since m_0 is maximal.

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad A(b, a^* \wedge b) &= A(b, (a^* \vee a) \wedge b) \\ &= A(b, (m_0 \vee a) \wedge b) \\ &= A(b, m_0 \wedge b) \\ &= A(b, b) \\ &= 1. \text{ (since } (a^* = a^* \vee a)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, m_0 is maximal element $a \vee a^* = a \vee m_0$. Also, $(m_0 \vee a = m_0)$ and $(m_0 \wedge b = b)$.

Therefore $A(b, a^* \wedge b) = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad A(((a \vee b) \wedge a^* \wedge b^*), 0) &= A(((a \vee b) \wedge a^*) \wedge ((a \vee b) \wedge b^*), 0) \text{ (by def 2.1.(3))} \\ &= A((a \wedge a^*) \vee (a^* \wedge b) \wedge (a \wedge b^*) \vee (b \wedge b^*), 0) \\ &= A((a \wedge m_0) \vee (a^* \wedge b) \wedge (a \wedge b^*) \vee (b \wedge m_0), 0) \\ &= A(((a \wedge m_0) \vee 0) \wedge (0) \vee (b \wedge m_0), 0) \\ &= A((a \vee 0) \wedge (0 \vee b), 0) \\ &= A(a \wedge b, 0) \text{ (by def 3.1.(1))} \\ &= A(0, 0) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since m_0 is maximal element $a \wedge a^* = a \wedge m_0$. Also, from the definition of pseudo complementation on ADL $a^* \wedge b = 0$.

Therefore $A((a \vee b) \wedge a^* \wedge b^*, 0) = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad A((a^* \wedge b^*) \vee (a \vee b), m_0 \vee (a \vee b)) &= A((a^* \vee (a \vee b)) \wedge (b^* \vee (a \vee b)), m_0 \vee (a \vee b)) \\ &= A(((a^* \vee a) \vee b) \wedge ((b^* \vee b) \vee a), m_0 \vee (a \vee b)) \\ &= A(((m_0 \vee a) \vee b) \wedge ((m_0 \vee b) \vee a), m_0 \vee (a \vee b)) \\ &= A((m_0 \vee (a \vee b)) \wedge (m_0 \vee (a \vee b)), m_0 \vee (a \vee b)) \\ &= A(m_0 \vee (a \vee b), m_0 \vee (a \vee b)) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since m_0 is maximal element and also by the properties of ADL $a \wedge a = a$.

$\therefore A((a^* \wedge b^*) \vee (a \vee b), m_0 \vee (a \vee b)) = 1$. Hence $*$ is PCADFL on (\mathbf{R}, A) .

Now, we give some properties of PCADFL in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. Let (\mathbf{R}, A) be an ADFL with 0 and $*$ be a PCADFL on \mathbf{R} .

Then, for any $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$, we have the following:

- (1) 0^* is maximal;
- (2) if a is maximal, then $A(0, a^*) = 1$;
- (3) $A(0, 0^{**}) = 1$;
- (4) $A(a^* \wedge a, 0) = 1$;
- (5) $A(a, a^{**} \wedge a) = 1$;
- (6) $A(b^{***}, b^*) = 1$;
- (7) $A(0, a^*) > 0 \Leftrightarrow a^{**}$ is maximal;
- (8) $A(a^*, 0^*) > 0$;
- (9) $A(b^* \wedge a^*, a^* \wedge b^*) = 1$;
- (10) $A(b^*, a^*) > 0$;
- (11) $A(a^*, (a \wedge b)^*) > 0$ and $A(b^*, (a \wedge b)^*) > 0$;
- (12) $A(b^{**}, a^{**}) > 0$;
- (13) $A(0, a^{**}) > 0$.

Proof. (1) For any $a \in \mathbf{R}, A(0, 0 \wedge a) > 0$ and hence, $A(a, 0^* \wedge a) > 0$ which implies that 0^* is maximal.

(2) Suppose a is maximal

$$A(0, a^*) = A(0, (a \vee a^*)^*) \text{ (since } a = a \vee a^*)$$

$$A(0, a^*) = A(0, (a \vee a^*)^*) \text{ (since } a = a \vee a^*)$$

$$= A(0, a^* \wedge a^{**}) \text{ (since } a^* \wedge a^{**} = 0)$$

$$= A(0, 0)$$

$$= 1.$$

Therefore $A(0, a^*) > 0$ if and only if a is maximal.

(3) Follows from (1) and (2) $A(0, 0^{**}) = 1$.

(4) Follows from definition of PCADFL $A(a^* \wedge a, 0) = 1$.

(5) Since $a \wedge a^* = 0$ which implies $a^* \wedge a = 0 \Rightarrow a^{**} \wedge a = a$ (by Lemma 2.9.(5)).

