

Rural Development in India Enhancing Quality of Life and Reducing Socio-Economic Disparities

Basheer Thottiyar*
R. Rajesh Kanna**

Abstract

In India the inter-state/regional disparity has been a major challenge for planners and policy makers. Despite plethora of development programmes regional disparity persist over time. There has been a huge gap between active and vibrant region and hinterland during the pro-independence period in terms of availability of facilities. This it manifests unequal level of development. Regional disparity in India has been a major challenge for planners and policy makers. Despite a number of development programmes overtime, regional disparities have persisted. Regional disparities are observed in growth rates, per capita SDP, per capita consumption expenditure, sectorial contribution to GSDP, agricultural development, Industrial development, infrastructural development and also in human development. Inequality, unemployment, and regional disparities constitute interlinked structural challenges that hinder inclusive and sustainable development in many developing economies. Inequality manifests through uneven distribution of income, wealth, social opportunities, and access to essential services, reinforcing socio-economic hierarchies. Unemployment both open and disguised reflects inadequate absorption of the labour force and contributes to persistent poverty, reduced productivity, and social exclusion. Regional disparities further intensify these issues, as differences in infrastructure, industrialization, human development, and public investment create uneven economic landscapes across states and districts. The interaction of these factors produces a cycle in which deprived regions experience limited employment opportunities, lower educational attainment, and weaker economic growth, perpetuating inequality. Addressing these interconnected problems requires integrated policy measures, including balanced regional planning, labour-intensive growth strategies, targeted welfare schemes, and enhanced social sector investment. A holistic approach is essential to promote equity, employment generation, and balanced regional development.

Key words: Regional planning, labour intensive growth strategies, Industrial development, Inequality, Unemployment

Introduction

Rural development is the process of improving the quality of life and economic well-being of people living in rural areas, often relatively isolated and sparsely populated areas. Rural development has traditionally centered on the exploitation of land-intensive natural resources such as agriculture and forestry. In a rural area, there are fewer people, and their homes and businesses are located far away from one another. Agriculture is the primary industry in most rural areas. Most people live or work on farms or ranches. Inequality, unemployment, and regional disparities are interconnected socio-economic issues that impede balanced development and inclusive growth in many developing nations, including India. As nearly two-thirds of India's population resides in villages, the development of rural regions is central to the country's overall economic progress and social stability. Historically, rural India has been characterized by persistent challenges such as low agricultural productivity, inadequate infrastructure, and limited access to healthcare and education, and high levels of poverty.

*Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Economics, Vels University, VISTAS, Pallavaram

**Assistant Professor, Department of Economics – School of Law, Vels University, (VISTAS) Pallavaram



The Indian Economic Journal

JOURNAL OF THE INDIAN ECONOMIC ASSOCIATION

Volume - 2-B • Special Issue • December 2025

**AGRICULTURE
AND RURAL
TRANSFORMATION**





The Indian Economic Journal

One of the Oldest and fully Refereed Journal

Editor
Prof. Ravindra K Brahme

Associate Editors
Dr. Aparna Bhardwaj
Dr. Kumari Manisha
Mr. B. Nishanth

The Journal, a Publication of the Indian Economic Association, is dedicated to advancing scientific research across diverse domains including Economic Theory, Macroeconomic Stability and Growth, Agricultural Prospects and Rural Transformation, Industrial Development and Manufacturing, Global Trade and Geopolitical Dynamics, Social Inclusion, Employment and Human Development, as well as contemporary issues shaping Tamil Nadu's Development.



Editor
Prof. Ravindra K Brahme
General Secretary
Indian Economic Association
Professor and Head
School of Studies in Economics
Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
ravibrahme@gmail.com
Mobile : + 91 - 98271 10259

Published by:
THE INDIAN ECONOMIC ASSOCIATION

(largely rural), per capita incomes are 30–50% lower than the national average due to historical isolation, poor infrastructure, and geographic constraints. According to the *State of the Rural Economy* summary, small and marginal farmers (who form 86% of the farming population) own only 43% of agricultural land, creating land-inequality in rural areas.

