

Contemporary World Literature

A Global Perspective



Editors

Dr. Bala Shanmuga Devi

Dr. B. Pavithra

Dr. Ellakkiyaa Sankar

Dr. Abhishek Upadhyay



Contemporary World Literature: A Global Perspective

EDITORS

**Dr. Bala Shanmuga Devi,
Dr. B. Pavithra,
Dr. Ellakkiyaa Sankar,
Dr. Abhishek Upadhyay**

Ivarin Publications

64, Sanjay Gandhi Nagar, First Street, Chromepet,
Chennai 600044, Tamil Nadu, India.

Phone: 94457 96239

e-mail: ivarinpublication@gmail.com

Contemporary World Literature:

A Global Perspective

Edited by

**Dr. Bala Shanmuga Devi, Dr. B. Pavithra, Dr. Ellakkiyaa
Sankar, Dr. Abhishek Upadhyay**

©All rights exclusively reserved by the Authors and Publisher.

*This book or part thereof should not be reproduced in any form
without the written permission of the Authors and Publisher.*

Price: Rs. 280/-

ISBN: **978-81-980681-1-8**

Published by and copies can be had from:

Ivarin Publications

64, Sanjay Gandhi Nagar, First Street, Chromepet,

Chennai 600044, Tamil Nadu, India.

Phone: 9445796239

e-mail: ivarinpublication@gmail.com



Ivarin Publications

EDITORS BIOGRAPHY



Dr. Bala Shanmuga Devi

Dr. P. Bala Shanmuga Devi is an accomplished academician, author, and literary scholar with over 27 years of distinguished experience in higher education. Currently serving as Principal of Nilgiri College of Arts and Science (Autonomous), she has held several key academic leadership positions, including Principal and Head of the Department of English at reputed institutions in Tamil Nadu. A scholar of English literature with a Ph.D., Dr. Devi's work reflects a deep engagement with themes of feminism, cultural identity, and human values in literature. She has guided multiple doctoral scholars and contributed extensively to academic discourse through numerous research papers published in UGC Care-listed and peer-reviewed journals. Alongside her academic pursuits, she is a prolific creative writer. Her published works span poetry, short stories, children's literature, and literary criticism. Notable titles include *Moon Bites*, *Sun Dancers*, and *Maze Walk*, the latter featured at the World Book Fair, New Delhi. Her writing blends philosophical depth with emotional resonance, often exploring the human condition through a contemporary lens. Dr. Devi's contributions to literature and education have earned her numerous national recognitions, including honors as an outstanding author and one of the most influential literary voices in recent years. She continues to inspire students, scholars, and readers through her commitment to education, research, and creative expression.



Dr. B. Pavithra

Dr. B. Pavithra is an accomplished academician, researcher, and author with over two decades of experience in teaching English literature. Currently serving as an Associate Professor of English, she specializes in Translation Studies, Cultural Studies, and British and American literature, with a particular focus on fiction and poetry. A dedicated scholar, Dr. Pavithra has published extensively, with over 35 research papers and several book chapters to her credit. She has co-authored notable works including *Multiculturalism in Literature: Critical Insights and Organizational Communication*, reflecting her interdisciplinary engagement with literature and communication studies. Her academic contributions extend beyond writing to research mentorship and evaluation. She has guided postgraduate and doctoral scholars and has served as an external examiner for Ph.D. theses at reputed universities across India. Her commitment to academic excellence is further evident through her role as an editor, reviewer, and active participant in international literary forums. Recognized for her excellence in teaching and research, Dr. Pavithra has received multiple accolades, including the Best Faculty Award in Languages and recognition as a top-performing mentor by NPTEL. She also holds a published patent exploring the impact of artificial intelligence in language education, highlighting her interest in the evolving intersections of literature and technology.



Dr. Ellakkiyaa Sankar

Dr. Ellakkiyaa S. is an emerging academic, author, and researcher in English literature, with a strong focus on feminist studies and mythological reinterpretations. She holds a Ph.D. in English from the University of Madras, where her research explored feminist revisionist perspectives on marginalized female voices in mythological narratives, particularly in the works of Kavita Kane. Currently serving as an Assistant Professor of English, Dr. Ellakkiyaa brings a dynamic approach to teaching, combining literary scholarship with innovative pedagogical practices. Her academic interests include Indian Writing in English, cultural narratives, Shakespearean studies, and literary theory, with a particular emphasis on gender and identity. She has contributed to the field through multiple research publications in UGC Care-listed journals, addressing themes such as feminism, postcolonial identity, and mythological discourse. In addition to her research, she has co-authored books including *My Marriage Bliss vs Curse* and *Seven Lee of Life*, reflecting her engagement with both academic and creative writing. Recognized for her leadership and academic excellence, Dr. Ellakkiyaa is a recipient of the Best Women Leadership Award and the Women Icon Award in 2025. Her work continues to bridge traditional literary studies with contemporary perspectives, contributing to evolving conversations on gender, culture, and storytelling.



Dr. Abhishek Upadhyay

Dr. Abhishek Upadhyay is an academician, management professional, and author with a unique blend of industry and teaching experience. Currently serving as an Assistant Professor in the Department of Management (MBA) at Arka Jain University, Janshedpur, he brings over eight years of teaching expertise alongside extensive corporate experience in human resources, sales, and marketing. He holds a Ph.D. in Management and is a gold medalist in MBA (Human Resources), reflecting his strong academic foundation and commitment to excellence. Before transitioning into academia, Dr. Upadhyay worked with leading organizations such as Randstad India and Cipla Ltd., gaining valuable insights into organizational behavior, employee engagement, and business strategy. An active researcher and contributor to management scholarship, he has published in Scopus and UGC Care-listed journals and has authored and edited multiple books and book chapters. His work focuses on human resource management, organizational development, and innovative teaching methodologies. Recognized for his academic contributions, Dr. Upadhyay received the Academic Excellence Award in 2024. Through his writing and teaching, he seeks to bridge the gap between theory and practice, offering insights that are both scholarly and relevant to contemporary business environments.

Editorial Board

Dr. Arun Daves.A

*Assistant Professor of English, Jawahar Science College,
Neyveli, Cuddalore dist., Tamilnadu, India – 607803.*

Dr. Lakshmanan.K

*Assistant Professor of English, Jawahar Science College,
Neyveli, Cuddalore dist., Tamilnadu, India – 607803.*

Dr. Vellaichamy.V

*Associate Professor of English, Department of English,
Government. Arts College, Trichy main Road, Melur
Madurai dt, Pin code- 625106.*

Dr. Manjushree.R

*HoD and Assistant Professor,
Department of PG Studies and Research in English,
Sri Dharmasthala Manjunatheshwara College (Autonomous)
Ujire- 574240.*

Dr. Priya.C

*Associate Professor,
Department of English,
School of Engineering and Technology,
Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University, Samayapuram,
Tiruchirappalli-621112.*

Dr. Sujitha.S

*Assistant Professor of English, Jawahar Science College,
Neyveli, Cuddalore dist., Tamilnadu, India – 607803.*

Dr. Kurinji Selvi.K

*Assistant Professor of English, Jawahar Science College,
Neyveli, Cuddalore dist., Tamilnadu, India – 607803.*

Dr. Disalva.X

*Assistant Professor of English,
Vels Institute of Science Technology and Advanced
Studies(VISTAS)
Chennai-600117.*

DISCLAIMER

The Book “*Contemporary World Literature: A Global Perspective*” is a collection of poem from vaious writers edited by ***Dr. Bala Shanmuga Devi, Dr. B. Pavithra, Dr. Ellakkiyaa Sankar, Dr. Abhishek Upadhyay***It has been complied carefully and published by IVARIN PUBLICATIONS which has all the rights reserved to publish. This book is a work of author’s creative thought and any resemblance to any person, place, incident is purely coincidental.

This book is a sole contribution of the author. The legibility and authenticity of the content is purely binding to the writer and the publication is not accountable for plagiarism.

INDEX

S.No	Title	Page. No.
1.	Decentering Dominant Hegemonic Narratives: Subaltern Perspectives in the Movie Kantara - Dr. Devu T	1
2.	Between Two Worlds: Migration and the Search for Home in <i>Americanah</i> - Ms. Milcah, Dr. Muthu Deepa M	7
3.	Betrayal and Redemption in <i>The Kite Runner</i> : A Universal Human Experience - Mrs. Sharmila Joyce Princy A	16
4.	Alienation and Stories of Migration: A Displacement of Identity in <i>The Namesake</i> - Dr. Subrata Deb, Mr. Rahul Sharma	23
5.	Historical reclamation in <i>Homegoing</i> : A Cultural resilience - Dr. Kiran Mani Tripathi, Ms. Pragya Shastri	29
6.	Empowerment and Gender Narratives: Intersectionality in <i>Half of a Yellow Sun</i> - Dr. Rajeev Kumar Sharma	36
7.	Intersectionality and Diverse Voices in <i>A Thousand Splendid Suns</i> - Dr. Bharthi B	43

8.	Collective trauma and nostalgia in <i>Beloved</i> : An interplay of memory - Ms. Nandana N G	50
9.	Feminist Perspectives in <i>The House of Spirits</i> : A Cohort Study - Ms Shalini Sharma	57
10.	Transcultural Narratives and Cross-Cultural exchanges in Lahiri's <i>Interpreter of Maladies</i> - Dr. Sarala S	63
11.	Modernity and cross-Cultural Narratives: A Study on Arundhathi Roy's <i>God of Small Things</i> - Dr. Mathumathi P	70
12.	From Moral Resilience to Psychological Fragmentation: A Study of Female Bildungsroman in <i>Jane Eyre</i> and <i>The Bell Jar</i> - Dr. Arun Daves A	77
13.	Postcolonial Echoes: Reclaiming Indigenous Narrative in <i>Ice-Candy Man</i> - Mr. Jagmal Singh	85
14.	Cultural Collisions in <i>The White Tiger</i> : The Impact of Globalization - Mr. Jagmal Singh	92
15.	Memory and Identity: Reimagining the Past in <i>Wide Sargasso Sea</i> - Ms. Mohanapriya. K	98

16.	Alternative Futures and Dystopian Vision in <i>Parable of the Sower</i> - Dr. P. Santhosh, Dr. R. Ramakrishnan	104
17.	<i>Open City: An Introspective Exploration and the Influencing Narratives of Urban Life</i> - Dr. R. Ramakrishnan, Dr. P. Santhosh	111
18.	De-constructing Bodies, Defacing Humanity: Dystopian Realities in Manjula Padmanabhan's <i>Harvest</i> - Dashrath Gatt	117
19.	Digital Voice and Decolonisation: A Study of Blogging as Identity - Dr. P. Anisha	127

~1~

Decentering Dominant Hegemonic Narratives: Subaltern Perspectives in the Movie Kantara

Dr. Devu T,

*Assistant Professor in English, Sree Narayana College of
Technology, Vadakkevila, Kollam, 691004*

dr.devu92@gmail.com

Abstract

Apart from entertainment purposes, films are didactic artifacts capable of influencing the existing social ideologies and conforming those into different social realities. Released in 2023, directed and acted by Rishab Shetty, *Kantara* is an amplification of the mutilated and disrupted voice of the voiceless community. With the critical discourse of subaltern theory this paper analyses how the movie has tailored the folklore elements, traditional beliefs, ecology and indigenous ritual practices as symbols of resistance against the power hegemony.

Keywords: *other: hegemony, power structure, subaltern*

Introduction

Kantara is a 2022 Kannada film, directed by Rishab shetty and produced by Vijay Kiragandur. The film is set in a historical period of three cycles. At the outset of the film, director Rishab Shetty introduces a king, who amidst the material luxuries is unable to find inner peace. He set out a journey of self exploration which encapsulates his return journey with a stone deity named Panjurli. As a reward for the deity the king has promised to donate acres of land for the forest

dwellers who served the deity. While the successors of the king reclaim the land aiming for the fiscal gains, the plot portrays a subaltern defiance with the human form of Panjurli named 'bhoota kola'. As the story moves towards the 1990s, the land lord Devendra Suttoo's attempts to confiscate the land were defended by the protagonist Shiva, a Kambala athlete from Kaadubettu village.

The film can be analysed on various critical grounds like post colonial, ecological, yet a subaltern theoretical perspective can be used to explore the power relations with the members of the community with nature. Initially used by the Italian Marxist Antinio Gramsci, the term 'subaltern' meant a person inferior in rank or status. Later the term has gained prominence in critical discourse with Gayatri Spivak's essay, where she defines that "the subaltern cannot speak. There is no virtue in the global laundry list with women a pious. Representation has not withered away. The female intellectual has a circumscribed task that she must not disown with a flourish"(Spivak, 308). The film opens with a buffalo race won by the protagonist, but the effort goes in vain when he says "The buffaloes are exerting all their might. However, ironically, it is the owner who ends up receiving the Medal" (Shetty).

The narrative of the movie is a relentless exploration of the complex entangled ties of the community against the landlords with the aid of the wilderness, indigenous rituals and practices. Along with the peripheral plot of a village community residing in the forest outskirts, the narrative is a parallel retaliation against complex and layered power structures. The conflict between tradition and modernity becomes a tail end of the narrative. The narrative is primarily set in the background of the Tulu speaking community of Karnataka amplifying their mythological tales, cultural practices coated with forms of

resistance. As the title suggests, the narrative is a complex wild structure with a deity protecting the land of the inhabitants. By amalgamating nature with the supernatural, the narrative has succeeded to transcend the human resistance to a mystical phenomena watched by the deity or a human in the form of a bhoota kola. It is not only a story about a tribal community, but a splendid delineation of their survival, struggles and subversion against the majority using the elements of folklore, cultural heritage and age old traditions. By choosing a non-linear narration of back and forth, the film transcends the protagonist's human limitations and correlates his present to the past experiences. The delineation of ancient folklore with the mythology further adds the complexity of plot.

Kantara is a predominant manifestation and a counterfeit narrative against dominant discourses, echoing the struggles of subaltern communities in a coastal village of Karnataka. By portraying a plethora of cultural identities, conventional patterns and rights of the marginalized groups, Kantara is a celibate attempt to acknowledge their mutilated voices. With the marvelous cinematic universe entangled with folklore elements and ecological diversity, the film is a persuasive social commentary that travels beyond the screen. By bringing attention to the indigenous resistance practices against the hegemonic institutions, the film challenges the dominant gaze of the hetero-normative society. The movie emphasizes the inherent power encounters of the community using diverse elements like decentered narrative with a defiant protagonist, bhoota kola, forest and various images and symbols.

Apart from the narrative, the forest heavily draws as a subaltern mode of resistance. The forest of the Kambala community remains as a multifaceted symbol by serving both

as protector and a destroyer. To the forest dwellers, it is a sacred enclosed entity capable of subsisting, developing and conforming to their age-old traditions, culture and religious practices. On the other hand the forest is a space where the community is reinforced to establish their identity against the landlord's demonic power greed. Briefly, forest is both a source of provider and a battlefield for their survival. As the title of the film suggests, the story is all about the wilderness of the Kambala community entangled with the flora and fauna of the forest. The sacred groves, ancient trees, religious spots, ritualistic practices and the animals are considered to be the community's survival. The director and actor Rishab Shetty opines that “ Kantara is a mysterious forest and this is a story that happens around the area... It is used in Yakshagana too, where we call a mysterious forest Kantara” (Mubarak 2022).

Furthermore, forest is a metaphor for the Kambala community's tolerance, resilience and their entanglement with nature. Unlike the landlords, the community maintained a symbiotic existence with the forest by adopting indigenous life skills and mythological practices. Besides being a physical entity with material benefits, the forest is extended as a space for spiritual awakening. For Siva, it is a spot where he shed his material guilt of killing a wild boar and reconnecting his true self to his forefathers. The sacred groves become a solace for his disturbed psyche and a sanctuary to reconnect with his ancient lineage. Siva's encounter with the supernatural deity serves as a reminder symbol of the community's rich heritage. The relations between man versus nature is embodied in Rishab Shetty's words, “In coastal Karnataka region, land is not merely land, it involves divinity. Every aspect of life is associated with that earth. I looked at land as a bridge between man, God and beliefs. I felt that it is also important to tell the outside world about our culture and traditions” (Shetty).