Hence, $a \leq a^{**} \wedge a$ if and only if $A(a, a^{**} \wedge a) > 0$ by absorption law of ADFL.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6) \quad & A(\mathbf{b}^{***}, \mathbf{b}^*) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{b}^{**} \vee \mathbf{b})^*, \mathbf{b}^*) \quad (\text{since } \mathbf{b}^{***} = \mathbf{b}^{**} \vee \mathbf{b}^*) \\
 &= A(\mathbf{b}^{***} \wedge \mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{b}^*) \quad (\text{since } \mathbf{b}^{***} \wedge \mathbf{b}^* = \mathbf{b}^*) \\
 &= A(\mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{b}^*) \\
 &= \mathbf{1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $A(\mathbf{b}^{***}, \mathbf{b}^*) = \mathbf{1}$.

(7) Follows from (1), (2) and (6) $A(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a}^*) > \mathbf{0}$ for any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{R}$ if and only if \mathbf{a}^{**} is maximal.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad & A(\mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{0}^*) = A((\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{a}^*)^*, \mathbf{0}^*) \quad (\text{from (2)}) \\
 &= A(\mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{a}^{**}, \mathbf{0}^*) \\
 &= A(\mathbf{0}^*, \mathbf{0}^*) \quad (\text{since } \mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{a}^{**} = \mathbf{0}^*) \\
 &= \mathbf{1} > \mathbf{0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathbf{a}^* \leq \mathbf{0}^*$ which implies $A(\mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{0}^*) > \mathbf{0}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad & A((\mathbf{b}^* \wedge \mathbf{a}^*), (\mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}^*)) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{b} \vee \mathbf{a})^*, (\mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}^*)) \quad (\text{by def 2.8.(3)}) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b})^*, (\mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}^*)) \quad (\text{by lemma 2.5.(1)}) \\
 &= A(\mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}^*) \\
 &= \mathbf{1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $A(\mathbf{b}^* \wedge \mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}^*) = \mathbf{1}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (10) \quad & A(\mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{a}^*) = A(\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b})^*, \mathbf{a}^*) \quad (\text{since } \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b}) \\
 &= A(\mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{a}^*) \quad (\text{by def 2.8.}) \\
 &= A(\mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{a}^*) > \mathbf{0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{b} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{b}^* \leq \mathbf{a}^*$ if and only if $A(\mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{a}^*) > \mathbf{0}$ by antisymmetric property of \mathbf{A} .

(11) Hence $\mathbf{a}^* \leq (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^*$ which implies $A(\mathbf{a}^*, (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^*) > \mathbf{0}$ and similarly we have $\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{b}$ which implies that $\mathbf{b}^* \leq (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^*$ if and only if $A(\mathbf{b}^*, (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^*) > \mathbf{0}$ by antisymmetric property of \mathbf{A} for each $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{R}$.

(12) Follows from (6) and (10), $A(\mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{b}^*) > \mathbf{0}$ which implies $A(\mathbf{b}^{**}, \mathbf{a}^{**}) > \mathbf{0}$.

(13) For any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{R}$, follows from (3) if and only if \mathbf{a}^{**} is maximal. Hence $\mathbf{a}^{**} \leq \mathbf{0}$ which implies that $A(\mathbf{a}^{**}, \mathbf{0}) > \mathbf{0}$.

More generally, we have

Lemma 3.8. Let (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{A}) be an ADFL with $\mathbf{0}$ and $*$ be a PCADFL on (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{A}) . Then, for any $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{R}$, the following holds:

- (14) $A(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}) > \mathbf{0}$ and $A(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{0}) > \mathbf{0}$;
- (15) $A(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a}^{**} \wedge \mathbf{b}) > \mathbf{0}$ and $A(\mathbf{a}^{**} \wedge \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{0}) > \mathbf{0}$;
- (16) $A(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a}^{**} \wedge \mathbf{b}^{**}) > \mathbf{0}$ and $A(\mathbf{a}^{**} \wedge \mathbf{b}^{**}, \mathbf{0}) > \mathbf{0}$;
- (17) $A(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}^{**}) > \mathbf{0}$ and $A(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}^{**}, \mathbf{0}) > \mathbf{0}$.

Using the absorption laws of ADFL, $*$ satisfies the given equations of PCADFL on (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{A}) .