Sl.No	States Wise	Percentage
1	Bihar	51.9 %
2	Jharkhand	42.2 %
3	Uttar Pradesh	37.8 %
4	Kerala	0.55 %

Other Relevant Data

Informal labour: A study by Vinay Reddy Venumuddala (using NSSO data) suggests ~92% of India's workforce is in the informal sector, which is highly relevant for rural employment and inequality. **Multidimensional Poverty:** According to a source citing NITI Aayog data, multidimensional poverty in rural India was 19.2% in 2019–21. **Infrastructure and well-being:** A recent study (Jain & Biswas) links road infrastructure in rural India with reductions in inequality and even crime, suggesting that better connectivity contributes to rural well-being. **Inequality:** The decline in rural Gini coefficient and narrowing of rural-urban consumption gap indicate progress in reducing inequality, but income declines at the bottom show that vulnerability remains for the very poor rural households. **Unemployment:** The very low share of salaried employment and high informal work show that rural jobs are still largely insecure and low-paid. Also, educated rural youth face very high unemployment. **Regional Disparities:** Big differences across states in rural poverty rates highlight spatial inequality. Regions like the Northeast lag significantly in rural income and development. **Poverty Reduction:** The dramatic fall in extreme poverty (both rural and national) is a big win, but multidimensional poverty indicates that non-income deprivations (health, education, and infrastructure) are still relevant in rural areas.

Inequality refers to uneven distribution of income, wealth, opportunities, and access to services. It can be economic (income/wealth), social (education, health), or spatial (urban-rural and regional gaps). Persistent inequality reduces social mobility and leads to concentration of resources in the hands of a few.

Unemployment represents a condition where individuals who are willing and able to work cannot find gainful employment. In developing economies, it appears in several forms—open unemployment, underemployment, seasonal unemployment, disguised unemployment, and educated unemployment. High unemployment leads to low productivity, reduced household income, and widening inequality as marginalized groups remain excluded from labour markets. **Regional disparities** arise when different regions of a country experience unequal levels of economic development, infrastructure, industrialization, and human capital formation. In India, disparities exist between states (e.g., Kerala vs. Bihar), within states (coastal vs. interior regions), and between rural and urban areas. Factors such as historical neglect, geographical constraints, poor connectivity, and uneven investment contribute to these gaps. These three issues reinforce each other. High regional disparities often cause unequal access to education and employment, creating pockets of chronic underemployment. Inequality, in turn, limits people's ability to invest in skills and mobility, trapping them in low-income regions and jobs. Unemployment further widens income gaps as jobless individuals lack purchasing power and economic security.

Rajasthan registered a high single teacher schools. Kerala and Gujarat have low proportion of single teacher schools in the year 2009-10.

Some of the basic facilities in schools also influence the educational development. These facilities include toilet facility, drinking water, girl's toilet facility, condition of school building. In India only 45 per cent of schools are having toilet facility. West Bengal and Orissa have a high per centage of schools with common toilet facility. On the other hand in the states like Jharkhand, Arunachal Pradesh and Chhattisgarh, a low proportion of schools are having common toilet facility. One of the important indicators of education is the pupil-teacher ratio (PTR). The higher the PTR, the lower the quality of education imparted to children.