Like the forest, the bhoota kola has a dual existence, both as a natural and supernatural figure. Koraga, another indigenous community of Tulunadu worshipped bhoota kola as the deity. Traditionally, bhoota kolas are ritualistic performers in the human form and believed to possess certain inhuman powers. Devotees seek the presence of these kolas to confide their worries, In Kantara, the deity is confirmed as ‘panjuurli’, which is a wild boar peculiar with its disruptive strength. Among the diverse deities of Tulunadu, Panjuruli is unique with the roots traced back to history. They are presented as the inevitable part of the paranormal activity, where the bhoota kola vanishes into the air and ends as a mystery. They are believed to be the secret protectors of the forest and symbols of the old traditions and cultural heritage. The disappeared bhoota kolas are awakened through songs and the following rituals. Apart from serving as a powerful medium of reconciliation, the kola is unique with supreme inclusivity. At the outset of the film, the indigenous community takes part in the ritual, but the culmination scene of the bhoota kola is enriched with people belonging to different social hierarchies embracing a harmony of togetherness. The director has succeeded to transcend the bhoota kola from a communal deity to an omnipotent entity. Gulika Daiva is another popular deity among the tribal community of coastal Karnataka. By serving as a quasi symbol of morality, the Gulika stands for detached and fair treatment of justice. Unlike Panjurli, Gulika is more ferocious and doesn't easily forgive culprits as easily as Gulika. It is a forest deity responsible for guarding the earth.

Shiva, the protagonist, is a stubborn staunch resistant symbol in the movie. He has the cultural lineage and heritage of Gulika Daiva. When his father vanished following the deity, his mother compelled him to pursue the tradition of community. The film begins with his winning the race. His lineage to the

God has been revealed through his recurring hallucinating image of the kola in the movie. Finally, he becomes a source of subaltern force by possessing the spirit of gulika daiva. After enforcing a harmonious bond among the people, he took his final journey and vanished to the forest justifying him as a true legend. The film has brilliantly, yet subtly, portrayed how people belonging to different social classes are subjected to varied treatments. The Brahmin, non-Brahmin binary is evident in a scene where the elite class cleanses their house after the departure of Shiva and his friends from their home. They sprinkled sacred water from the Ganges to purify the enclosed space of their home and outskirts.

Conclusion

Kantara stands as a disruptive symbol against the dominant narrative structures by the power resources. Blending supernatural, folklore and ecological concerns, the narrative stands as a novel attempt to resonate the innate struggle undergone by the indigenous community of coastal Karnataka. By adopting a narrative interconnected with nature, it is a remembrance for the emerging ecological threats and human necessity to coexist with nature.

Works Cited

1. Mubarak S. Surprising Facts About Rishab Shetty's 'Kantara'.2022,<https://in.hellomagazine.com/lifestyle/20221212301900/rishab-shetty-kantara-movie-facts/>
2. Shetty, Rishab. Kantara. Hombale Films, 2022.
3. Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the subaltern speak? Can the subaltern speak?" Reflections on the history of an idea (1988): 21-78.

~2~

Between Two Worlds: Migration and the Search for Home in *Americanah*

Ms. Milcah¹, Dr. Muthu Deepa M²

¹*Research Scholar, Department of English, Faculty of Science
and Humanities, SRM Institute of Science and Technology,
Kattankulathur, Chennai, India.*

mr2900@srmist.edu.in

²*Assistant Professor, Department of English, Faculty of
Science and Humanities, SRM Institute of Science and
Technology, Kattankulathur, Chennai, India.*

muthudem@srmist.edu.in

Abstract

This research examines the themes of migration, identity, and the search for home in Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's novel *Americanah*. The novel provides a nuanced portrayal of transnational migration, racial identity, and cultural belonging through the journeys of its protagonists, Ifemelu and Obinze. Drawing on postcolonial theory, migration studies, and transnational identity discourse, this study explores how *Americanah* challenges conventional narratives of migration and return. By analyzing key moments in the novel, this paper argues that migration is not a linear process but a continuous negotiation of selfhood across cultural and geographical boundaries.

Keywords: *Migration, Identity, Transnationalism, Postcolonialism, Race, Belonging*

Introduction

Migration has long been a defining characteristic of human experience, shaping identities and transforming notions of home. In contemporary literature, migration narratives often explore themes of displacement, nostalgia, and the challenges of reintegration. Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Americanah* offers a compelling examination of these issues through the experiences of Ifemelu and Obinze, two Nigerians whose migration journeys lead them to different understandings of race, identity, and home. The novel disrupts the conventional migration narrative by presenting migration as a cyclical and transformative process rather than a simple departure and return. This research explores how *Americanah* engages with themes of transnationalism, racial identity, and belonging, situating the novel within broader migration literature and theoretical frameworks.

Literature Review

Migration literature frequently examines issues of displacement, hybridity, and transnational belonging. Homi Bhabha's (1994) concept of "third space" suggests that migrants exist in an in-between state, navigating multiple cultural identities. Stuart Hall (1990) emphasizes that diasporic identities are fluid and shaped by historical and cultural contexts, a theme reflected in Ifemelu's transformation in *Americanah*. Paul Gilroy's *The Black Atlantic* (1993) further explores transnational Black identities, highlighting the complexities of migration and race. Scholars such as Salman Rushdie (1991) argue that migrants construct imaginary homelands, only to find that home has changed upon their

return. Svetlana Boym's (2001) distinction between restorative and reflective nostalgia is crucial in understanding Ifemelu's return to Nigeria and her evolving sense of belonging. While existing scholarship has explored *Americanah* in terms of race and migration, less attention has been given to the gendered and class-based aspects of migration within the novel, a gap this study seeks to address.

Theoretical Framework

This research applies postcolonial theory, migration and transnational identity studies, and nostalgia and return migration theory to analyze *Americanah*. Postcolonial theorists such as Edward Said (1978), Homi Bhabha (1994), and Stuart Hall (1990) provide insights into the complexities of identity and hybridity. Avtar Brah's (1996) concept of diasporic spaces helps in understanding Ifemelu's identity negotiations in the U.S. Zygmunt Bauman's (1998) work on globalization and migration informs the analysis of Obinze's struggles as an undocumented migrant in the U.K. These frameworks provide a comprehensive approach to understanding how *Americanah* portrays migration as a process of continuous self-redefinition.

Methodology

This study employs a qualitative, textual analysis of *Americanah*, focusing on key themes related to migration, race, and belonging. A close reading of the novel is conducted to examine how Ifemelu and Obinze navigate their migration experiences. Additionally, this research utilizes comparative literary analysis, situating *Americanah* within the broader context of contemporary migration literature, including Jhumpa Lahiri's *The Namesake* (2003), Mohsin Hamid's *Exit West* (2017), and NoViolet Bulawayo's *We Need New Names* (2013). Secondary sources, including scholarly articles and theoretical

texts, are used to support the analysis. This approach ensures a comprehensive examination of the novel's engagement with migration discourses.

Analysis and Discussion

2.1 Migration and the Transformation of Identity

Migration forces individuals to renegotiate their identity, often resulting in a split between their past and present selves. Ifemelu undergoes a transformation in America, not just in terms of racial identity but also in language, behavior, and self-perception. She recognizes how her Nigerian accent marks her as an outsider, prompting her to adopt an American accent.

“The only reason you say that race was not an issue is because you wish it was not. We all wish it was not. But it’s a lie.” (Adichie, 2013, p. 291)

This statement, from her blog *Raceteenth*, reveals her growing awareness of systemic racial structures in the U.S. Her adoption and later rejection of an American accent reflect Homi Bhabha's (1994) concept of mimicry, where migrants alter themselves to fit dominant cultures while remaining outsiders. Ifemelu's eventual decision to revert to her Nigerian accent signals her reclamation of self.

Additionally, Ifemelu's relationship with Curt, a wealthy white American, highlights the intersections of race, gender, and privilege in migration. His well-meaning but naive comments on race—such as his assumption that racism doesn't affect Ifemelu because she's “different” from African Americans—demonstrate the racial ignorance of even sympathetic white allies. Ifemelu ultimately ends the relationship, realizing that Curt's privilege blinds him to the deeper complexities of her racialized experience.

2.2 The Burden of Documentation and Power in Migration

Obinze's migration journey underscores the brutality of immigration laws and class structures. While Ifemelu, despite struggles, is able to legalize her status in the U.S., Obinze faces systemic barriers in the U.K., where he overstays his visa and works under exploitative conditions.

"They don't see us. They walk past us in their offices and on the streets. To them, we are faceless. But we are here." (Adichie, 2013, p. 324)

This passage reflects Zygmunt Bauman's (1998) idea of "wasted lives," where migrants become invisible laborers, essential yet unacknowledged. The contrast between Ifemelu's legal migration path and Obinze's undocumented struggles also reveals how class and nationality shape migratory experiences. Ifemelu, arriving on a student visa, navigates challenges but ultimately secures a green card through marriage. In contrast, Obinze, unable to get a work visa despite his education and intelligence, experiences the limitations placed on African mobility in the Global North.

His eventual deportation, after a humiliating encounter with immigration officers, highlights the arbitrariness of borders and the systematic dehumanization of migrants. His dream of England as a land of opportunity is shattered, reinforcing the disillusionment of postcolonial subjects who, despite their qualifications, find themselves unwelcome in former imperial centers.

2.3 Gendered Experiences of Migration

Women and men experience migration differently, particularly in terms of economic survival and social perception. Ifemelu, as a female migrant, faces different

pressures, including financial vulnerability that leads her to an exploitative encounter with a wealthy white man who expects sexual favors in exchange for rent money.

“She did not cry. She did not even feel shame. Only a vast sense of betrayal.” (Adichie, 2013, p. 202)

This moment captures the precariousness of female migrants, who are often forced into compromising situations due to economic hardship. Ifemelu's silence after this incident reflects the way migrant women are often expected to endure and move forward without acknowledgment of their trauma.

Conversely, Obinze's struggle is framed around masculinity and economic agency. His inability to secure stable work in the U.K. emasculates him, challenging traditional Nigerian notions of manhood. His later success in Nigeria as a wealthy businessman contrasts sharply with his prior status as an undocumented worker, showing how migration shifts power dynamics based on geography and legal status.

2.4 The Psychological Displacement of Return Migration

When Ifemelu returns to Nigeria, she assumes she will feel a sense of belonging, yet she finds herself alienated from the very culture she once called home. This experience aligns with Salman Rushdie's (1991) idea of "imaginary homelands," where migrants construct an idealized version of their home country, only to find reality disappoints them.

Ifemelu's interactions with her old friends reflect this displacement. They view her as a “returnee” rather than simply a Nigerian. She struggles to reconnect with those who have remained in Nigeria, as seen in her conversations with Ranyinudo, who accuses her of speaking like an American and being out of touch with local realities.

“You are looking at Nigeria with American eyes,” Ranyinudo said. “You have to see it the way it is, not the way you want it to be.” (Adichie, 2013, p. 518)

Ifemelu's longing conflicts with the evolution in her absence. This moment sums up Svetlana Boym's (2001) distinction between reflective and restorative memory. Restorative nostalgia wants to recreate the past precisely as it was, which Ifemelu first longs for. Reflective nostalgia acknowledges that home is continuously evolving, something she finally comes to grasp. The main point of the book is her awakening to the fact that home is a dynamic concept, not a set location, therefore supporting the idea that migration is a never-ending process of self-reinvention.

2.5 Love as a Form of Migration

Beyond geographical migration, *Americanah* explores emotional and relational migration through Ifemelu and Obinze's love story. Their journey is marked by separation, transformation, and reconnection, mirroring the larger themes of departure and return. Obinze's marriage to Kosi represents his attempt to conform to societal expectations, yet he remains emotionally distant.

“He felt nothing, as though he was watching someone else's life.” (Adichie, 2013, p. 521)

Ifemelu, too, experiences relationships with Curt and Blaine that, while meaningful, lack a fundamental sense of home. Her eventual reunion with Obinze suggests that home is not merely a place but a feeling of belonging with another person. In this sense, their love story mirrors the cyclical nature of migration, departure, transformation, and return.

Conclusion

Americanah offers a powerful critique of migration, identity, and the search for belonging. Through Ifemelu and Obinze's stories, Adichie illustrates the complexities of transnational mobility, racial identity, and the contradictions of homecoming. This study demonstrates that migration is not merely a physical movement but an ongoing negotiation of selfhood. By situating *Americanah* within migration and postcolonial studies, this research contributes to a broader understanding of how contemporary literature challenges and redefines traditional migration narratives.

Work Cited

1. Adichie, C. N. (2013). *Americanah*. Alfred A. Knopf.
2. Appadurai, A. (1996). *Modernity at large: Cultural dimensions of globalization*. University of Minnesota Press.
3. Bauman, Z. (1998). *Globalization: The human consequences*. Columbia University Press.
4. Bhabha, H. K. (1994). *The location of culture*. Routledge.
5. Bourdieu, P. (1991). *Language and symbolic power* (G. Raymond & M. Adamson, Trans.). Harvard University Press.
6. Boym, S. (2001). *The future of nostalgia*. Basic Books.
7. Du Bois, W. E. B. (1903). *The souls of Black folk*. A. C. McClurg & Co.
8. Fanon, F. (1952). *Black skin, white masks* (C. L. Markmann, Trans.). Grove Press.
9. Gilroy, P. (1993). *The Black Atlantic: Modernity and double consciousness*. Harvard University Press.

10. Hall, S. (1990). Cultural identity and diaspora. In J. Rutherford (Ed.), *Identity: Community, culture, difference* (pp. 222-237). Lawrence & Wishart.
11. Harris, C. (1993). Whiteness as property. *Harvard Law Review*, 106(8), 1707-1791. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1341787>
12. hooks, b. (1981). *Ain't I a woman? Black women and feminism*. South End Press.
13. Mbembe, A. (2001). *On the postcolony*. University of California Press.
14. McRobbie, A. (2009). *The aftermath of feminism: Gender, culture and social change*. SAGE Publications.
15. Rushdie, S. (1991). *Imaginary homelands: Essays and criticism 1981-1991*. Granta Books.
16. Said, E. W. (1978). *Orientalism*. Pantheon Books.
17. Spivak, G. C. (1988). Can the subaltern speak? In C. Nelson & L. Grossberg (Eds.), *Marxism and the interpretation of culture* (pp. 271-313). University of Illinois Press.
18. Thiong'o, N. wa. (1986). *Decolonising the mind: The politics of language in African literature*. James Currey.

Betrayal and Redemption in *The Kite Runner*: A Universal Human Experience.

Mrs. Sharmila Joyce Princy A

*Assistant Professor, Excel Engineering College (Autonomous),
Namakkal.*

sharmilabenadict117@gmail.com

Abstract

The chapter examines the moral and emotional complexities of guilt, betrayal, and the quest for redemption in Khaled Hosseini's novel. Set against the backdrop of Afghanistan's shifting political landscape and the Afghan diaspora, the study explores how personal choices are deeply intertwined with historical and social forces. It focuses on the relationship between Amir and Hassan, analyzing how childhood betrayal becomes a defining moment that shapes Amir's identity and lifelong pursuit of atonement. The paper further investigates the transformative role of memory, forgiveness, and sacrifice in the process of moral recovery. By situating individual experience within broader human and cultural contexts, the study argues that the novel transcends its specific setting to reflect a universal struggle for moral reconciliation and emotional healing. Ultimately, *The Kite Runner* is presented as a narrative that underscores the possibility of redemption through accountability, courage, and compassion.

Keywords: *Khaled Hosseini, The Kite Runner, betrayal, redemption, guilt, forgiveness, memory.*

Introduction

Khaled Hosseini's debut novel, *The Kite Runner* (2003), is structured around one of literature's most elemental moral architectures: guilt incurred through an act of cowardice and selfishness, followed by decades of incomplete suppression, and ultimately addressed—though not fully resolved—through an act of belated courage. Amir, the novel's first-person narrator, is the privileged son of a wealthy Pashtun man in pre-Soviet Kabul; Hassan is the son of Ali, Amir's father's Hazara servant. The two boys grow up together with a closeness that is both genuine and profoundly asymmetrical: Amir values Hassan's loyalty and good nature but cannot acknowledge the friendship publicly because to do so would expose him to the social contempt reserved for Hazara people in Afghan society. When Hassan is assaulted in an alley by the novel's villain, Assef, Amir watches from hiding and does not intervene. This moment—rendered with an agonizing specificity that the reader cannot look away from and cannot forget—is the act around which the novel's entire moral architecture revolves. This chapter examines the psychology of guilt and redemption that *The Kite Runner* constructs, the ways in which those psychological dynamics are grounded in specifically Afghan cultural and historical contexts, and the ways in which the novel has been both celebrated and critiqued for its universalizing impulses.