Lemma 3.9. Let (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{A}) be an ADFL with $\mathbf{0}$ and $*$ is a PCADFL on (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{A}) . Then, for any $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{R}$, the following holds:

- (1) $A((\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^{**}, \mathbf{a}^{**} \wedge \mathbf{b}^{**}) = \mathbf{1}$
- (2) $A((\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^*, (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a})^*) = \mathbf{1}$
- (3) $A((\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b})^*, (\mathbf{b} \vee \mathbf{a})^*) = \mathbf{1}$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad & A((\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^{**}, \mathbf{a}^{**} \wedge \mathbf{b}^{**}) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^{**}, (\mathbf{a}^* \vee \mathbf{b}^*)^*) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^{**}, (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^{**}) \\
 &= \mathbf{1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $A((\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^{**}, \mathbf{a}^{**} \wedge \mathbf{b}^{**}) = \mathbf{1}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad & A((\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^*, (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a})^*) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{a}^* \vee \mathbf{b}^*)^*, (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a})^*) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{b}^* \vee \mathbf{a}^*)^*, (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a})^*) \\
 &= A(\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a})^*, (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a})^*) \\
 &= \mathbf{1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $A((\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^*, (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a})^*) = \mathbf{1}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad & A((\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b})^*, (\mathbf{b} \vee \mathbf{a})^*) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b})^*, (\mathbf{b}^* \wedge \mathbf{a}^*)^*) \quad (\text{by def 2.8.(3)}) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b})^*, (\mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}^*)^*) \quad (\text{by lemma 2.5.(1)}) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b})^*, (\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b})^*) \\
 &= \mathbf{1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $A((\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b})^*, (\mathbf{b} \vee \mathbf{a})^*) = \mathbf{1}$.

Theorem 3.10. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \vee, \wedge, \mathbf{0})$ be an ADFL with $\mathbf{0}$. Then a unary operation $*$ is a PCADFL on (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{A}) , if and only if the following equations are satisfied:

1. $A(\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{0}) > \mathbf{0}$;
2. $A(\mathbf{b}^{**} \vee \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}^{**}) > \mathbf{0}$;
3. $A((\mathbf{b} \vee \mathbf{a})^*, \mathbf{b}^* \wedge \mathbf{a}^*) > \mathbf{0}$;
4. $A((\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a})^{**}, \mathbf{b}^{**} \wedge \mathbf{a}^{**}) > \mathbf{0}$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{R}$. Suppose $A(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) > \mathbf{0}$ which implies $\mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{b}$, then (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{A}) be an ADFL.

(1) For any $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbf{R}$, whenever $\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{b}^* \leq \mathbf{0}$ implies that $A(\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{0}) > \mathbf{0}$ by antisymmetric property of \mathbf{A} . Since $\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{b}^* = \mathbf{0}$. Hence $A(\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{0}) > \mathbf{0}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad & A(\mathbf{b}^{**} \vee \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}^{**}) = A(\mathbf{b}^{**}, \mathbf{b}^{**}) \\
 &= \mathbf{1} > \mathbf{0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathbf{b}^{**} is the maximal element, whenever $\mathbf{b}^{**} \vee \mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{b}^{**}$ implies that $A(\mathbf{b}^{**} \vee \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}^{**}) > \mathbf{0}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad & A((\mathbf{b} \vee \mathbf{a})^*, \mathbf{b}^* \wedge \mathbf{a}^*) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{b}^* \wedge \mathbf{a}^*), (\mathbf{b}^* \wedge \mathbf{a}^*)) \\
 &= \mathbf{1} > \mathbf{0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\mathbf{b} \vee \mathbf{a})^* \leq \mathbf{b}^* \wedge \mathbf{a}^*$ implies that $A((\mathbf{b} \vee \mathbf{a})^*, \mathbf{b}^* \wedge \mathbf{a}^*) > \mathbf{0}$ and $A((\mathbf{b}^* \wedge \mathbf{a}^*), (\mathbf{b} \vee \mathbf{a})^*) > \mathbf{0}$ by antisymmetric property of \mathbf{A} .

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad & A((\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a})^{**}, \mathbf{b}^{**} \wedge \mathbf{a}^{**}) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a})^{**}, (\mathbf{b}^* \vee \mathbf{a}^*)^*) \quad (\text{by 3.9.(2)}) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a})^{**}, (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a})^{**}) \\
 &= \mathbf{1} > \mathbf{0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $A((\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a})^{**}, \mathbf{b}^{**} \wedge \mathbf{a}^{**}) > \mathbf{0}$. Hence, (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{A}) is a PCADFL for any $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbf{R}$.

Theorem 3.11. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \vee, \wedge, \mathbf{0})$ be an ADFL. Then a unary operation $*$ is a PCADFL on (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{A}) , if and only if, the following equations are satisfied:

1. $A((\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^* \wedge \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{1}$
2. $A(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{0}^* \wedge \mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{1}$
3. $A(\mathbf{0}^{**}, \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{1}$
4. $A((\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b})^*, (\mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}^*)) = \mathbf{1}$
5. $A(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^*, \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{1}$