Physical Infrastructure The physical infrastructure includes transport, communication, electricity etc. India suffers from inadequate availability of physical infrastructure, as measured by any accepted indicator. Not only is infrastructure inadequate and weak, it varies from state to state, and even within each state from district to district

Causes of Regional Disparities The important factors are summarized below:

- 1) Disparities in per capita income can be explained in terms of the economic sector thesis of Colin Clark; it maintains that the levels of income are higher in those regions where a larger proportion of working population is engaged in manufacturing and tertiary sectors. Per capita income has tended to be higher in those States where a larger proportion of population is engaged in tertiary occupations.
- 2) Location pattern of industrial growth in the past had been influenced by the early pattern of railway construction. These canters of industrial location, therefore, in conformity with Gunnar Myrdal's thesis, have attracted a considerable portion of industrialization towards themselves because of conglomeration economies.
- 3) A related historical factor has been the development of infrastructure. The better developed regions owe it to their inbuilt infrastructure dating back to the time when they were still princely states. In other regions, the princely states did not pay much attention to the development, priding them on being messengers of God or something believing they were born to rule. Similarly, in more recent times, there has been substantial decline in the government's budgetary support for financing infrastructure.
- 4) Developed states and, especially large cities and towns have been the major destinations for domestic institutional funds as well as external assistance.
- 5) Operations of the term-lending institutions as also the commercial banks have also shown a distinct tendency towards concentration of investments in the relatively more developed States.
- 6) There exist glaring regional imbalance and disparity among different states in the country in the provision of educational and training facilities, specially the technical education.
- 7) Operations of the system of public finance in the country have also contributed to the creation and aggravation of inter-State disparities.
- 8) With increasing globalization, India has chosen a skill-intensive path to growth
- 9) In the pre-reform period, the public sector had played a crucial role in maintaining regional equality by directing resources to backward areas.

Measures to Remove Regional Disparities The various programmes undertaken to remove/reduce regional disparities can be identified as follows:

The Planning Commission mainly in the form of plan transfers, and The Finance Commission in the form of non-plan transfers. The location of Central projects and Centrally-sponsored schemes are determined in the planning process by the Planning Commission in collaboration with the relevant wings of the Government. A recent study on the subject suggests that the poorer states have been receiving proportionately larger amount of funds for developmental purpose relative to their rich counterparts.

- 1) **Priority given to Programmes which spread over the entire Area within the Shortest Possible Time:** Programmes of agriculture, community development, irrigation and power, transport and communications and social services have the widest coverage, and aim at providing basic facilities and services to people in all the regions.
- 2) **Provision of Facilities in Areas which lag behind industrially:** River valley projects form the most important segment in the plans of several States and large investments have been made in multi-purpose projects.
- 3) **Programmes for the Expansion of Village and Small Industries:** Village and small industries are spread all over the country and various forms of assistance provided by the Central and State Governments are made available in the areas according to programmes undertaken.
- 4) **Diffusion of Industrial Activity:** In the location of public sector projects, the claims of relatively backward areas have been kept in view wherever this could be done without giving up essential technical and economic criteria.
- 5) **Schemes for Development of Backward Areas:** The present policy for the development of backward areas comprises a set of special schemes under which plan funds are provided over and above the funds allocated for general sectorial programmes. The special schemes can be classified as follows:

Importance and improving access to basic social development

1. Improving access to basic amenities such as housing, drinking water, sanitation, healthcare, and education.
2. Promoting inclusive development strategies that ensure equitable distribution of resources, opportunities, and benefits across different socio-economic groups. Through the promotion of agriculture, allied activities, rural industries, skill development, and entrepreneurship.
3. Focusing on the development of backward, remote, and marginalized rural regions with targeted investments and infrastructure improvements.
4. Improving irrigation, technology adoption, market access, and diversification into high-value crops and allied sectors. Such as roads, electricity, digital connectivity, storage facilities, and transport networks to facilitate economic growth and social development. Through participatory governance, decentralization, and strengthening of Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs) to ensure local-level decision-making and accountability.
5. Addressing the needs of vulnerable groups such as women, Scheduled Tribes, Scheduled Castes, landless labourers, and small farmers. Through conservation of natural resources, watershed