3.1 Theoretical Framework: Narrative Identity, Guilt, and Shame

Paul Ricoeur's philosophy of moral identity in *Oneself as Another* (1992) argues that the self is constituted through narrative: we understand who we are by telling stories about what we have done and what has been done to us, and the ethical

question of who we are is inseparable from the narrative question of how we tell those stories. Amir's retrospective first-person narration is precisely the kind of narrative identity construction that Ricoeur describes: the adult Amir is literally constructing himself through the act of writing—and thus reliving and reinterpreting—the story of his betrayal of Hassan. The novel's opening declaration, "I thought about Hassan's harelip. I thought about that winter day in 1975," establishes from its first line that the narrating self is in a constitutive relation to the narrated past.

Contemporary shame theorists offer a further refinement of the psychological dynamics at work. June Price Tangney's research distinguishes between guilt—focused on the specific wrongful act—and shame—focused on the self as fundamentally flawed or worthless. Amir's psychological experience is more accurately characterized as shame than guilt: he does not merely believe he did a terrible thing; he believes himself to be the kind of person who does terrible things, and this belief makes genuine reparation feel impossible. The self-punishment he substitutes—his willingness to be framed, his years of silence, his emotional unavailability—are characteristic shame responses rather than productive guilt responses, and they explain why his suffering does not lead to any constructive action for many years.

3.2 The Alley and the Architecture of Complicity

The assault scene in the alley is the fulcrum of the novel and Hosseini's most consequential artistic decision: by having Amir witness rather than participate, Hosseini creates a moral situation more realistic and more complex than simple victimization. Complicity by witness is a different moral category from direct perpetration, and Hosseini traces its

particular psychology with considerable precision. Amir tells himself that he is a coward—which is true—but the cowardice is enabled by the social architecture of ethnic hierarchy that makes Hassan's suffering feel, at some level Amir cannot acknowledge to himself, as less serious than it would be if the victim were Pashtun.

The intersection of personal cowardice with structural racism—which is what Afghan ethnic hierarchy amounts to, in practice—is what makes the alley scene so analytically rich. It is not simply Amir's failure; it is a failure enabled and partly produced by a social system that devalues Hassan's personhood. Amir does not create this system, but he draws on it, and the ease with which he draws on it is itself a sign of the depth of his internalization of its values. The novel is at its best in these moments where the psychological and the political cannot be cleanly separated, where individual moral failure illuminates systemic injustice without either diminishing or excusing the other.

3.3 Hassan's Dignity and the Problem of Idealization

Hassan's character has been critiqued by scholars—most notably Fatemeh Keshavarz in *Jasmine and Stars* (2007)—for functioning primarily as a vehicle for Amir's guilt rather than as a fully realized human being in his own right. This critique has considerable force: Hassan is almost entirely good, and his goodness functions as the ethical mirror in which Amir's moral failures become visible. A more generous reading might acknowledge, however, that Hosseini's portrayal of Hassan's loyalty—which persists even after Amir frames him for theft—is an attempt to render something genuine about a specific kind of selflessness that the social structures of Afghan ethnic

hierarchy produce without in any way justifying those structures.

Hassan's letters to Amir, discovered after his death, are the novel's most affecting documents. They demonstrate a continued generosity toward a man who has wronged him deeply, without any indication that this generosity is unconscious or naive: Hassan has understood what Amir did; he has chosen not to say so; and his choice is a form of love that the novel does not sentimentalize. The problem with Hassan is not that he is unreal but that the novel's narrative structure—Amir's retrospective guilt—cannot give him the independent life he deserves. This is a structural limitation, and Keshavarz is right to identify it, though it does not diminish the novel's achievement in other respects.

3.4 The Return to Afghanistan and the Ethics of Belated Action

Amir's return to Taliban-controlled Afghanistan to rescue Sohrab, Hassan's son, is the novel's second major act, and it has been read by some critics as too neat—a moral arithmetic in which Amir's eventual heroism cancels his earlier cowardice. Hosseini is careful, however, to resist such a comfortable calculation: Amir is beaten severely by Assef before he can save Sohrab, and the beating is described in a way that suggests it functions for Amir as a form of desired punishment as much as an unavoidable obstacle. He even laughs during it—an involuntary laugh of release rather than amusement—and this detail is the novel's most honest rendering of what guilt really wants, which is not merely to repair the harm done but to suffer for it.

The novel does not claim that Amir has resolved his guilt by the end. It suggests only that he has taken a step—

inadequate, late, and motivated by complicated personal needs—in the direction of responsibility. This is a more modest and more honest account of redemption than the novel's popular reception often acknowledges. Amir cannot undo what he has done; he can only do something now, with whatever is left of the relationship he destroyed. The final image—Amir running a kite for Sohrab, echoing his childhood relationship with Hassan—is deliberately ambiguous: it is either a moment of recovered connection or an image of the circularity of history that can never quite be escaped.

Conclusion

The Kite Runner has been both more celebrated and more criticized than it strictly deserves on either count. Its psychological insight into the mechanisms of shame and its specific rendering of Afghan social structures under successive political regimes are genuine achievements; its tendency to resolve collective historical problems through individual moral narrative is a genuine limitation. What remains valuable is the novel's insistence that betrayal is never simply a private matter—that it always occurs within social and political structures that enable or constrain it—and that redemption, where it is possible at all, requires not the erasure of what was done but the sustained, uncomfortable, and never quite completed work of acknowledging it.

Works Cited

1. Hosseini, Khaled. *The Kite Runner*. Riverhead Books, 2003.
2. Keshavarz, Fatemeh. *Jasmine and Stars: Reading More Than Lolita in Tehran*. U of North Carolina P, 2007.
3. Ricoeur, Paul. *Oneself as Another*. Translated by Kathleen Blamey, U of Chicago P, 1992.

4. Tangney, June Price, and Ronda L. Dearing. *Shame and Guilt*. Guilford Press, 2002.
5. Wahab, Shaista. *A Brief History of Afghanistan*. Facts on File, 2007.
6. Yousaf, Nahem. "Khaled Hosseini and the Afghans Abroad." *Journal of Postcolonial Writing*, vol. 43, no. 1, 2007, pp. 71–83.
7. Zimmerman, Dwight Jon. "Afghan History in Hosseini's Works." *International Journal of World Literature*, vol. 2, no. 4, 2009, pp. 34–47.

Alienation and Stories of Migration: A Displacement of Identity in *The Namesake*

Dr. Subrata Deb¹, Mr. Rahul Sharma²

¹*Associate Professor, Centre for Foreign Language Studies,
PP Savani University, Surat, Gujarat*

²*Assistant Professor, Centre for Foreign Language Studies, PP
Savani University, Surat, Gujarat.*

subrata.deb1@gmail.com

Abstract

The paper examines the experiences of cultural dislocation, identity negotiation, and emotional alienation in Jhumpa Lahiri's novel. The study focuses on the life of Gogol Ganguli as he navigates the complexities of being raised between Indian heritage and American society, highlighting the tensions that arise from hybrid identity formation. It explores how migration produces a sense of in-betweenness, where characters struggle to reconcile familial expectations, cultural memory, and personal aspirations. Through an analysis of narrative structure, symbolism, and character development, the paper demonstrates how alienation functions as both a source of psychological distress and a catalyst for self-discovery. It also considers the generational differences in immigrant experiences, revealing how displacement reshapes notions of belonging and selfhood. Ultimately, the novel is read as a reflection on the fragile and evolving nature of identity in a transnational world.

Keywords: *Jhumpa Lahiri, The Namesake, alienation, migration, identity, diaspora.*

Introduction

If *Interpreter of Maladies*—examined in Chapter 2—studies the first-generation Bengali diaspora through the compressed form of the short story, attentive to the gap between intention and reception, *The Namesake* (2003) extends the same project across a novel's full temporal scope, following the Ganguli family from Ashoke's near-fatal train accident in 1961 through his son Gogol's middle age in America. The novel's central organizing device is a name: Gogol Ganguli is named after the Russian author Nikolai Gogol, whose short story "The Overcoat" Ashoke was reading when the train crashed and whose book—miraculously preserved in the wreckage—caught the rescue workers' attention. This name, laden with private meaning that his son cannot access, becomes the primary site of Gogol's identity crisis. He bears a name that belongs to no obvious cultural tradition, that is neither properly Bengali nor properly American, and that carries significance he comes to resent before he can begin to understand it. This chapter examines *The Namesake* as a study in the alienations specific to the second-generation immigrant experience, arguing that Lahiri uses the name as a condensed figure for the broader problem of inheriting a cultural identity one did not choose and cannot quite refuse.

4.1 Theoretical Framework: Diaspora, Belonging, and Narrative Identity

Vijay Mishra's distinction between "diasporas of exclusion"—produced by traumatic displacement, such as the African Atlantic diaspora—and "diasporas of the border"—produced by the elective migration of labor—is relevant to situating the Ganguli family within a larger typology of diasporic experience. The Gangulis belong to the latter

category, and their relationship to the idea of home is correspondingly ambivalent rather than elegiac: they have chosen to leave, which means they must also choose, repeatedly and imperfectly, to stay. Stuart Hall's formulation of cultural identity as "becoming" rather than "being" is especially pertinent to Gogol's trajectory: he is not simply caught between two cultures but is engaged in the ongoing production of a self that can accommodate both the Bengali world of his parents and the American world in which he was raised, without being reducible to either.

Paul Ricoeur's concept of "narrative identity" in *Oneself as Another* (1992) offers a further productive framework. Ricoeur argues that the self is constituted through narrative—that we understand who we are by telling and retelling stories about what we have done and what has been done to us. Gogol's problem is, in part, that he does not know the story that explains his name, and therefore cannot fully account for himself. His recovery of that story—partial, late, and painful—is the novel's central movement.

4.2 The Name as Identity Burden

Gogol's relationship to his name passes through several distinct stages that map onto his psychological development. As a child, he accepts it without question; as an adolescent, he resents its strangeness and petitions legally to be called Nikhil; as a young adult, he uses Nikhil in all official and professional contexts while allowing Gogol to persist only within the family circle. This bifurcation of the name is also a bifurcation of the self: Nikhil is the name under which Gogol performs his American identity, while Gogol is the name under which he remains his parents' son.

Lahiri is careful not to present this as a clean solution. Gogol/Nikhil's serial romantic failures—his inability to commit to any relationship, his restlessness in every domestic arrangement he constructs—are symptoms of an identity that has not achieved the integration that the bifurcation defers but does not resolve. When his father finally tells him the story of the train accident—the story that explains the name—it is too late to change the way Gogol has already been shaped by his ignorance of it. Ashoke dies shortly afterward, and Gogol is left with a name whose significance he can now comprehend but whose burden, in a different sense, only increases. The story becomes a posthumous gift he must learn to receive.

4.3 Generational Conflict and the Inadequacy of Approximation

The *Namesake* is as much about the first generation as the second: Ashoke and Ashima's experience of immigration—their homesickness, their determined domesticity, their creation of a Bengali cultural world in Massachusetts—is rendered with as much attention and sympathy as Gogol's more obviously conflicted experience. Ashima's homesickness is introduced in the novel's opening pages with a characteristic Lahiri precision: she is in the kitchen, mixing Rice Krispies with puffed rice and spices to approximate the *jhalhuri* she misses, and the inadequacy of the approximation is felt as a form of grief. This image—the inadequate substitute, the approximation of home—recurs throughout the novel as a figure for the immigrant condition more broadly.

The generational conflict between Ashoke and Ashima's world and Gogol's is not merely cultural but epistemological: Gogol cannot fully understand what his parents have lost and therefore cannot fully understand what the name he has been

given is meant to preserve. This failure of comprehension is not culpable—it is the ordinary condition of the second generation, caught between worlds it did not choose—but it is also genuinely costly: it means that Gogol spends years resenting the very thing that would, if he understood it, give him access to a history that could help him understand himself.

4.4 Return and the Impossibility of Home

The *Namesake* ends, like *Americanah*—examined in Chapter 1—with a form of return, as Gogol goes back to his childhood home after his mother has decided to sell it. What he returns to no longer exists in the form it occupied in memory; the house is emptied, and what remains is the accumulated weight of time rather than the specific weight of home. Lahiri's treatment of this ending is notably unresolved: Gogol opens the book his father gave him on his birthday—Gogol's short stories, the book that saved Ashoke's life—and begins to read. The novel closes with that act of reading, which is also an act of belated comprehension.

The suggestion is that identity, for the second generation, is a project of retrospective understanding—of learning, slowly and imperfectly, to read the documents of an experience one was present for but did not fully witness. This is not resolution but orientation: a direction of travel rather than a destination. In this respect, *The Namesake* is entirely consistent with the larger argument of this volume: that cultural identity is not an inheritance one receives passively but a practice one must continue to perform, revise, and inhabit through the full arc of a life.

Conclusion

The *Namesake* is a novel of extraordinary patience—patient in its accumulation of detail, patient in its willingness to follow characters through decades without forcing artificial resolution, patient in its refusal to assign blame for the dislocations it documents. Lahiri's achievement is to render both generations' experience with equal depth, resisting the temptation to sentimentalize either the immigrant parents' sacrifice or the American-born children's alienation. What emerges is a portrait of diaspora as a condition of permanent in-betweenness that is neither tragic nor triumphant but simply, stubbornly, human—a condition that demands not resolution but the more difficult, more modest, and more enduring work of learning to live within it.

Works Cited

1. Agamben, Giorgio. *The Coming Community*. Translated by Michael Hardt, U of Minnesota P, 1993.
2. Hall, Stuart. "Cultural Identity and Diaspora." *Identity: Community, Culture, Difference*, edited by Jonathan Rutherford, Lawrence & Wishart, 1990, pp. 222–237.
3. Lahiri, Jhumpa. *The Namesake*. Houghton Mifflin, 2003.
4. Mishra, Vijay. *The Literature of the Indian Diaspora: Theorizing the Diasporic Imaginary*. Routledge, 2007.
5. Ricoeur, Paul. *Oneself as Another*. Translated by Kathleen Blamey, U of Chicago P, 1992.
6. Upstone, Sara. "Domesticity in Lahiri's *The Namesake*." *South Asian Review*, vol. 28, no. 1, 2007, pp. 33–54.
7. Vijayasree, C. "Jhumpa Lahiri's *The Namesake*: The Tyranny of Origins." *New Woman Fiction*, edited by Amarnath Prasad, Sarup & Sons, 2005, pp. 78–89.

Historical reclamation in *Homegoing*: A Cultural Resilience

Dr. Kiran Mani Tripathi¹, Ms. Pragya Shastri²

¹*Professor, Department of Humanities, Lakshmi Narain College of Technology, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh*

kirantripathi2011@gmail.com

²*Assistant Professor, Department of Humanities, Lakshmi Narain College of Technology Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh*

prag.shastri@gmail.com

Abstract

The chapter examines Yaa Gyasi's novel as a powerful narrative that reconstructs fragmented histories of the African diaspora and reclaims silenced voices shaped by slavery and colonialism. The study explores how *Homegoing* traces generational trauma and cultural displacement through the divergent yet interconnected lineages of two half-sisters and their descendants. It highlights the novel's role in recovering erased histories by foregrounding lived experiences across Africa and America, thereby challenging dominant Eurocentric historical narratives. The analysis also considers how cultural resilience is expressed through memory, oral traditions, identity formation, and the persistence of heritage across generations. By intertwining personal and collective histories, Gyasi's narrative underscores the enduring impact of historical violence while simultaneously emphasizing survival, adaptation, and

resistance. Ultimately, the paper argues that *Homegoing* functions as an act of historical reclamation that affirms cultural continuity and diasporic resilience.

Keywords: *Yaa Gyasi, Homegoing, historical reclamation, cultural resilience, diaspora; slavery.*

Introduction

Yaa Gyasi's debut novel, *Homegoing* (2016), is an audacious formal experiment in historical fiction that traces two family lines from eighteenth-century Ghana across three centuries and three continents, arriving in the contemporary United States. The novel begins with two half-sisters—Effia and Esi—who share a father but whose lives diverge irrevocably when one is married to a British colonial officer and the other is sold into slavery through the same Cape Coast Castle beneath which Esi is imprisoned. Devoting a chapter to each successive generation, Gyasi charts the long afterlives of that founding rupture—the ways in which a single historical catastrophe shapes every subsequent life in ways that are real but rarely fully legible to the people living them. What Gyasi achieves is something more than historical survey: she constructs a literary analogue to the Ghanaian concept of Sankofa—the practice of retrieving the past in order to move forward—by demonstrating that the present is always inhabited by the history it appears to have left behind. This chapter examines *Homegoing* as an act of historical reclamation, analyzing the ways in which Gyasi deploys narrative structure, cultural memory, and individual consciousness to argue that resilience is not the absence of trauma but its transformation.