Proof. Let (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{A}) is a ADFL for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{R}$, whenever $A(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) > \mathbf{0}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad & A((\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^* \wedge \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{a}^* \vee \mathbf{b}^*)^* \wedge \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}) \vee (\mathbf{b}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}) \vee (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{b}^*), \mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}) \vee \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}) \quad (\text{by def 2.8.(2)}) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{a}^* \vee \mathbf{0}) \wedge (\mathbf{b} \vee \mathbf{0}), \mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}) \\
 &= A(\mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}) \\
 &= \mathbf{1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

from the definition of pseudo-complemented on ADL $(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^* = \mathbf{a}^* \vee \mathbf{b}^*$ and $\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{b}^* = \mathbf{0}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Therefore } & A((\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^* \wedge \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{1}. \\
 (2) \quad & A(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{0}^* \wedge \mathbf{a}) = A(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1} \wedge \mathbf{a}) \quad (\text{since } \mathbf{0}^* = \mathbf{1}) \\
 &= A(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}) \\
 &= \mathbf{1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $A(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{0}^* \wedge \mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{1}$.

Hence $A(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{0}^* \wedge \mathbf{a}) > \mathbf{0}$. For $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad & A(\mathbf{0}^{**}, \mathbf{0}) = A(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}) \quad (\text{by lemma 2.9.(3)}) \\
 &= \mathbf{1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $A(\mathbf{0}^{**}, \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{1}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad & A((\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b})^*, (\mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}^*)) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}^*), (\mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}^*)) \\
 &= \mathbf{1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $((\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b})^*, (\mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}^*)) = \mathbf{1}$, which implies that $A((\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b})^*, (\mathbf{a}^* \wedge \mathbf{b}^*)) > \mathbf{0}$ by antisymmetric property of \mathbf{A} .

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad & A(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^*, \mathbf{0}) \\
 &= A(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge (\mathbf{a}^* \vee \mathbf{b}^*), \mathbf{0}) \quad (\text{by lemma 3.9.(2)}) \\
 &= A(\mathbf{0} \wedge (\mathbf{a}^* \vee \mathbf{b}^*), \mathbf{0}) \quad (\text{by def 2.8.(1)}) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{0} \wedge \mathbf{a}^*) \vee (\mathbf{0} \wedge \mathbf{b}^*), \mathbf{0}) \\
 &= A((\mathbf{0}) \vee (\mathbf{0}), \mathbf{0}) \\
 &= A(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}) \\
 &= \mathbf{1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^*, \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{1}$. Similarly $A(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^*) = \mathbf{1}$. for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{R}$. Therefore $A(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^*, \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{1}$, which implies that $A(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})^*, \mathbf{0}) > \mathbf{0}$ by antisymmetric property of \mathbf{A} . Hence $*$ is a pseudo-complement on \mathbf{R} and (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{A}) be a PCADFL.

REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff, Lattice theory, 3rd ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., (1967), MR 37 #2638.
2. U.M. Swamy and G.C. Rao, Almost distributive lattices, Journal of Australian Mathematical Society (Series-A), Vol. 31(1981), 77-91.
3. U.M. Swamy, G.C. Rao and G. Nanaji Rao, Pseudo-complementation on almost distributive lattices, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, Vol. 24 (2000), 95-104.
4. A. Berhanu, G. Yohannes and T. Bekalu, Almost distributive fuzzy lattice, International Journal of Mathematics and its Application, Vol. 5 (1-C) (2017), 307-316.
5. K.B. Lee, Equational classes of distributive pseudo-complemented lattices, Can. J. Math., 22(4) (1970), 881-891.
6. O. Frink, Pseudo-complements in semi-lattice, J. Duke Math, Vol. 29 (1962), 505-514.
7. A.F. Lopez and M.I.T. Barroso, Pseudo-complemented semilattices, boolean algebras and compatible products, Journal of Algebra, Vol. 242 (2001), 60-91.
8. H. Lakser, The structure of pseudo complemented distributive lattices I: subdirect decomposition, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Vol.156 (1971), 335-342.
9. G. Gratzer and H. Lakser, The structure of pseudo complemented distributive lattices II: congruence extension and amalgamation, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 156(1971), 343-358.
10. P.V. Venkatanarasimhan, Pseudo-complements in posets, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 28 (1971), 9-17.
11. T. Katrinak and J. Gurican, Finite pseudo complemented lattices: The spectra and the Glivenko congruence, Algebra Universalis, Vol.66 (1-2) (2011), 151-161.
12. L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and control, Vol. 8(1965), 338-353.
13. N. Ajmal and K. V. Thomas, Fuzzy Lattices, Information sciences, Vol. 79(1994), 271-291.
14. I. Chon, Fuzzy partial order relations and fuzzy lattices, Korean J. Math., Vol. 17(4) (2009), 361-374.
15. L.A. Zadeh, Similarity relations and fuzzy orderings, Information Sciences, Vol. 3 (1971), 177-200.
16. J.A. Goguen, L-fuzzy set, J. Math. Anal. Appl, Vol. 18(1967), 145-17.