These issues have contributed to significant inequality, rising unemployment, and marked regional disparities across states and districts. The process of rural development seeks to address these structural challenges through integrated efforts that combine economic growth, social justice, and community empowerment. It involves improving agricultural systems, generating non-farm employment, enhancing rural infrastructure, promoting access to basic services, and strengthening local governance institutions. Government programmes such as MGNREGA, PMAY-G, NRLM, PMGSY, and the National Rural Health Mission have been instrumental in expanding livelihood opportunities and improving living standards. In the contemporary context, rural development also emphasizes sustainable resource management, technological adoption, digital inclusion, and market integration to promote long-term resilience. By reducing inequality, creating employment opportunities, and narrowing regional gaps, rural development contributes to building a more balanced, inclusive, and equitable nation. Thus, it remains an essential component of India's development agenda, shaping the future of millions and determining the country's path toward inclusive growth.

Objectives

1. To enhance the quality of life of rural populations and reduce income inequality
 2. To generate sustainable employment opportunities and minimize regional disparities
 3. To strengthen agricultural productivity and farm incomes
 4. To improve rural infrastructure and empower of rural communities
 5. To promote social inclusion and encourage sustainable and environmentally sound development
- To strengthen rural markets and value chains

Review of literature

Amartya Sen and Jean Drèze have shaped the modern normative framing of rural development by linking poverty, entitlement, and social opportunity to broader notions of development as freedom. Their work underlines that improving rural well-being requires not only income growth but social entitlements (health, education, food security) and public action — a point that anchors much subsequent research on rural policy and social safety nets.

Classic post-Independence literature emphasised land reforms and agricultural modernisation (Green Revolution) as the engine of rural development. More recent empirical debates consider the prevalence of disguised unemployment in agriculture and the need for labour reallocation to productive non-farm activities. While some studies (and conventional wisdom) stress a large rural labour surplus that constrains productivity and wages, recent empirical work provides mixed evidence on the scale of disguised unemployment — pointing to the need for careful measurement and policy design. Representative recent contributions include empirical assessments and critiques that interrogate the extent and measurement of disguised unemployment in India.

Reetika Khera and other evaluators have produced influential empirical work on India's MGNREGA, showing that where implemented effectively the programme raises rural incomes, provides consumption smoothing, creates rural assets and can improve women's labour participation. At the same time, scholars such as Ravallion and Alik-Lagrange have evaluated workfare designs and noted heterogeneity in impacts depending on task selection, governance and local demand for labour. Recent literature also explores how MGNREGA contributes to resilience (e.g., for climate shocks) while underscoring governance bottlenecks (payment delays, administrative capacity).

Authors such as Ashok Gulati and Ramesh Chand (agricultural economists) and a stream of development economists emphasise the importance of non-farm rural employment, value-chain

Regional Disparity

Concept and Theory Regional disparity refers to a situation where different indicators such as per capita income, consumption level, food availability, agricultural and industrial development, infrastructural development are not similar among regions. The problems of regional development are mostly universal in nature except its intensity differs in different countries (developed/developing). Almost all countries face the regional disparity during their process of development. Regional Disparity and Domestic Product During last six decades Indian economy has been growing at an annual growth rate of about 5.08 per cent. From time to time the growth has been fluctuating. The structural share of Gross Domestic Product itself shows a wide variation over time. Some of the sub-sectors have exhibited very wide variations in the annual growth rates. Among these, agriculture and allied activities is generally subject to considerable fluctuations. Mining and Quarrying also falls in the same category. Manufacturing is normally steadier. Growth in service sector, on the contrary, has shown a continuous rise. From the perspective of distribution of national product, it is more useful to analyse data relating to trends in per capita net state domestic product. The relevant data is summarized in The data reflects trend of gap in per capita income in different time period in India as well as the income gap between India and other states and among different states