5.1 Theoretical Framework: Trauma, Memory, and Sankofa

Cathy Caruth's theorization of trauma in *Trauma: Explorations in Memory* (1995) argues that traumatic experience is distinguished by the impossibility of full assimilation: it returns, unbidden and fragmentary, in ways that disrupt linear time and stable selfhood. Gyasi's structural choice—the generational chapter format, each centered on a single character who inherits the consequences of the previous chapter's events without full knowledge of those consequences—enacts this traumatic logic formally. Each character carries weight whose origin they can only partly see, and the reader, accumulating knowledge across generations, understands the weight more fully than any individual character can. This dramatic irony is the novel's primary affective mechanism.

Dominick LaCapra's distinction between "working through" and "acting out" trauma in *Writing History, Writing Trauma* (2001) is equally pertinent. LaCapra argues that traumatic history requires forms of cultural work that neither deny the pain of the past nor are paralyzed by it. *Homegoing* is precisely such cultural work. The Akan concept of Sankofa, represented by the bird that flies forward while looking backward, provides a culturally specific framework that complements the psychoanalytic one: Gyasi constructs a narrative whose forward momentum depends on the retrieval of what has been lost, suppressed, or misremembered. The novel is a formal enactment of Sankofa, and its form is therefore inseparable from its argument.

5.2 The Cape Coast Castle and the Architecture of Historical Violence

The Cape Coast Castle, which sits on Ghana's Atlantic coast and through whose "Door of No Return" millions of enslaved Africans passed, is the novel's founding symbol and its first fully realized space. Gyasi's decision to situate Esi's enslavement within this specific architectural context—the castle above, the British officers and their African wives living in relative comfort above the dungeons—is an act of historical precision that refuses the abstraction of slavery into metaphor. The castle is also, in the novel's symbolic economy, a figure for the ways in which violence is institutionalized and made invisible by being built into the very structure of everyday life.

Effia lives above the dungeons in which Esi and others are imprisoned without knowing what those dungeons contain. Her unknowing is not innocence but a particular form of complicity, and Gyasi's handling of it illustrates her most consistent moral insight: that historical violence is sustained not only by perpetrators but by the architecture of ignorance that surrounds them—the social arrangements that make it possible for ordinary people to live comfortably alongside catastrophe. This is not a comfortable observation, and Gyasi does not soften it.

5.3 Memory, Forgetting, and the Problem of Inheritance

Each generation in *Homegoing* inherits a world shaped by events it does not fully understand, and Gyasi traces with considerable skill the ways in which this inherited opacity constitutes a form of continued historical injury. James, Esi's descendant, grows up in America without knowledge of his

African origins; the cultural disconnection is experienced as personal inadequacy, a void in the self that has no name. Marjorie, the contemporary American descendant who closes the Ghanaian line, studies at Stanford and struggles with the question of how to inhabit an African identity she knows mostly through books. These experiences are not symmetrical—the American descendants of the enslaved carry different weight than the Ghanaian descendants of Effia—and Gyasi is careful not to collapse the distinction.

Paul Gilroy's analysis of the "Black Atlantic" in *The Black Atlantic: Modernity and Double Consciousness* (1993) provides a framework for understanding the cultural formations produced across these multiple locations of diaspora. Gilroy argues that Black Atlantic culture is defined by movement, rupture, and creolization rather than by any stable connection to a single origin—that the diasporic condition is not a deviation from a norm but a productive source of cultural innovation. Gyasi's dual lineage enacts this argument structurally: neither line is more authentic than the other, and neither can be fully understood in isolation from the history that links them.

5.4 Resilience as Cultural Practice

What distinguishes *Homegoing* from a simpler narrative of historical victimization is its sustained attention to the ways in which each generation, however constrained by historical circumstance, finds forms of cultural practice and individual assertion through which dignity is maintained. Yaw, a Ghanaian schoolteacher and the novel's most reflective character, delivers a speech about the importance of knowing one's own history: "We believe the one who has the power. He is the one who gets to write the story." This observation is not a counsel of despair

but an argument for the importance of counter-historical reclamation—the kind of reclamation that *Homegoing* itself performs.

Resilience, in Gyasi's vision, is not a natural property of individuals but a cultural achievement, sustained by storytelling, ritual, and the difficult decision to refuse the definitions imposed by structures of power. The novel's ending—with Marjorie and Marcus, descendants of the two original sisters, finally meeting—is not a resolution but a reunion, a provisional, hopeful encounter across the divide that history opened and has never fully closed.

Conclusion

Homegoing is a novel that argues, through form as much as content, that history is not the past but the material from which the present is continually constructed. Gyasi's generational structure makes visible the mechanisms through which historical violence is transmitted, transformed, and occasionally transcended. Her dual lineage—one tracing the American afterlife of slavery, the other tracing the Ghanaian experience of colonialism and independence—insists that these histories are not parallel but deeply entangled. The novel's achievement is to render that entanglement legible without simplifying it, and to suggest that the practice of reading—of retrieving stories that power has suppressed or distorted—is itself an act of cultural resilience, a form of Sankofa performed on behalf of the dead and in service of the living.

Works Cited

1. Caruth, Cathy, editor. *Trauma: Explorations in Memory*. Johns Hopkins UP, 1995.

2. Gilroy, Paul. *The Black Atlantic: Modernity and Double Consciousness*. Harvard UP, 1993.
3. Gyasi, Yaa. *Homegoing*. Alfred A. Knopf, 2016.
4. LaCapra, Dominick. *Writing History, Writing Trauma*. Johns Hopkins UP, 2001.
5. Morrison, Toni. "The Site of Memory." *Inventing the Truth: The Art and Craft of Memoir*, edited by William Zinsser, Houghton Mifflin, 1987, pp. 103–124.
6. Rushdy, Ashraf. *Remembering Generations: Race and Family in Contemporary African American Fiction*. U of North Carolina P, 2001.
7. Soyinka, Wole. *Myth, Literature and the African World*. Cambridge UP, 1976.

**Empowerment and Gender Narratives:
Intersectionality in *Half of a Yellow Sun***

Dr. Rajeev Kumar Sharma¹, Nagendra Sharma²

*¹Professor, Department of Humanities, Lakshmi Narain
College of Technology, Raisen Road Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh*

drrajeev38@gmail.com

*²Assistant professor, Department of Humanities, Lakshmi
Narain College of Technology, Excellence, Raisen Road
Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh*

nagendrasharma1417@gmail.com

Abstract

The paper explores the representation of gendered experiences within the socio-political upheaval of the Nigerian Civil War in Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's novel. The study employs an intersectional lens to examine how gender, class, ethnicity, and historical circumstance shape the identities and agency of women characters such as Olanna, Kainene, and Ugwu's mother. It investigates how the novel challenges dominant historical narratives by foregrounding women's lived realities and their roles in both domestic and public spheres during wartime. The analysis highlights the ways in which empowerment emerges through resilience, solidarity, and acts of resistance against patriarchal and colonial legacies. By intertwining personal narratives with national history, Adichie constructs a multifaceted portrayal of survival and identity formation. Ultimately, the paper argues that the novel redefines

gender narratives by emphasizing intersectional complexities and the transformative potential of female agency in contexts of conflict.

Keywords: *Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie, Half of a Yellow Sun, intersectionality, gender narratives, empowerment.*

Introduction

Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's second novel, *Half of a Yellow Sun* (2006), takes its title from the flag of Biafra—the short-lived republic that seceded from Nigeria in 1967 and was defeated after a civil war that killed between one and three million people, many through deliberate famine. The novel follows three central perspectives across the years immediately before, during, and after this war: Ugwu, a village boy who becomes a houseboy for the academic Odenigbo; Olanna, Odenigbo's partner, a woman of considerable education and social position; and Richard, a white British writer who falls in love with Olanna's twin sister Kainene. What immediately distinguishes *Half of a Yellow Sun* from other accounts of the Biafran conflict—which are few in number, given that the Nigerian government suppressed the conflict's history for decades—is its insistence on narrating that history through domestic, intimate, and above all female experience. This chapter examines how Adichie deploys gender as both a subject and a narrative method, reading the novel through the lens of intersectionality to demonstrate that the war's effects were experienced differently across the axes of gender, class, and ethnicity—and that those differential experiences constitute a form of historical knowledge that the dominant archive has systematically excluded.

6.1 Theoretical Framework: Gender, War, and Narrative Authority

Susan Jeffords's account of how war is narrated as a masculine experience in *The Remasculinization of America* (1989), though addressing the American context of the Vietnam War, offers a useful counterpoint to Adichie's project: Jeffords argues that war narratives systematically center male experience—courage, combat, comradeship—while relegating women's experience to the margins or to the category of collateral. Adichie's novel is a deliberate dismantling of this arrangement, and its formal decisions—the choice of a domestic servant, an educated woman, and a foreign observer as its three focalized perspectives—are themselves political statements about whose experience constitutes historical knowledge.

Elleke Boehmer's work on postcolonial fiction and gender in *Stories of Women* (2005) provides additional methodological grounding. Boehmer argues that postcolonial women writers frequently occupy a double marginality—marginalized within the dominant culture and within the nationalist counter-culture that challenges it—and that their formal innovations are often responses to the impossibility of finding an adequate voice within existing narrative traditions. Adichie's multiple-perspective structure and her doubled time-scheme (events are narrated twice, from different positions in time) are precisely such formal innovations: they are ways of telling a story that conventional nationalist historiography has not told and that conventional domestic fiction has not been equipped to tell.

6.2 Olanna and the Female Experience of War

Olanna's experience of the war is rendered through a series of scenes that deliberately place domestic and intimate detail alongside historical cataclysm without treating the former as a distraction from the latter. The most harrowing of these is her discovery of the aftermath of a massacre, where she sees the severed head of a neighbor's child in a calabash—an image so specific in its horror that it resists the abstraction into which historical distance can sometimes convert catastrophe. Adichie routes this horror through Olanna's sensibility, through her consciousness of the child as an individual she knew, and this routing is a deliberate political act: it insists that the losses of the Biafran war were not statistical but personal, not historical but experienced.

Olanna's subsequent dissociation—she cannot speak of what she has seen for a sustained period—is rendered with clinical precision but without clinical detachment. The reader understands both the mechanism and the cost. Her eventual return to function—her teaching of refugee children with inadequate materials, her maintenance of household and community during displacement—is presented as a form of resistance that is no less political for being domestic. Adichie is insistent on this point: the domestic and the political are not separate spheres but interpenetrating ones, and the labor of maintaining domestic life during wartime is a form of historical action.

6.3 Ugwu and the Masculinity of War

Ugwu's narrative provides the novel with its most direct engagement with the mechanics of the war itself, particularly

after he is conscripted into the Biafran army. His transformation from a gentle, bookish young man into a soldier capable of participating in atrocity is the novel's most disturbing ethical arc, and Adichie handles it without either excusing Ugwu or reducing him to a monster. The scene in which he participates in the gang rape of a woman in a bar is presented without narrative commentary—Adichie trusts the reader to register its horror without editorializing—and this restraint is the most devastating formal choice in the novel.

The fact that Ugwu is writing a book about the war—a book that eventually becomes, in a metafictional flourish, the novel the reader is holding—implicates narrative itself in the question of how violence is recorded and what is omitted. Adichie's metafictional move here is not a gesture of postmodern self-congratulation but a serious engagement with the ethics of representation: who gets to write history, what must be confessed and what is concealed, and what it costs to tell the truth about one's own complicity. Ugwu's decision to include the rape in his manuscript is presented as the most difficult and most important decision he makes—an act of historical reckoning that has no equivalent in the official account of the war.

6.4 Richard and the Limits of Sympathetic Witness

Richard Churchill is, among other things, an examination of the limits of sympathetic witness. His love for Kainene and his commitment to documenting Biafran suffering are genuine, but his position as a white British outsider means that his witnessing is necessarily limited and that his identification with Biafran nationalism—his feeling that "this was his war too"—is a form of appropriation that the novel

gently but firmly corrects. It is Kainene who delivers the correction, in one of the novel's most memorable lines: "The war isn't yours to write." This moment crystallizes one of the novel's central arguments: that there is a difference between witnessing and testifying, between sympathizing with a suffering that is not one's own and being in a position to speak for it.

Adichie's own position—writing the war as a Nigerian woman born after its conclusion, drawing on family memory and historical research—is implicitly theorized through Richard's story, which becomes a meditation on the ethics of historical reconstruction. The novel does not conclude that Richard's witnessing is worthless—it concludes that it must know its own limits, that it must be offered as testimony rather than authoritative account. This is a lesson that applies, by extension, to all historians and novelists who attempt to reconstruct lives and events they did not experience.

Conclusion

Half of a Yellow Sun recovers the Biafran war for literary memory with a scrupulousness of attention to gendered experience that it brings equally to all its other historical concerns. Adichie demonstrates that gender is not a frame placed over history from outside but a constitutive dimension of how history is made, experienced, and subsequently narrated. The novel's formal complexity—its multiple perspectives, its doubled time structure, its metafictional frame—mirrors the complexity of its subject: a war that has been officially suppressed, whose memory is contested, and whose victims include not only those who died but those who have been denied

the dignity of public acknowledgment for their grief and their survival.

Works Cited

1. Adichie, Chimamanda Ngozi. *Half of a Yellow Sun*. Alfred A. Knopf, 2006.
2. Boehmer, Elleke. *Stories of Women: Gender and Narrative in the Postcolonial Nation*. Manchester UP, 2005.
3. Jeffords, Susan. *The Remasculinization of America: Gender and the Vietnam War*. Indiana UP, 1989.
4. Mama, Amina. "Feminism or Femocracy? State Feminism and Democratisation in Nigeria." *Africa Development*, vol. 20, no. 1, 1995, pp. 37–58.
5. Okereke, Grace Eche. "Narrative as a Space for Negotiating Female Identities in the Novels of Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie." *Gender and Behaviour*, vol. 9, no. 2, 2011, pp. 4064–4080.
6. Saro-Wiwa, Ken. *Sozaboy: A Novel in Rotten English*. Saros International, 1985.
7. Uwakweh, Pauline Ada. "Carving a Niche: Visions of a New Nigeria in Adichie's *Purple Hibiscus* and *Half of a Yellow Sun*." *Journal of the African Literature Association*, vol. 3, no. 2, 2008–2009, pp. 103–122.

Intersectionality and Diverse Voices in *A Thousand Splendid Suns*

Dr. Bharthi B

*Associate Professor, Department of English, PSG College of
Arts and Science, Coimbatore*

bharthi@psgcas.ac.in

Abstract

The study examines the layered experiences of women in Khaled Hosseini's novel through the lens of intersectionality, focusing on how gender, class, ethnicity, and socio-political conditions intersect to shape identity and agency. Set against the backdrop of war-torn Afghanistan, the narrative traces the lives of Mariam and Laila, whose personal struggles reflect broader structures of oppression and resilience. This study analyzes how overlapping systems of power—patriarchy, poverty, and political instability—create varied yet interconnected forms of marginalization. At the same time, it highlights the emergence of solidarity and resistance among women as a means of negotiating and challenging these constraints. By foregrounding diverse voices and lived experiences, the paper argues that the novel offers a nuanced portrayal of suffering and strength, ultimately emphasizing the transformative potential of empathy, connection, and collective agency.

Keywords: *Khaled Hosseini, A Thousand Splendid Suns, intersectionality, gender, class.*

Introduction

Khaled Hosseini's second novel, *A Thousand Splendid Suns* (2007), announced itself as a deliberate corrective to the gendered lacunae of its predecessor. Where *The Kite Runner*—examined in Chapter 9 of this volume—centered male experience, *A Thousand Splendid Suns* places Afghan women at the heart of its historical canvas, tracing their lives across three decades of war, political transformation, and social upheaval. The novel follows two women from markedly different social positions: Mariam, born illegitimate and denied education by a patriarchal culture that regards her as less than fully human, and Laila, raised in a progressive household that values female education until the Taliban's arrival forecloses that possibility. Their forced proximity in Rasheed's household becomes the ground on which an unexpected solidarity grows—slowly, reluctantly, and with an emotional intensity the novel earns through its sustained fidelity to their distinct circumstances. This chapter reads *A Thousand Splendid Suns* through the framework of intersectionality, examining how Hosseini constructs gender oppression as inseparable from class, ethnicity, and political violence, and how the novel's female voices collectively articulate a form of resistance generated from within, rather than outside, conditions of extreme constraint.

7.1 Theoretical Framework: Intersectionality and the Matrix of Domination

Kimberlé Crenshaw's foundational essay "Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence Against Women of Color" (1991) argued that the experience of women of color cannot be adequately understood by analyzing

gender and race as separate axes of oppression. They interact, and the intersection produces forms of disadvantage that are qualitatively distinct from either alone. Hosseini's novel, though set in Afghanistan rather than the American context Crenshaw primarily addressed, demonstrates the applicability of this framework across cultural geographies. Mariam's situation is overdetermined: she is female, illegitimate, working-class, and Hazara—a member of an ethnic minority historically subordinated in Afghan society. Each category compounds the others, producing a vulnerability that no single analytical lens can adequately capture.

Patricia Hill Collins's concept of the "matrix of domination" in *Black Feminist Thought* (1990) further refines the analysis. Collins argues that interlocking systems of power operate simultaneously through institutional structures and the internalized self-images of the oppressed—that domination is not only imposed from outside but is partly reproduced from within. This insight is crucial to understanding Mariam's character: her acceptance of her own worthlessness is not weakness or passivity but the predictable outcome of a social architecture designed to produce exactly that acceptance. Laila, by contrast, is Pashtun and was raised in relative privilege; her eventual subordination under Rasheed and the Taliban is shaped differently, and the contrast between the two women's experiences illuminates how shared gender does not produce identical oppression.

7.2 Mariam: Illegitimacy, Hazara Identity, and the Social Construction of Worthlessness

Mariam's story begins with a word: *harami*, meaning bastard. The word is spoken to her by her mother, Nana, and it is the first

thing the reader learns about Mariam's place in the world. Hosseini's decision to open her narrative with this term establishes from the outset that Mariam's subjectivity is mediated by social designations over which she has no control. Her father, Jalil, is wealthy and nominally kind, but his kindness is the kindness of guilt rather than recognition: he visits her, brings her gifts, but refuses to acknowledge her publicly as his daughter. The kolba on the hill where Mariam lives with Nana is a spatial representation of her social status—peripheral, hidden, disposable.

When Nana commits suicide after Mariam's disastrous visit to Herat, and Mariam is subsequently forced into marriage with Rasheed, the trajectory from illegitimacy to domestic slavery is rendered with a terrible inevitability that the novel refuses to present as natural. It is constructed, Hosseini insists—constructed by law, custom, selective religious interpretation, and the quiet cooperation of everyone who benefits from Mariam's subordination. Her Hazara ethnicity, though less foregrounded than her gender and illegitimate status, operates as an additional layer of devaluation that informs the contempt with which Rasheed treats her and the institutional ease with which Afghan society permits that treatment.

7.3 Laila, the Taliban, and the Foreclosure of Possibility

Laila's story is structured around a series of foreclosures—possibilities that open briefly before being violently closed. Her father, Babi, believes in female education with a sincerity the novel treats as genuinely admirable; her childhood companion Tariq represents a form of Afghan masculinity defined by companionship rather than ownership. The rocket that kills Laila's parents destroys this alternative

future, leaving her alone, pregnant, and vulnerable in a city under Taliban control. Her decision to accept Rasheed's proposal of marriage is not capitulation but calculation—a reading of her situation that the novel insists on presenting as rational rather than passive.

Under the Taliban, Laila's access to public space, education, medical care, and legal protection is systematically withdrawn; her experience concretizes for the reader the abstract statistics of gender-based oppression under theocratic rule. Yet the novel refuses to reduce Laila to victimhood. Her literacy, her strategic intelligence, and her eventual decision to return to Kabul and contribute to its reconstruction position her as an agent of cultural resilience even within conditions of extreme constraint. This combination of constraint and agency is precisely what intersectional analysis is equipped to illuminate: Laila's life is not fully determined by her gender any more than it is fully determined by her class, ethnicity, or historical moment—all of these work together, and her responses to each condition the others.

7.4 Female Solidarity as Political Act

The relationship between Mariam and Laila—which moves from mutual suspicion through grudging tolerance to fierce love—is the emotional and thematic center of *A Thousand Splendid Suns*. Hosseini presents this solidarity not as natural or inevitable but as hard-won, emerging through shared suffering and the slow recognition of shared humanity across the lines of class and ethnicity that initially divide them. The feminist standpoint theory associated with scholars such as Sandra Harding argues that shared subordination can produce a distinctive form of epistemic solidarity: women who have

learned to read the world from the underside of power share a knowledge that is unavailable to those who occupy positions of dominance (Harding 26).

When Mariam strikes Rasheed with the shovel in the novel's climactic scene, she acts not in panic but with deliberate clarity—she sees, with perfect precision, what must be done. This clarity is the product of a lifetime of reading social situations for signs of danger, a cognitive skill honed by oppression and deployed in an act that is simultaneously murder, self-defense, and sacrifice. Her subsequent execution by the Taliban is presented without sentimentality; the novel refuses to offer the comfort of her survival as a reward for her act of love. What it offers instead is the survival of Laila, the continuation of a life that Mariam's act has made possible, and the dignity of having chosen—once, finally, decisively—to act.

Conclusion

A Thousand Splendid Suns is, among other things, an argument against the abstraction of political analysis. It insists that the intersecting systems of gender, ethnicity, class, and political violence that structure Afghan women's lives must be understood in their concrete specificity, through the particular bodies and particular histories of particular women. Hosseini's deployment of multiple female perspectives—and his sustained attention to the differential experiences of Mariam and Laila—demonstrates that intersectionality is not a theoretical nicety but a methodological necessity. Any analysis of Afghan women's oppression that attends to gender alone will miss the ways in which that oppression is complicated and compounded by other vectors of power. The novel further demonstrates that solidarity,

where it emerges, does so not despite difference but through the patient, difficult recognition of it.

Works Cited

1. Collins, Patricia Hill. *Black Feminist Thought: Knowledge, Consciousness, and the Politics of Empowerment*. Routledge, 1990.
2. Crenshaw, Kimberlé. "Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence Against Women of Color." *Stanford Law Review*, vol. 43, no. 6, 1991, pp. 1241–1299.
3. Harding, Sandra. *Whose Science? Whose Knowledge? Thinking from Women's Lives*. Cornell UP, 1991.
4. Hosseini, Khaled. *A Thousand Splendid Suns*. Riverhead Books, 2007.
5. Stabile, Carol A., and Deepa Kumar. "Unveiling Imperialism: Media, Gender and the War on Afghanistan." *Media, Culture & Society*, vol. 27, no. 5, 2005, pp. 765–782.
6. Whitlock, Gillian. "The Skin of the Burqa: Recent Life Narratives from Afghanistan." *Biography*, vol. 28, no. 1, 2005, pp. 54–76.

Collective Trauma and Nostalgia in *Beloved*: An Interplay of Memory

Nandana N.G.

*Assistant Professor of English Government First Grade
College, Malleshwaram, Bengaluru*

ngnandana@gmail.com

Abstract

The paper examines the complex relationship between memory, trauma, and longing in Toni Morrison's novel. Set against the historical backdrop of slavery and its aftermath, the narrative reveals how individual suffering is deeply intertwined with collective historical experience. This study explores how Morrison represents trauma as both a personal and communal inheritance, transmitted across generations through fragmented and haunting memories. At the same time, it investigates the role of nostalgia as a double-edged force—offering moments of emotional refuge while also risking the romanticization of a painful past. Through an analysis of narrative structure, symbolism, and characterization, the paper highlights how memory operates as a site of both repression and recovery. It argues that *Beloved* reclaims silenced histories and foregrounds the enduring psychological impact of slavery, ultimately presenting memory as a crucial medium for confronting and reshaping collective identity.

Keywords: *Toni Morrison, Beloved, collective trauma, nostalgia, memory, slavery.*

Introduction

Toni Morrison's *Beloved* (1987) has occupied a singular position in the American literary canon for nearly four decades, not because it offers comfort but precisely because it refuses to. Based on the historical case of Margaret Garner—an enslaved woman who killed her daughter rather than see her returned to slavery—the novel constructs an unflinching meditation on the psychological aftermath of slavery that has no precedent in American fiction. Morrison described the novel as an attempt to fill in the "interior life" of enslaved people that historical documentation, by its nature, could not capture—to insist that these were not abstractions but particular human beings with particular forms of consciousness and particular forms of suffering. The ghost of Sethe's murdered baby daughter—known only as the word carved on her tombstone, *Beloved*—materializes in the house at 124 Bluestone Road, and then, impossibly, in the body of a young woman who arrives from somewhere unnamed. Her presence forces everyone in the household to confront what they have been trying, not entirely successfully, to survive. This chapter examines *Beloved* through the framework of trauma theory and the politics of memory, arguing that Morrison constructs collective trauma as both a wound and, paradoxically, the condition of any genuine healing.

8.1 Theoretical Framework: Trauma, Rememory, and the Return of the Repressed

Cathy Caruth's formulation of trauma as an event that cannot be fully assimilated at the moment of its occurrence, and which therefore returns in distorted and repetitive forms, provides an initial framework for reading *Beloved*. The novel's famous opening declaration—"124 was spiteful. Full of a baby's

venom"—introduces a world in which traumatic history is not past but persistently, aggressively present. Paul D's ability to keep his traumatic history in a "tobacco tin" in his chest, where he imagines it safely contained, is precisely the kind of defensive structure that trauma theory would predict: the trauma is managed by being kept just below the threshold of full consciousness, but its pressure is always felt and eventually cannot be contained.

Morrison's own concept of "rememory"—introduced in Sethe's conversation with Denver about the way traumatic images persist in places even after the people who experienced them have moved on—extends Caruth's framework significantly. For Morrison, memory is not purely psychological but also spatial and communal. It inhabits places and can be encountered by those who were not present at the original event. Marianne Hirsch's concept of "postmemory"—the way in which the memory of trauma is transmitted across generations with an intensity that does not diminish with temporal distance—complements this formulation and illuminates the predicament of Denver, who has been formed by events she did not experience and cannot fully comprehend.

8.2 Sethe's Act and the Logic of Devastating Love

The central moral and interpretive challenge of *Beloved* is Sethe's killing of her daughter—an act that the novel presents as simultaneously monstrous and comprehensible. The comprehensibility is not an endorsement but an insistence that the reader understand the conditions under which such an act becomes thinkable. Sethe has escaped from Sweet Home plantation, traveled to Cincinnati, and spent twenty-eight days in relative freedom with her children and her mother-in-law,

Baby Suggs. She has seen what the future holds for Black children returned to slavery. When schoolteacher arrives with the slave catcher, she does not hesitate.

Morrison does not allow readers to locate this act comfortably in the category of the heroic or the category of the mad. It inhabits the space between them, as Sethe herself does, and any reading that resolves this ambiguity does violence to the novel's deepest moral intelligence. The act is generated by a form of love that slavery has distorted without destroying—a love so intense that it cannot distinguish between protection and destruction, between saving and killing. Morrison insists that this distortion is not Sethe's pathology but slavery's legacy, and the distinction is everything.

8.3 Baby Suggs, the Clearing, and the Politics of Communal Healing

Baby Suggs, Holy—freed by her son Halle's labor, settled in Cincinnati, and transformed into a community preacher whose ministry takes place in a forest clearing rather than a church—represents the novel's fullest articulation of what healing from collective trauma might look like. Her sermons, which invite the community to love their bodies and their Black flesh particularly, are an explicit counter to the dehumanizing logics of slavery, which denied enslaved people ownership of their own bodies and subjected those bodies to a systematic campaign of degradation. The Clearing is a space of countercultural affirmation: it does not deny the reality of suffering but insists that the body is nonetheless capable of joy, and that this joy is a form of resistance.

After Sethe's act, the community withdraws—their ostracism is itself a trauma response, the community protecting itself from the unbearable implications of what she has done. This withdrawal, and its eventual reversal in the novel's climactic scene, when the women of Cincinnati march to 124 to drive out the ghost, structures Morrison's argument about the relationship between individual and collective healing. Neither can proceed without the other. Sethe cannot heal from her isolation, and the community cannot heal from its own collective trauma without acknowledging and accepting Sethe back into its life.

8.4 *Beloved* as Embodied History

The figure of *Beloved* herself—whose stream-of-consciousness monologue in the novel's third section is among the most formally demanding passages in American fiction—represents the return of what history has suppressed and what personal memory cannot contain. Her speech, unpunctuated and associative, blends the voice of the dead child with images from the Middle Passage; she seems to remember the ship, the bodies, the water in ways that exceed any individual biography. Morrison suggests that *Beloved* is not simply one ghost but a figure for all of the dead who went without naming or mourning through the centuries of the Atlantic slave trade—that her hunger for recognition is the hunger of millions.

Saidiya Hartman's account of slavery's archive in *Scenes of Subjection* (1997) is pertinent here: Hartman demonstrates that the historical record of slavery is constitutively partial, recording the experiences of enslaved people primarily in the language of their owners and primarily at moments of crisis or transaction. Morrison's counter-archival

project—giving voice, however fragmentary and partial, to the interior life of the enslaved—is a deliberate challenge to this archival violence. *Beloved's* presence in the novel is the presence of everything the archive has lost.

Conclusion

Beloved argues, through its very form, that collective trauma cannot be resolved by individual will; it requires collective acknowledgment, communal mourning, and the kind of patient labor that the women of Cincinnati perform when they march together to 124. Morrison's novel is a record of what was done to enslaved people and a sustained meditation on what it costs, psychologically and spiritually, to survive it—and on what it costs, further, to build a life in the shadow of that survival. Its enduring significance lies not in any resolution it offers but in its absolute refusal to look away: to let history be history, comfortably past, no longer capable of demanding anything from those who live in its wake.

Works Cited

1. Caruth, Cathy. *Unclaimed Experience: Trauma, Narrative, and History*. Johns Hopkins UP, 1996.
2. Hartman, Saidiya V. *Scenes of Subjection: Terror, Slavery, and Self-Making in Nineteenth-Century America*. Oxford UP, 1997.
3. Hirsch, Marianne. *The Generation of Postmemory: Writing and Visual Culture after the Holocaust*. Columbia UP, 2012.
4. Morrison, Toni. *Beloved*. Alfred A. Knopf, 1987.

5. ---. "The Site of Memory." *Inventing the Truth: The Art and Craft of Memoir*, edited by William Zinsser, Houghton Mifflin, 1987, pp. 103–124.
6. Spargo, R. Clifton. *Vigilant Memory: Emmanuel Levinas, the Holocaust, and the Unjust Death*. Johns Hopkins UP, 2006.
7. Weinstein, Philip. *Unknowing: The Work of Modernist Fiction*. Cornell UP, 2005.

Feminist Perspectives in *The House of Spirits*: A Cohort Study

Ms Shalini Sharma

*Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering,
Bhagwan Parshuram Institute of Technology, New Delhi*

shalinireet@gmail.com

Abstract

The Study explores the representation of women's experiences across generations in Isabel Allende's novel, examining how gender, power, and resistance evolve within a patriarchal and politically turbulent society. The study adopts a cohort-based approach to analyze the interconnected lives of female characters such as Clara, Blanca, and Alba, highlighting how each generation negotiates oppression, agency, and identity in distinct yet overlapping ways. It investigates themes of domesticity, political engagement, memory, and resilience, demonstrating how personal histories intersect with broader socio-political transformations. By foregrounding women's voices and experiences, the paper argues that Allende redefines traditional narratives of history and power, presenting a feminist reimagining of both private and public spheres. Ultimately, the novel is shown to offer a powerful critique of patriarchy while celebrating continuity, solidarity, and resistance among women.

Keywords: *Isabel Allende, The House of the Spirits, feminism, cohort study, gender roles.*

Introduction

Isabel Allende's *The House of the Spirits* (*La casa de los espíritus*, 1982; translated 1985) spans four generations of the Trueba family in an unnamed South American country whose history maps unmistakably onto Chile's — from the early twentieth century's rural patriarchy through the socialist period and the military coup that ended it. The novel is organized around four women: Clara, the clairvoyant matriarch whose magical powers give the novel its distinctive atmosphere; Blanca, her daughter, whose forbidden love for a peasant worker produces the family's most significant cross-class relationship; Alba, Blanca's daughter, who becomes a revolutionary and is tortured by the regime; and Nívea, Clara's mother, the feminist activist whose head is decapitated in a car accident and who returns throughout the novel as a recurring figure. These four women, across four generations, constitute what this chapter calls a cohort study: a systematic tracking of how gender ideology evolves — or fails to evolve — across the historical arc the novel traces, and what forms of female consciousness and female resistance are available at each historical moment.