Agricultural Development and Regional Disparity As discussed above, the contribution of agricultural sector to the total GDP has been gradually decreasing over time. Agriculture's contribution reduced from 51.9 to 14.5 per cent during the period 1950-51 to 2009-10. During 2003-5, the agricultural sector contributed 21.3 per cent to GDP where as in 2001 it contributed 58 per cent of total work force. The state-wise analysis shows that in the developed states like Punjab and Haryana, the contribution of agricultural sector to GDP is high and the labour force participation in agriculture is comparatively low

Industrial Development and Regional Disparity Like other developing countries, industrial concentration is observed in some of the pockets of India. Keeping this in view, Government of India has adopted a plethora of measures to achieve a balanced regional development. The policies are guided by industrialization mixed with highly regulated policies with many industries reserved for public sector. After opening up of the economy with minimum role of the state in industrial growth and development, it has been argued that the industries have concentrated in the economically advanced states due to their comparative advantages in social and economic infrastructure. This argument has been supported by other country level studies.

Social Infrastructure: Education it is well recognized that the literacy of any region or area has a positive relation to the overall development. It enables people to access new opportunities to participate in society in different ways. The census data shows that the literacy rate increased from 18.3 per cent in 1951 to 74.04 in 2011. However, there are wide inter-state and intra-state disparities among different states. Likewise, the male-female gap in literacy is high in India. Regional disparity can also be observed from the gap in literacy level in different states in India. The gap is worked out by deducting the female literacy rate from male literacy rate form 2011 literacy data set. Graph 1.6 reflects the state-wise literacy gap by gender.

The regional disparity in education can also judge from some other characteristics like percentage of single class room school, per centage of single teacher school. In India 6 per cent elementary schools have only a single class room. Among the states Andhra Pradesh and Arunachal Pradesh registered a high proportion of single room schools whereas Kerala, Delhi, Haryana, Chhattisgarh show a low proportion of school with single class room. The other important indicator is the single teacher school. In India 9.3 per cent of schools have only a single teacher. Among the states, Arunachal Pradesh and

integration and market access for raising rural incomes. Empirical studies show that rural transformation is uneven: areas with better connectivity, higher human capital and market linkages see faster transition to higher-value activities, while remote or infrastructure-deficient regions lag behind — contributing to spatial inequality. Policy literature therefore recommends combining supply-side interventions (skills, credit, and infrastructure) with demand-side measures (market linkages).

Pulapre Balakrishnan, R. H. Dholakia, Dwaipayan Bhattacharya and others have documented persistent inter-state and intra-state disparities in India. Empirical analyses using NSDP, HDI and other indicators often find weak convergence: richer states and districts tend to retain advantages (better infrastructure, human capital and public investment), while lagging regions remain trapped in low productivity equilibrium. This literature highlights historical path dependence, differences in public spending, and the political economy of resource allocation as drivers of spatial inequality.

Research on Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs) — edited volumes and empirical studies (Niraja Gopal Jayal and collaborators) — argue that decentralised governance can improve targeting and local accountability for rural programmes. However, the effectiveness of PRIs is conditional on genuine fiscal and administrative devolution, capacity building and checks against elite capture. Where devolution has been substantive, evidence shows improvements in service delivery; where it is nominal, gains are limited.

A recurring theme across the literature is heterogeneity of programme outcomes. Evaluations of MGNREGA, NRLM, PMGSY and PDS find positive local impacts in many settings but also identify implementation bottlenecks (administrative delays, weak targeting, and variation in state capacity) that constrain national-level effectiveness in reducing structural unemployment and regional inequalities. Scholars call for improved monitoring, local capacity strengthening and context-sensitive design