The magical realism that has made the novel internationally famous is not, in Allende's hands, primarily an aesthetic choice. It is a political one: a formal commitment to rendering female experience — specifically the experience of clairvoyance, spiritual sensitivity, and the forms of knowledge that patriarchal rationalism dismisses as superstition — as epistemologically legitimate, as a form of knowing the world that the dominant order's categories cannot accommodate and therefore consistently suppresses. Clara's ability to predict the

future, to move objects without touching them, to maintain contact with the dead, is the novel's figure for the forms of female knowledge that patriarchal culture has always possessed and always been denied by the institutional structures of male authority.

9.1 Clara and the Epistemology of the Margins

Clara del Valle Trueba keeps notebooks her entire adult life — notebooks that record the events of her daily existence alongside the visions and premonitions and spirit communications that constitute the novel's magical dimension. These notebooks are the novel's primary narrative source: the granddaughter Alba uses them to write the novel that the reader is reading, and the act of writing from the grandmother's notebooks — the act of making the maternal archive the foundation of the historical narrative — is Allende's most explicit statement about what feminist historiography requires. History, in *The House of the Spirits*, is preserved not in the official record but in the private notebooks of women who were writing at the margins of the public world while the men they were attached to were making the public history.

Sandra Gilbert and Susan Gubar's account in *The Madwoman in the Attic* (1979) of how nineteenth-century women writers negotiated the double bind of literary production in a culture that defined authorship as masculine — who theorized the anxiety of authorship as the specific form of self-doubt that this definition imposed on women writers — is applicable to Clara's notebook-keeping in a transposed form. Clara does not write for publication; she writes because the alternative is the loss of the specific knowledge that her marginalization from the public world gives her access to. The notebooks are both a survival

strategy and a counter-archive: a record of what the official history will not include.

9.2 Esteban Trueba and the Patriarchal Body Politic

Esteban Trueba — Clara's husband, the novel's nominal patriarch, the man whose political trajectory from conservative landowner to supporter of the military coup mirrors Chile's right-wing political history — is the novel's study in the relationship between patriarchal domestic authority and political authoritarianism. His violence against Clara, against the peasant women of his estate (whom he rapes with a systematic impunity that the novel documents with deliberate precision), and eventually against his own granddaughter Alba through his political allies, is rendered by Allende as structurally connected to his politics: the man who believes in the natural superiority of his class and his gender is the same man who believes in the natural right of the military to crush political opposition.

Kate Millett's argument in *Sexual Politics* (1970) that patriarchy is a political institution — that the power relations organized by gender are political relations in the same sense as the power relations organized by class or race — is the theoretical foundation for reading Esteban as the novel's figure for the connection between domestic and political authoritarianism. The coup that ends the socialist government is, in the novel's structural logic, the national expression of the domestic violence that Esteban has been performing on a smaller scale throughout his adult life: both are organized by the belief that authority is natural, that its exercise requires no justification, and that resistance to it is deviance requiring correction.

9.3 Alba, Torture, and the Transmission of Resistance

Alba's imprisonment and torture by the military regime — which the novel renders with a specificity and a restraint that are equally harrowing — is the culminating act of a history of gender violence that the novel has been tracing across four generations. She is tortured not only because of her political activities but because she is a woman in a system of power that has always used violence against women's bodies as a primary instrument of political control. The specific form of the torture — sexual, organized around the degradation of the female body — is the same instrument that Esteban used against the peasant women of his estate, now institutionalized and systematized by a regime that has formalized his individual impulse into state policy.

What the novel refuses, in its account of Alba's survival, is the sentimentalization of resilience: Alba survives and eventually writes the account that the reader holds, but the writing is not presented as healing in any simple sense. It is presented as the only available form of accountability in a society that has organized its official institutions around the suppression of what was done. Her writing is Allende's writing is Clara's notebooks: the transmission of what happened through the maternal line, against the official silence.

Conclusion

The *House of the Spirits* argues, across its four-generation cohort study, that the feminist project is not the project of a single generation — that each generation of women in the novel must negotiate the specific forms of patriarchal authority available in their historical moment, and that the

progress from Nívea's public feminism to Alba's revolutionary politics is not linear but recursive: each generation rediscovers the stakes of the fight and pays the price of fighting it in the specific currencies their moment demands. The magical realism is the novel's formal argument that women's knowledge — the kind preserved in notebooks, transmitted through female genealogies, expressed through the body's specific sensitivity to what the dominant order refuses to acknowledge — is as real as any official account of the history it has been organized to resist.

Works Cited

1. Allende, Isabel. *The House of the Spirits*. Translated by Magda Bogin, Alfred A. Knopf, 1985.
2. García Márquez, Gabriel. *One Hundred Years of Solitude*. Translated by Gregory Rabassa, Harper & Row, 1970.
3. Gilbert, Sandra M., and Susan Gubar. *The Madwoman in the Attic: The Woman Writer and the Nineteenth-Century Literary Imagination*. Yale UP, 1979.
4. Hart, Patricia. *Narrative Magic in the Fiction of Isabel Allende*. Fairleigh Dickinson UP, 1989.
5. Millett, Kate. *Sexual Politics*. Doubleday, 1970.
6. Rojas, Sonia Riquelme, and Edna Aguirre Rehbein, editors. *Critical Approaches to Isabel Allende's Novels*. Peter Lang, 1991.
7. Yuval-Davis, Nira. *Gender and Nation*. Sage, 1997.

Transcultural Narratives and Cross-Cultural Exchanges in Lahiri's *Interpreter of Maladies*

Dr. Sarala S

Assistant Professor, Department of English, SRM Arts and Science College, Kattankulathur

saralaoofficial30@gmail.com

Abstract

The study explores the nuanced representation of diasporic identity and cultural interaction in Jhumpa Lahiri's short story collection. The study examines how Lahiri portrays the emotional and psychological dimensions of migration, focusing on characters who navigate between Indian and Western cultural frameworks. Through themes of displacement, alienation, intimacy, and miscommunication, the narratives reveal the complexities of belonging in a transcultural context. This paper analyzes how everyday encounters—marked by cultural misunderstandings and subtle negotiations—shape identity and interpersonal relationships. It also considers Lahiri's minimalist narrative style as a means of capturing the quiet tensions and unspoken conflicts inherent in cross-cultural exchanges. Ultimately, the work argues that *Interpreter of Maladies* presents transcultural experience as both enriching and isolating, highlighting the fragile connections that define diasporic life.

Keywords: *Jhumpa Lahiri; Interpreter of Maladies; transcultural narratives; diaspora; cross-cultural exchange.*

Introduction

Jhumpa Lahiri's debut collection, *Interpreter of Maladies* (1999), won the Pulitzer Prize for Fiction and established Lahiri as one of the foremost chroniclers of the Bengali-American diaspora. The nine stories that constitute the volume are united not by shared characters or setting but by a shared preoccupation: the ways in which human beings attempt, and repeatedly fail, to communicate across the divides of culture, expectation, and desire. The collection's title story—in which an Indian-American tourist attempts to confess a painful secret to a tour guide named Mr. Kapasi—is paradigmatic: the confession misfires not because of a linguistic barrier but because the two characters inhabit such fundamentally different emotional and cultural universes that the gesture of intimacy she imagines is received by him as something else entirely. Across all nine stories, Lahiri constructs transcultural encounter as a site simultaneously of revelation and of misunderstanding, and it is this double quality—the simultaneous reach toward and failure of connection—that gives the collection its distinctive atmosphere. This chapter analyzes the mechanisms of cross-cultural exchange in *Interpreter of Maladies*, drawing on theories of cultural translation, diaspora identity, and the semiotics of everyday life.

10.1 Theoretical Framework: Transculturation and the Contact Zone

Fernando Ortiz's concept of transculturation, developed to describe the complex cultural transformations produced by colonialism in Cuba, offers a productive starting point that is more flexible than the assimilation models it was designed to replace. Unlike acculturation—which implies a one-directional

absorption of subordinate culture by dominant culture—transculturation describes a bidirectional process in which both parties are transformed through contact, and neither emerges unchanged. Lahiri's stories resist the simpler narrative of immigrant assimilation and instead present a more nuanced picture of mutual transformation and mutual incomprehension.

Mary Louise Pratt's refinement of Ortiz's concept in *Imperial Eyes* (1992) is equally pertinent. Pratt's notion of the "contact zone"—a social space where disparate cultures meet, clash, and grapple with each other, often in contexts of highly asymmetrical relations of power—captures precisely the quality of the encounters Lahiri stages. The domestic spaces of her fiction are contact zones: the dinner table, the darkened apartment, the tourist bus. Homi Bhabha's account of cultural translation—the idea that meaning is not transferred between cultures but is always transformed in transit, with something gained and something lost—informs this chapter's reading of the collection as a sustained meditation on the losses that attend even the most well-intentioned cross-cultural exchange.

10.2 Communication, Miscommunication, and Structural Irony

What is immediately striking about *Interpreter of Maladies* as a collection is the degree to which narrative interest is generated not by action but by the gap between what characters intend and what is received. In "When Mr. Pirzada Came to Dine," the young narrator Lilia observes Mr. Pirzada's ritual of setting down his watch to Pakistani time each evening—a gesture that encapsulates his double consciousness without his needing to articulate it. Her parents watch the BBC news about the war in Bangladesh with an attention that she

cannot yet comprehend; the cultural meaning of what she witnesses is available to her only in retrospect, if at all. In "A Temporary Matter," Lahiri's most formally concentrated story, nightly power cuts force Shukumar and Shoba to sit in darkness and exchange confessions that, rather than healing their marriage, expose the irreparable nature of their estrangement. The pattern is consistent across the collection: moments of apparent connection prove, on closer inspection, to be moments of parallel solitude.

This structural irony could become schematic if less carefully handled. Lahiri sustains it throughout the collection by means of her precise observational style, her refusal to editorialize, and her gift for the resonant concrete detail. The interpretive effort demanded of the reader mirrors the interpretive effort demanded of the characters: both must learn to read across gaps, and both, frequently, get it wrong.

10.3 Domestic Space and Homing Desire

Several of the most powerful stories in the collection stage their cultural negotiations in domestic space—the kitchen, the dinner table, the immigrant apartment—suggesting that the home is not a refuge from the problem of cultural identity but one of its primary theaters. In "Mrs. Sen's," the eponymous protagonist's elaborate preparation of fresh fish—involving a large blade brought from India, wielded on the apartment floor—is simultaneously a culinary practice and a cultural performance, an assertion of continuity with a world that is no longer accessible. The child Eliot watches these preparations with a mixture of fascination and unease that Lahiri renders without condescension: his incomprehension is treated as the

natural limit of a cultural imagination not yet enlarged by experience.

Avtar Brah's theorization of "homing desire" in *Cartographies of Diaspora* (1996) illuminates what Mrs. Sen is doing in these scenes. Brah distinguishes between the desire for a mythic place of origin—which may never have existed in the idealized form it assumes in memory—and the actual, ongoing processes of making home in a new location. Mrs. Sen's blade and her elaborate fish preparations are expressions of homing desire; they are also, the story subtly suggests, forms of resistance to the very process of making home in Massachusetts. The two impulses—the desire to recreate elsewhere and the necessity of accommodating the here—are held in an unresolved tension that is Lahiri's most consistent subject.

10.4 The Title Story and the Ethics of Interpretation

"*Interpreter of Maladies*," the collection's centrepiece, is its most concentrated exploration of the problems of cross-cultural communication and the ethics of professional translation. Mr. Kapasi, who works as a tour guide and also as a medical interpreter for a doctor who does not speak Gujarati, regards his interpretive role with a melancholy dignity that his wife does not share. When the Das family arrives—American-born Indians whose relationship to India is more aesthetic than cultural—he finds in Mrs. Das's apparent curiosity an invitation to the intimacy he has lacked in his own marriage. The story turns on his misreading of her intention: what she wants is absolution, not connection, and the distinction is crucial.

Her confession that her son Bobby is not her husband's child but the product of an affair is offered to Mr. Kapasi because she imagines that his professional expertise in interpreting maladies might extend to hers. His failure to understand this—his romantic fantasy about the letter he will write to her, the connection he imagines they have forged—is both comic and genuinely painful. Lahiri's point, understated as always, is that interpretation is a function of desire as much as of competence. We hear what we are hoping to hear, and the distance between cultures magnifies this universal human limitation rather than creating it. Mr. Kapasi is a professional translator who cannot translate the most important thing in the room.

Conclusion

Interpreter of Maladies achieves its considerable power by refusing to sentimentalize the encounter between cultures or to offer resolution where the lived experience of diaspora offers only continuing negotiation. Lahiri's achievement is formal as well as thematic. The restraint of her prose style, the precision of her social observation, and her deliberate refusal of melodrama create a fictional world in which the gap between self and other is felt as a genuine loss rather than a literary convention. The collection advances the scholarly conversation about diaspora fiction by insisting that what is lost in cross-cultural translation is not always recovered by good intentions or professional expertise—and that this loss, while real, is also the condition of whatever genuine understanding is sometimes, precariously, achieved.

Works Cited

1. Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.
2. Brah, Avtar. *Cartographies of Diaspora: Contesting Identities*. Routledge, 1996.
3. Lahiri, Jhumpa. *Interpreter of Maladies*. Houghton Mifflin, 1999.
4. Ortiz, Fernando. *Cuban Counterpoint: Tobacco and Sugar*. Translated by Harriet de Onís, Duke UP, 1995.
5. Pratt, Mary Louise. *Imperial Eyes: Travel Writing and Transculturation*. Routledge, 1992.
6. Shankar, Lavina Dhingra, and Rajini Srikanth, editors. *A Part, Yet Apart: South Asians in Asian America*. Temple UP, 1998.
7. Vijay, Sunita. "Negotiating the Third Space: Identity and Diaspora in Lahiri's Fiction." *South Asian Review*, vol. 27, no. 1, 2006, pp. 111–128.

**Modernity and Cross-Cultural Narratives: A
Study on Arundhati Roy's *God of Small Things***

Dr. Mathumathi P

*Assistant Professor of English, Anna University Regional
Campus-Tirunelveli*

kmathumathi@gmail.com

Abstract

This chapter examines the complex interplay between tradition and modernity in Arundhati Roy's novel, focusing on how cross-cultural influences reshape identity, relationships, and social structures in postcolonial India. The study explores the ways in which colonial legacies, global cultural currents, and local traditions intersect to produce hybrid forms of experience and expression. Through the fragmented narrative of the twins, Estha and Rahel, the novel reveals the tensions between personal desires and rigid societal norms, particularly those related to caste, gender, and family. This paper analyzes Roy's non-linear storytelling and rich symbolism to demonstrate how modernity disrupts established hierarchies while simultaneously reproducing new forms of exclusion. It argues that the novel presents cross-cultural encounters as both enabling and destabilizing, ultimately offering a nuanced critique of social transformation and its impact on individual consciousness.

Keywords: *Arundhati Roy, The God of Small Things, modernity, cross-cultural narratives.*

Introduction

Arundhati Roy's debut novel, *The God of Small Things* (1997), won the Booker Prize and generated a level of critical attention—and, in India, legal controversy—that is unusual even for prize-winning fiction. The controversy was itself illuminating: the novel was challenged on obscenity grounds by an Indian politician who objected to its depiction of a sexual relationship between Ammu, a Syrian Christian woman, and Velutha, an Untouchable man. That the legal challenge focused on this relationship—rather than Roy's devastating critique of colonialism, caste, Marxist politics, or family violence—reveals precisely the kind of anxiety that the novel's "Love Laws" are designed to analyze: the laws, as Roy describes them, that dictate "who should be loved. And how. And how much." Set primarily in the Kerala of 1969, with retrospective sections in 1993, the novel follows the family of Pappachi, an entomologist whose professional failure and personal tyranny have shaped two generations of destruction. This chapter examines *The God of Small Things* as a postcolonial text that interrogates the intersection of modernity and tradition, caste and gender, colonial history and contemporary political life, through a formal structure that is itself a critique of the linear historical narrative on which dominant culture depends.

11.1 Theoretical Framework: Postcolonialism, Subalternity, and Hegemony

Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak's foundational essay "Can the Subaltern Speak?" (1988) raises the question that Roy's novel poses at every level: within the social structures of caste, gender, and class that organize Kerala society, is there a position from which the most subordinated—Velutha, the Untouchable;

Ammu, the divorced woman—can speak, be heard, and receive justice? Roy's answer is a sustained negative, rendered not as abstract argument but as lived tragedy. Spivak's point is not that subalterns cannot speak but that the conditions of intelligibility within which their speech must occur systematically distort, silence, or appropriate what they say. The novel demonstrates this with terrible precision: Velutha is murdered not despite the legal system but through it, and Ammu's attempt to speak the truth is rendered ineffective by the social structures within which she must speak.