The World Bank Identify on Poverty and Inequality in India

The World Bank Poverty & Equity Brief, extreme poverty in India fell from 16.2% in 2011-12 to 2.3% in rural areas, extreme poverty dropped from 18.4% to 2.8% during the same period. According to the Household Consumption Expenditure Survey (2023-24), the urban-rural gap in monthly per capita consumption expenditure (MPCE) has narrowed: from ~84% in 2011-12 to ~70% in 2023-24. Inequality within rural areas (measured by Gini coefficient for consumption) has fallen: from 0.266 in 2022-23 to 0.237 in 2023-24. Decomposition analysis of earnings inequality (using Employment-Unemployment Survey data) shows that real wages of rural wage earners increased between 2004/05 and 2011/12, and “earnings inequality in rural India decreased” during that period. But, research by Anand Sahasranaman and Nishanth Kumar (2014-19) suggests that the bottom decile of rural income distribution has seen negative real average income growth from 2014 to 2019, highlighting vulnerability among small/marginal farmers and agricultural labourers. Unemployment to the State of the Rural Economy (India) (DrishtiIAS summary), only 12% of rural workers are in salaried jobs, and many of these lack formal job security. The same source notes that youth unemployment among educated rural people is very high: per the ILO (cited in the DrishtiIAS summary), educated youth unemployment has nearly doubled from 2000 (35.2%) to 2022 (65.7%) in rural India. According to the State of Inequality in India Report, rural labour force participation rate (LFPR) was 50.7% in 2017-18, rising to 55.5% in 2019-20.

Regional Disparities / Rural Spatial Inequality

There are significant regional disparities in rural poverty: NITI Aayog's multidimensional poverty data (cited in secondary sources) shows that states like Bihar (51.9%) and Jharkhand (37.8%) have very high rural poverty.

Regional Disparity

Concept and Theory Regional disparity refers to a situation where different indicators such as per capita income, consumption level, food availability, agricultural and industrial development, infrastructural development are not similar among regions. The problems of regional development are mostly universal in nature except its intensity differs in different countries (developed/developing). Almost all countries face the regional disparity during their process of development. Regional Disparity and Domestic Product During last six decades Indian economy has been growing at an annual growth rate of about 5.08 per cent. From time to time the growth has been fluctuating. The structural share of Gross Domestic Product itself shows a wide variation over time. Some of the sub-sectors have exhibited very wide variations in the annual growth rates. Among these, agriculture and allied activities is generally subject to considerable fluctuations. Mining and Quarrying also falls in the same category. Manufacturing is normally steadier. Growth in service sector, on the contrary, has shown a continuous rise. From the perspective of distribution of national product, it is more useful to analyse data relating to trends in per capita net state domestic product. The relevant data is summarized in The data reflects trend of gap in per capita income in different time period in India as well as the income gap between India and other states and among different states

Agricultural Development and Regional Disparity As discussed above, the contribution of agricultural sector to the total GDP has been gradually decreasing over time. Agriculture's contribution reduced from 51.9 to 14.5 per cent during the period 1950-51 to 2009-10. During 2003-5, the agricultural sector contributed 21.3 per cent to GDP where as in 2001 it contributed 58 per cent of total work force. The state-wise analysis shows that in the developed states like Punjab and Haryana, the contribution of agricultural sector to GDP is high and the labour force participation in agriculture is comparatively low

Industrial Development and Regional Disparity Like other developing countries, industrial concentration is observed in some of the pockets of India. Keeping this in view, Government of India has adopted a plethora of measures to achieve a balanced regional development. The policies are guided by industrialization mixed with highly regulated policies with many industries reserved for public sector. After opening up of the economy with minimum role of the state in industrial growth and development, it has been argued that the industries have concentrated in the economically advanced states due to their comparative advantages in social and economic infrastructure. This argument has been supported by other country level studies.

Social Infrastructure: Education it is well recognized that the literacy of any region or area has a positive relation to the overall development. It enables people to access new opportunities to participate in society in different ways. The census data shows that the literacy rate increased from 18.3 per cent in 1951 to 74.04 in 2011. However, there are wide inter-state and intra-state disparities among different states. Likewise, the male-female gap in literacy is high in India. Regional disparity can also be observed from the gap in literacy level in different states in India. The gap is worked out by deducting the female literacy rate from male literacy rate form 2011 literacy data set. Graph 1.6 reflects the state-wise literacy gap by gender.

The regional disparity in education can also judge from some other characteristics like percentage of single class room school, per centage of single teacher school. In India 6 per cent elementary schools have only a single class room. Among the states Andhra Pradesh and Arunachal Pradesh registered a high proportion of single room schools whereas Kerala, Delhi, Haryana, Chhattisgarh show a low proportion of school with single class room. The other important indicator is the single teacher school. In India 9.3 per cent of schools have only a single teacher. Among the states, Arunachal Pradesh and

Conculation

Rural development plays a pivotal role in shaping India's overall socio-economic progress, as the majority of the population continues to depend on agriculture and rural-based livelihoods. By focusing on improving the quality of life, enhancing economic well-being, and ensuring equitable access to opportunities, rural development directly addresses the deep-rooted challenges of inequality, unemployment, and regional disparities. Sustainable improvements in rural infrastructure, diversification of livelihoods, human capital development, and inclusive governance mechanisms not only uplift marginalized communities but also promote balanced regional growth. Strengthening agricultural productivity, expanding non-farm employment, and enhancing social services lay the foundation for long-term prosperity. Ultimately, effective rural development is not merely a sectorial intervention but a comprehensive, integrated strategy essential for creating a more inclusive, balanced, and resilient Indian economy. A sustained commitment to policies, participatory institutions, and targeted investments is vital for ensuring that rural India becomes a dynamic contributor to national development and social equity.

Reference

- R.P Misra and K.V Sundaram (1999), *Rural Area Development: Perspective and Approaches* (S.Chand and Co., New Delhi)
- Y.B. Mathur (1998), *Rural Development in India: 1885 - 1995* (Sage Publications, New Delhi)
- Katar Singh (2000), *Rural Development Principles, Policies and Management* (Sage Publications, New Delhi)
- P. R. Dubhasi (1999), *Policy and Performance, Agricultural and Rural Development in PostIndependence India*
- Myrdal, Gunnar (1957): "Economic Theory and Underdeveloped Regions", Gerald Duckworth and Co Ltd, London.
- Hirschman, A (1958): "The Strategy of Economic Development", Yale University Press, New Haven.
- Kuznets, S (1963): "Quantitative Aspects of the Economic Growth of Nations, V111. Distribution of Income by Size", in *Economic Development and Cultural Change* Vol XI, No 2, Part 11, pp 1-45. 4.
- Papola, T.S, Nitu Maurya, and Narendra Jena (November 2011): *Inter Regional Disparities in Industrial Growth and Structure*, Institute for Studies in Industrial Development, New Delhi.
- Sen, A.; Drèze, J. — Development as freedom / Economic development and social opportunity. (see synthesis on social entitlements). **Cambridge University Press & Assessment**
- Ravallion, M.; Alik-Lagrange, A. (2012) — Evaluating workfare (MGNREGA) evidence. SSRN
- Reetika Khera — empirical MGNREGA studies (payment, governance, impacts). Emerald+1
- Goldar, B. (2024) and Mukherjee et al. (2023) — recent empirical discussions on disguised unemployment. SSRN+1
- Pulapre Balakrishnan; R. H. Dholakia; Dwaipayan Bhattacharya — regional disparities and growth divergence analyses. De Gruyter Brill+1
- Niraja Gopal Jayal (ed.) — local governance and Panchayati Raj literature. Jawaharlal Nehru University
- Reddy, A. A. (2022) — targeting and outreach of rural programmes (village-level evidence).

The Indian Economic Journal
(Under UGC CARE List - Group I)



REGISTERED WITH THE REGISTRAR
OF NEWSPAPER FOR INDIA
RNI Regn.No. 46913/87

SUPPORTED BY :

Indian Economic
Association Trust
for Research &
Development



ISSN 0019-4662