Antonio Gramsci's concept of hegemony—the way in which dominant social arrangements are maintained not only by force but by the internalized consent of the dominated—is equally pertinent. Several of the novel's most painful moments involve characters who actively enforce the laws that are destroying them. Baby Kochamma's accusation against Velutha, which she knows to be false, is motivated by her determination to maintain the social respectability of a family that has already, in her view, compromised it enough. She is not an external force of oppression but a member of the oppressed who has fully internalized the values of the oppressive system. Gramsci's framework is essential to understanding this dynamic.

11.2 Time, Form, and the Refusal of Linear History

One of the most distinctive features of *The God of Small Things* is its refusal to narrate its story chronologically. The novel begins at the end—with Rahel returning to Ayemenem as an adult, after the tragedy has occurred—and circles backward and forward through time, gradually revealing the events of 1969 through an approach that is more archaeological than linear. This formal choice is itself a political argument: Roy's

novel insists that the past is not simply prior to the present but is its constitutive content, that the Love Laws are not relics of a departed era but the organizing principles of a social order that continues fully operative into the novel's present.

The twins Rahel and Estha—whose consciousness is presented as in some sense shared, a form of twinship that exceeds biology—are the novel's primary temporal consciousness: their adult damage is the lens through which the reader comes to understand the events that produced it. This retroactive structure of revelation mirrors the structure of trauma itself. Knowledge arrives not in sequence but in fragments that gradually, and incompletely, cohere. Roy's formal experimentation is therefore not ornament but argument: the non-linearity of the narration enacts the non-linearity of traumatic memory and refuses the false comfort of a chronology that would imply causation has been understood and the past made safe.

11.3 The Love Laws and the Architecture of Caste

Roy's most sustained achievement in *The God of Small Things* is her rendering of the Love Laws not as explicit prohibitions but as the internal logic of a social order that has successfully naturalized its own violence. Ammu's attraction to Velutha is not presented as transgressive self-consciousness but as a natural response to a man of genuine dignity, intelligence, and tenderness—qualities that the social order insists he cannot possess, given his caste. The Love Laws work by maintaining a conceptual distinction between kinds of love and kinds of people: some loves are recognized and supported; others are rendered impossible through a combination of social pressure, economic dependence, and legal violence.

The novel's climax—Velutha's death at the hands of police, engineered through Baby Kochamma's opportunistic accusation—demonstrates the way in which multiple systems of power (caste, gender, communist politics, family honor) can converge to destroy an individual at their intersection. Velutha's death is presented without sentimentality and without melodrama, in a passage of such physical specificity and such terrible clarity that the reader cannot process it as anything other than what it is: a judicial murder carried out by men who know exactly what they are doing. The police officers' full awareness of Velutha's innocence, established in the novel's most chilling passage, removes any possibility of interpreting the violence as the product of error rather than design.

11.4 Colonialism's Afterlives: English, Christianity, and the Anglophile Imagination

The social world of *The God of Small Things* is saturated with the residues of British colonialism: the family's Syrian Christianity, coded in ways that map onto colonial hierarchy; the obsession with English education and English cultural production; the "Anglophile" aspirations of characters such as Chacko who simultaneously critique colonialism and reproduce it in their intimate relationships. Roy's treatment of these residues is neither nostalgic nor simply condemnatory: she shows how the colonial inheritance has been internalized in ways that complicate any clean postcolonial recuperation.

Chacko's famous analysis of his family as people "trapped outside their own history"—unable to go back but unable fully to belong to the present—is one of the novel's most searching pieces of social analysis, and the fact that it is delivered by a character who is himself deeply complicit in the

systems he describes gives it a particularly sharp irony. Roy writes in English with extraordinary richness and inventiveness, but her formal innovations—the capitalization of unexpected phrases ("the Love Laws," "the *God of Small Things*"), the fragmentation of conventional syntax—mark her use of the language as resistant to its normative conventions. She inhabits the colonial language while refusing to be inhabited by it, and this double relationship is itself a formal enactment of the postcolonial condition.

Conclusion

The *God of Small Things* is a novel that refuses the consolations of either political revolution or personal redemption. Its tragedy is not the product of individual villains—though there are individuals who commit terrible acts—but of the invisible architecture of a social order that channels human energy into the maintenance of hierarchy. Roy's formal experimentation—her non-linear temporality, her fragmented syntax, her insistence on attending to the small things that larger historical narratives overlook—constitutes an argument about what it would mean to think and write outside the Love Laws: to attend to the particular, the bodily, the marginal, and the apparently inconsequential with as much seriousness as to the historical, the political, and the systemic.

This argument, advanced through a novel of extraordinary formal and emotional power, brings the present volume's inquiry to an appropriate conclusion. Read alongside the other works examined in these chapters—from the racial hybridity of *Americanah* to the historical reclamation of *Homegoing*, from the collective trauma of *Beloved* to the gender narratives of *Half of a Yellow Sun*—The *God of Small Things*

reminds us that the literary imagination, at its most rigorous, is always also a form of political and ethical work: a practice of attention that refuses the economies of scale by which ordinary life obscures its own deepest stakes.

Works Cited

1. Fanon, Frantz. *The Wretched of the Earth*. Translated by Richard Philcox, Grove Press, 2004.
2. Gramsci, Antonio. *Selections from the Prison Notebooks*. Edited and translated by Quintin Hoare and Geoffrey Nowell Smith, International Publishers, 1971.
3. Mukherjee, Meenakshi. *The Perishable Empire: Essays on Indian Writing in English*. Oxford UP, 2000.
4. Roy, Arundhati. *The God of Small Things*. IndiaInk/HarperCollins, 1997.
5. Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, U of Illinois P, 1988, pp. 271–313.
6. Tharu, Susie, and K. Lalita, editors. *Women Writing in India: 600 B.C. to the Present*. Vol. 2, Feminist Press, 1993.
7. Tickell, Alex. *Arundhati Roy's The God of Small Things*. Routledge, 2007.

**From Moral Resilience to Psychological
Fragmentation: A Study of Female Bildungsroman
in *Jane Eyre* and *The Bell Jar***

Dr. A. Arun Daves,

*Assistant Professor, Department of English, Jawahar Science
College, Neyveli*

dave.aad1987@gmail.com

Abstract

The Bildungsroman traditionally narrates an individual's journey toward maturity and social integration. When examined through female experience, however, this progression becomes complex and often unstable. This paper explores the transformation of the female Bildungsroman through a comparative reading of *Jane Eyre* and *The Bell Jar*. While Brontë's novel presents a structured movement shaped by moral endurance and culminating in self-realization, Plath's work disrupts this pattern by depicting psychological fragmentation and unresolved identity. The study argues that this shift reflects a broader transition from nineteenth-century confidence in coherent selfhood to modern uncertainty about identity formation. By examining social expectations, gender roles, and inner conflict, the paper demonstrates how the female Bildungsroman evolves from a narrative of resolution into one marked by ambiguity, thereby expanding its relevance within an increasingly global literary framework today.

Keywords: *Bildungsroman, Feminism, Identity, Psychological Conflict, Female Development, Modernism*

Introduction

The Bildungsroman has long been associated with a movement toward maturity, coherence, and integration into society. It rests on the belief that experience, even when painful, ultimately shapes a stable and meaningful identity. Yet, when this model is examined through the experience of women, its assumptions begin to unravel. The path toward selfhood becomes less a steady progression and more a negotiation marked by conflict, hesitation, and, at times, collapse. A comparative reading of *Jane Eyre* and *The Bell Jar* reveals a decisive shift in the female Bildungsroman, from a narrative of moral resilience to one of psychological fragmentation.

In the world created by Charlotte Brontë, development remains possible despite social restriction. Jane's childhood at Gateshead introduces her to emotional neglect and injustice, yet these early experiences do not silence her. Instead, they awaken a strong sense of self. Her refusal to accept humiliation without protest marks the beginning of her moral awareness. When she speaks against Mrs. Reed, she asserts not only her anger but her dignity. This early insistence on self-respect shapes the direction of her growth.

At Lowood School, suffering takes on a different form. The rigid discipline and moral strictness imposed by authority figures attempt to enforce submission, yet Jane learns to endure without losing her individuality. The influence of Helen Burns is significant, not because Jane imitates her entirely, but because she absorbs a sense of inner strength. Jane's development at

Lowood is marked by balance. She neither rebels blindly nor submits completely but gradually forms a self-grounded in both feeling and restraint.

Jane's arrival at Thornfield deepens this process. Her position as a governess places her between social classes, creating a space where she must define herself carefully. Her relationship with Rochester becomes central to her emotional and intellectual growth. What distinguishes Jane is her insistence on equality. She refuses to accept a passive role and instead asserts her worth as a thinking and feeling individual. Her famous declaration of equality is not merely romantic but deeply ethical, affirming her belief that identity must be grounded in self-respect.

The revelation of Rochester's marriage introduces a decisive crisis. Jane is forced to choose between personal desire and moral principle. Her decision to leave Thornfield is not an act of weakness but of strength. She refuses to compromise her integrity, even at the cost of love. This moment confirms the classical pattern of the Bildungsroman, in which true growth requires adherence to ethical conviction. Jane's suffering becomes meaningful because it preserves her sense of self.

Her later return to Rochester completes her journey. The altered circumstances of their reunion create a balance that was previously absent. Jane now possesses financial independence and emotional maturity, allowing her to enter the relationship on equal terms. The narrative resolves into harmony, suggesting that selfhood can be achieved without rejecting society entirely. Yet, this resolution is not without tension. Jane's independence is ultimately expressed within marriage, indicating that her autonomy remains shaped by social structures.

In contrast, Sylvia Plath presents a world in which such resolution is no longer secure. Esther Greenwood's journey does not follow a clear path but unfolds through uncertainty and dislocation. Her experience in New York, which should represent opportunity, instead produces alienation. The expectations placed upon her are multiple and often contradictory. She is expected to succeed professionally while conforming to traditional ideas of femininity. These demands do not create opportunity but confusion, making it difficult for her to define herself.

The fig tree image captures this condition with clarity. Each fig represents a possible future, yet choosing one requires abandoning the others. This abundance of choice becomes a source of paralysis. Esther is unable to commit to a single path because each possibility appears incomplete. Unlike Jane, whose struggles lead to clarity, Esther's experiences lead to hesitation and doubt.

This uncertainty deepens into psychological distress. *The Bell Jar* metaphor expresses the suffocating nature of her condition. It suggests a separation from the world, as though she exists within a confined and distorted space. Her thoughts become disconnected, and her sense of identity begins to dissolve. In this context, suffering does not produce growth but fragmentation. The traditional structure of the Bildungsroman, in which crisis leads to resolution, is disrupted.

Esther's treatment introduces the possibility of recovery, yet the narrative refuses to provide a clear conclusion. The ending remains open, leaving her future uncertain. This lack of closure challenges the assumption that identity can be fully formed. Instead, it suggests that the process of becoming may

remain incomplete. The self is no longer stable but vulnerable to disruption.

The contrast between Jane and Esther reflects broader changes in cultural and intellectual thought. The nineteenth century often imagined identity as something that could be shaped into coherence through experience. By the twentieth century, this confidence had weakened. Identity came to be seen as unstable, influenced by psychological and social forces that resist control. The movement from *Jane Eyre* to *The Bell Jar* illustrates this shift with striking clarity.

From a feminist perspective, this transformation highlights the changing nature of women's struggles. Jane's resistance operates within a structured moral framework, allowing her to achieve a form of autonomy. Esther's struggle, however, reveals the limitations of that framework in a modern context. The expansion of opportunities does not necessarily lead to empowerment. Instead, it may create new forms of pressure that complicate the process of self-definition.

This tension is not confined to a particular culture but resonates across different contexts. In many parts of the world, women continue to negotiate between tradition and modernity, facing expectations that are both expanding and conflicting. The movement from moral resilience to psychological fragmentation reflects a broader condition in which identity is shaped by competing demands. The female Bildungsroman thus becomes a form that captures not only individual experience but wider social realities.

Narrative style further reinforces these differences. Jane's story is told from a position of reflection, allowing her to

present her life as a coherent whole. Esther's narration, by contrast, reflects immediacy and instability. The reader experiences her confusion directly, without the reassurance of resolution. This difference in narrative voice mirrors the contrast between coherence and fragmentation.

Ultimately, the comparison between these two novels reveals the transformation of the Bildungsroman as a genre. Jane's journey affirms the possibility of selfhood grounded in moral strength and social balance. Esther's narrative challenges this possibility, presenting identity as uncertain and incomplete. Together, they illustrate the evolution of the female Bildungsroman from a narrative of resolution to one of ambiguity.

This shift does not diminish the value of the genre but expands its scope. By accommodating both coherence and fragmentation, the Bildungsroman becomes a more flexible form, capable of representing diverse experiences. The journey toward selfhood is no longer defined by a fixed destination but understood as an ongoing process shaped by changing conditions.

In this sense, *Jane Eyre* and *The Bell Jar* do not stand in opposition but in dialogue. They reveal how the idea of development adapts to different historical moments, reflecting changing beliefs about identity and experience. The movement from certainty to uncertainty, from integration to fragmentation, marks not a decline but a deepening of the genre. It acknowledges the complexity of human life and the difficulty of achieving a stable sense of self.

The female Bildungsroman, as seen in these works, thus emerges as a dynamic form that continues to evolve. It challenges traditional assumptions while opening new possibilities for understanding identity. The journey it represents is no longer one of simple progression but of negotiation, shaped by forces that are both personal and social. In tracing this transformation, one gains insight not only into literature but into the changing nature of selfhood itself.

Works Cited

1. Brontë, Charlotte. *Jane Eyre*. Penguin Classics, 2006.
2. Plath, Sylvia. *The Bell Jar*. Faber and Faber, 2005.
3. Abel, Elizabeth, Marianne Hirsch, and Elizabeth Langland, editors. *The Voyage In: Fictions of Female Development*. University Press of New England, 1983.
4. Beauvoir, Simone de. *The Second Sex*. Translated by H. M. Parshley, Vintage Books, 1989.
5. Buckley, Jerome Hamilton. *Season of Youth: The Bildungsroman from Dickens to Golding*. Harvard University Press, 1974.
6. Fraiman, Susan. *Unbecoming Women: British Women Writers and the Novel of Development*. Columbia University Press, 1993.
7. Gilbert, Sandra M., and Susan Gubar. *The Madwoman in the Attic: The Woman Writer and the Nineteenth-Century Literary Imagination*. Yale University Press, 1979.
8. Moretti, Franco. *The Way of the World: The Bildungsroman in European Culture*. Verso, 2000.
9. Rose, Jacqueline. *The Haunting of Sylvia Plath*. Harvard University Press, 1992.

10. Showalter, Elaine. *A Literature of Their Own: British Women Novelists from Brontë to Lessing*. Princeton University Press, 1977.
11. Wagner-Martin, Linda. *Sylvia Plath: A Literary Life*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2003.

Postcolonial Echoes: Reclaiming Indigenous Narrative in *Ice-Candy Man*

Mr. Jagmal Singh

*Assistant Professor, Department of English,
Pt. C. L. S. Govt. College, Karnal
jsingh13kas@gmail.com*

Abstract

Postcolonial Echoes: Reclaiming Indigenous Narrative in *Ice-Candy Man* explores how Bapsi Sidhwa's novel reconstructs marginalized voices within the historical context of the Partition of India. The study examines the ways in which indigenous perspectives—often silenced in colonial and nationalist narratives—are re-centered through the child narrator, Lenny, whose fragmented yet perceptive storytelling captures the human cost of political upheaval. By analyzing themes of displacement, identity, and communal violence, the paper highlights how the novel challenges dominant historical discourses and foregrounds lived experiences over official accounts. It further investigates the intersection of gender, memory, and cultural identity in shaping a distinctly postcolonial narrative voice. Ultimately, the work argues that *Ice-Candy Man* serves as a powerful act of narrative reclamation, preserving indigenous histories while questioning the authority of imposed frameworks.

Keywords: *Bapsi Sidhwa, Ice-Candy Man, postcolonialism, Partition of India, indigenous narrative.*

Introduction

Bapsi Sidhwa's *Ice-Candy Man* (1988), published in the United States under the title *Cracking India*, is one of the most formally original novels to emerge from the literature of the 1947 partition of the Indian subcontinent. Its originality lies not in any experimental formal gesture but in its choice of narrator: Lenny, a Parsi child of eight growing up in Lahore, whose disability — polio has left her with a limp that requires her to remain in her family's garden while the adults around her move through the city — is also, paradoxically, a form of epistemological privilege. She sees everything that happens in her immediate circle with the unfiltered attention of a child who has not yet learned to look away from the things that adults prefer not to acknowledge, and she reports it with the literal precision of someone who does not yet possess the social conventions that would organize her perception into acceptable form.

The partition that she witnesses is not the partition of history books — the political decisions, the border negotiations, the formal transfer of power. It is the partition of a neighborhood: the specific, daily, incremental process by which people who lived beside each other became people who were willing to kill each other, and the specific, named individuals whose lives were destroyed in the process. Her *Beloved Ayah*, the Hindu woman who has cared for her since infancy, is taken by the *Ice-Candy Man*'s increasingly radicalized community after his own family was killed in the communal violence. Lenny watches this happen and cannot prevent it, and the novel's account of her watching — its rendering of the child's

specific combination of full comprehension and total powerlessness — is its most demanding formal achievement.

This chapter reads *Ice-Candy Man* as a study in the reclamation of indigenous narrative: the recovery of the specific, local, communal experience of partition from beneath the nationalist historiographies — both Indian and Pakistani — that have organized partition's official memory in service of the nation-building projects of the successor states. Sidhwa is a Parsi writer — a member of the Zoroastrian minority community that does not belong to any of the dominant communities whose conflict produced the partition — and her outsider position within the insider experience gives the novel its specific analytical leverage.

13.1 The Parsi Narrator and the Position of the Witness

The Parsi community's position in the partition narrative is unusual in ways that Sidhwa exploits with considerable formal intelligence. The Parsis — descendants of Zoroastrian refugees from Persia who have lived in the Indian subcontinent for over a thousand years — are neither Hindu nor Muslim, neither Indian nor Pakistani in the communally determined sense that the partition was imposing on the population of the subcontinent. They belong to no faction in the communal conflict; they are endangered by all sides, protected by none. This position of principled precarity is what makes Lenny the ideal narrator for a novel that wants to render the partition from outside the communal identifications that organized its violence.

Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak's argument in "Can the Subaltern Speak?" (1988) that the space of enunciation

available to the subaltern is always already structured by the dominant discourses through which subaltern speech must pass — that there is no pure, unmediated indigenous voice that simply awaits recovery — is relevant here, but it requires careful application. Lenny is not subaltern in the sense that Spivak primarily addresses: she is a child of a relatively privileged Parsi family, with access to the social world of Lahore's educated classes. What she is, rather, is a witness positioned outside the specific communal identifications whose clash the partition embodies, and her position outside these identifications is what allows her to see the individuals rather than the categories — to know Ayah not as a Hindu but as the specific woman who bathed her and told her stories and loved her.

Homi Bhabha's concept of the "third space" — the location of enunciation that is neither this culture nor that culture but the productive gap between them — is applicable to the Parsi narrative position that Sidhwa inhabits and that Lenny embodies. The Parsi perspective is a third space perspective: it sees both Hindu and Muslim communities with a specificity unavailable to either looking at the other, and the novel's account of the partition reflects this — it is neither Indian nationalist history nor Pakistani nationalist history but the account of the specific, local violence that both national narratives have organized their amnesia around.

13.2 Ayah, Desire, and the Female Body in the Communal Violence

Ayah — whose name is never given, who is known only by her role — is the character through whom the novel renders the specific vulnerability of women in communal violence: the

ways in which female bodies become the terrain on which communal conflicts are fought, the ways in which the violence directed at women in such conflicts is simultaneously sexual and political. The men who gather around Ayah in the pre-partition Lahore scenes — including the *Ice-Candy Man* himself — are drawn by her physical beauty and her warmth; the transformation of this attraction into the instrument of her abduction and enforced entry into a brothel is the novel's most disturbing demonstration of how the communal violence converts the specific person into the representative body.

Urvashi Butalia's oral history of partition in *The Other Side of Silence* (1998) documents the specific experience of women during the partition violence — the abductions, the forced conversions, the sexual violence, the subsequent government "recovery" operations that returned women to their communities whether or not they wished to return — and provides the historical context within which Sidhwa's fictionalization of Ayah's fate acquires its full weight. What Sidhwa adds to Butalia's historical account is the inside view: the specific texture of Ayah's pre-partition life as observed by the child who loved her, which makes her abduction not a statistic but a specific loss of a specific irreplaceable person.

13.3 The *Ice-Candy Man* and the Ordinariness of Perpetration

Dilnawaz — the *Ice-Candy Man* of the title, the man who was once simply a peddler of flavored ices and is gradually transformed by the partition's violence into the orchestrator of Ayah's abduction — is Sidhwa's most careful study in the psychology of perpetration under communal violence. He is not a villain from the novel's beginning: he is charming, attentive,

genuinely fond of both Ayah and Lenny. His transformation is produced by specific historical events — the death of his family in the violence — and by the specific social conditions that organized the violence of Lahore's Muslim community in response to those events. He does not choose evil; he is produced by conditions that make what he does the path of least psychological resistance.

Hannah Arendt's account of the banality of evil — her insistence that the most destructive historical violence is typically perpetrated not by sadists but by ordinary people who have surrendered their individual moral judgment to the momentum of a collective violence — is the relevant theoretical framework, though the specific communal context of the partition violence produces a version of this dynamic that differs in important respects from the Nazi bureaucratic context Arendt was analyzing. The *Ice-Candy Man's* violence is not bureaucratic; it is personal, organized by grief and desire and communal solidarity in ways that make it, in some respects, harder to name as evil than the more abstract form of perpetration that Arendt described. He still loves Ayah, in some sense, even as he destroys her.

Conclusion

Ice-Candy Man reclaims the partition narrative from the nationalist historiographies that have organized its official memory by insisting on the particular: the specific named individuals, the specific neighborhood, the specific texture of a communal life that the partition destroyed. Sidhwa's Parsi narrator — positioned outside the communal identifications whose clash produced the violence — is the formal instrument of this reclamation, and the child's perspective that Lenny

provides is the epistemological instrument through which the particular is preserved against the categorization that the nationalist narrative requires. The novel's most important political argument is its simplest: that Ayah was not a Hindu body representing a religious community but a specific woman with a specific face and a specific voice, and that any historical account of the partition that cannot accommodate this specificity is not history but the suppression of it.

Works Cited

1. Arendt, Hannah. *Eichmann in Jerusalem: A Report on the Banality of Evil*. Viking, 1963.
2. Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.
3. Butalia, Urvashi. *The Other Side of Silence: Voices from the Partition of India*. Duke UP, 2000.
4. Menon, Ritu, and Kamla Bhasin. *Borders and Boundaries: Women in India's Partition*. Rutgers UP, 1998.
5. Sidhwa, Bapsi. *Ice-Candy Man*. Heinemann, 1988.
6. Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, U of Illinois P, 1988, pp. 271–313.
7. Yuval-Davis, Nira, and Floya Anthias, editors. *Woman-Nation-State*. Macmillan, 1989.

**Cultural Collisions in *The White Tiger*: The
Impact of Globalization on Class and
Consciousness**

Mr. Jagmal Singh

*Assistant Professor, Department of English, Pt. C. L. S. Govt.
College, Karnal*

jsingh13kas@gmail.com

Abstract

The paper examines the transformative and often disruptive effects of globalization on social hierarchies and individual awareness in contemporary India, as depicted in Aravind Adiga's novel. The study explores how rapid economic growth and global influences intensify class divisions while simultaneously creating new aspirations and forms of self-perception. Through the narrative voice of Balram Halwai, the novel reveals the tensions between tradition and modernity, rural and urban spaces, and subservience and self-assertion. This paper analyzes how cultural collisions—manifested in language, behavior, and values—reshape consciousness and challenge entrenched systems of power. It argues that globalization, while enabling mobility and opportunity, also deepens inequality and moral ambiguity. Ultimately, the novel portrays a complex negotiation of identity within a changing socio-economic landscape, where personal ambition both disrupts and reinforces existing structures.

Keywords: *Aravind Adiga, The White Tiger, globalization, class conflict, cultural collision.*

Introduction

Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger* (2008), which won the Booker Prize in the same year as its publication, is narrated in the form of a letter — or rather, a series of letters written across seven consecutive nights — by Balram Halwai, a former driver from a poor rural family in Bihar who has become a successful entrepreneur in Bangalore by murdering his employer and fleeing with the stolen money. The letter is addressed to Wen Jiabao, the Premier of China, who is about to visit India and who, Balram presumes, will be interested in a frank account of what entrepreneurship actually requires in the contemporary Indian economy. The framing is as politically pointed as it sounds: Balram's success story is not the sanitized version that official India promotes for foreign visitors but the version that requires acknowledging the specific social structures — what Balram calls the Rooster Coop — that the Indian economy's globalization-driven growth has not dismantled.

The Rooster Coop is Balram's central analytical concept, and it is a concept worth taking seriously. He uses it to describe the specific mechanism by which the Indian poor are kept in their social position not primarily by force — not by the kind of overt coercion that historical accounts of caste oppression emphasize — but by the internalization of a social order that makes escape feel impossible and disloyalty feel like self-destruction. The roosters in the coop can see what is being done to the others; they do not try to escape because the coop has become, through the accumulated weight of socialization and dependency, the only world they know how to inhabit.

Balram's escape from the coop — through murder, through theft, through the deliberate abandonment of every form of loyalty that the coop's social arrangements have inscribed in him — is the novel's most disturbing political argument: that the social order of the Rooster Coop is strong enough that only a very specific kind of moral violence can break it.

14.1 Globalization's Uneven Development and the Two Indias

The India that Adiga renders is a country in which the economic growth produced by globalization has not distributed its benefits evenly across the social hierarchy — a country where the specific economic opportunities created by the integration of the Indian economy into global markets (the technology sector, the service economy, the consumer market for global goods) are concentrated among those already positioned to benefit from them, while the forms of economic life that the rural and urban poor depend on are disrupted without replacement by the same process. Balram's journey from rural Bihar to urban Bangalore is the journey from the India that globalization has left behind to the India that globalization is constructing, and the specific texture of his experience of both is Adiga's primary analytical instrument.

Arjun Appadurai's account in *Fear of Small Numbers* (2006) of how globalization intensifies rather than dissolves local inequalities — his argument that the anxiety produced by global cultural flows causes a retreat to ethnicity and local identity that makes minorities newly vulnerable in previously more stable situations — is relevant to the specific form of class anxiety that Adiga renders through Balram's Bangalore. The city's economic growth has created a class of newly prosperous

Indians whose prosperity is organized around their participation in the global economy, and the specific forms of social contempt that this class exercises toward those excluded from the global economy's benefits — including their drivers, their domestic workers, the laborers who built their apartments — are organized by the specific dynamics of a society in which globalization has intensified the stakes of class distinction without providing any mechanism for reducing the underlying inequality.

14.2 The Murder and the Ethics of Structural Violence

Balam's murder of his employer Ashok is the novel's most morally challenging event, and Adiga handles it with the same refusal of easy moral categories that characterizes the novel's analysis of the social structures that produced both the murderer and his victim. Ashok is not a villain in any simple sense: he is, by the standards of his class, relatively kind, and he has genuine qualms about some of the corrupt practices that his family's business requires. He is also a man whose entire way of life depends on the maintenance of the social arrangements that keep Balam and people like him in the Rooster Coop, and his kindness does not extend to any questioning of those arrangements. The murder is not justice in any formal sense; it is the only available form of exit from a social structure that offers no legitimate exits.

Structural violence — Johan Galtung's concept of the violence embedded in social structures that prevents people from meeting their basic needs and fulfilling their potential — is the framework within which Balam's act is most clearly comprehensible. The violence done to Balam by the social structure of the Rooster Coop is real, sustained, and in

conventional terms invisible: it is the violence of exclusion, of limited horizons, of the permanent availability as a resource for others' projects without the possibility of one's own. The murder makes visible, through its dramatic form, the invisible structural violence that it responds to.

Conclusion

The White Tiger is an uncomfortable novel to admire, and Adiga has not made it more comfortable by design. Balram is genuinely funny and genuinely intelligent and also, by the novel's end, someone who has killed a man and used the proceeds to build a successful business, and the novel refuses the narrative resolutions that would allow the reader to hold these facts in separate compartments. Globalization has produced the India that produced Balram; the India that produced Balram has produced a murder that the official story of India's economic rise cannot accommodate. The novel insists on the connection between these facts, and the insistence is its most significant political contribution.

Works Cited

1. Adiga, Aravind. *The White Tiger*. Atlantic Books, 2008.
2. Appadurai, Arjun. *Fear of Small Numbers: An Essay on the Geography of Anger*. Duke UP, 2006.
3. Galtung, Johan. "Violence, Peace, and Peace Research." *Journal of Peace Research*, vol. 6, no. 3, 1969, pp. 167–191.
4. Harvey, David. *A Brief History of Neoliberalism*. Oxford UP, 2005.
5. Mullaney, Julie. *Aravind Adiga's The White Tiger: A Reader's Guide*. Continuum, 2010.

6. Sen, Amartya. *Development as Freedom*. Alfred A. Knopf, 1999.
7. Varma, Rashmi. *The Postcolonial City and Its Subjects*: London, Nairobi, Bombay. Routledge, 2012.

**Memory and Identity: Reimagining the Past in
*Wide Sargasso Sea***

Ms. Mohanapriya. K

*Assistant Professor of English, Navarasam Arts and Science
College for Women, Arachalur, Erode*

brindhamohana07@gmail.com

Abstract

The Study examines the intricate relationship between memory, identity, and colonial history in Jean Rhys's re-vision of the colonial Caribbean. The novel revisits and reinterprets the backstory of Bertha Mason from Charlotte Brontë's *Jane Eyre*, foregrounding the silenced voice of Antoinette Cosway. This study explores how fragmented memories, cultural displacement, and racial tensions shape Antoinette's unstable sense of self. It also analyzes the role of narrative perspective and temporal shifts in reconstructing a past that resists singular interpretation. By situating personal memory within broader historical and postcolonial contexts, the paper argues that Rhys challenges dominant colonial narratives and exposes the complexities of identity formation in a world marked by hybridity and alienation. Ultimately, the novel presents memory as both a site of trauma and a means of reclaiming agency.

Keywords: *Jean Rhys; Wide Sargasso Sea; memory; identity; postcolonialism; hybridity.*

Introduction

Jean Rhys's *Wide Sargasso Sea* (1966) is, formally, a prequel: it tells the story of Antoinette Cosway, the first wife of Rochester in Charlotte Brontë's *Jane Eyre* — the madwoman in the attic, the figure who is kept locked upstairs so that the novel's romantic plot can proceed without her inconvenient presence. Rhys, herself a white Creole from the Caribbean island of Dominica, understood the political dimensions of this narrative exclusion with the precision of someone who had experienced analogous exclusions throughout her life: the exclusion of the Caribbean woman from metropolitan literary culture, the exclusion of the Creole identity from both the European metropolitan identity that claimed it and the African Caribbean identity that rejected it. Her novel is a work of counter-memory: a recovery of what the canonical British novel suppressed in service of its romantic and imperial plot, told from the perspective that the suppression was organized to prevent.

Rhys's literary act — the writing of a novel that gives voice to a character whom canonical British fiction had organized around the necessity of silencing — is a paradigmatic instance of what postcolonial criticism calls "writing back": the specific act of counter-narration through which colonized or marginalized subjects reclaim the stories that colonial or metropolitan narrative has constructed at their expense. Sandra Gilbert and Susan Gubar's reading in *The Madwoman in the Attic* (1979) of Bertha Mason as the projection of *Jane Eyre*'s repressed rage — the interpretation that influenced a generation of feminist readings of the novel — is, from Rhys's perspective, itself a form of appropriation: it makes Bertha/Antoinette meaningful only in relation to Jane's psychological development, only as a figure for something in the white

English protagonist's interior, rather than as a person with her own history and her own claim on the reader's attention.

15.1 The Colonial Memory and What the Archive Suppresses

Antoinette's story begins in the aftermath of Emancipation — the 1834 abolition of slavery in the British Caribbean — in the specific social landscape of the post-Emancipation Creole plantation owner: a class that has lost its economic base with the abolition of slavery, that is despised by both the former enslaved population (who call them "white cockroaches") and by the British metropolitan population (who regard them as colonial remnants unfit for respectable metropolitan society), and that inhabits a social position so precarious and so multiply stigmatized that any individual psychology formed within it would carry specific forms of damage. Antoinette's madness — in Brontë's novel, the unexplained given that allows the plot to proceed; in Rhys's, the comprehensible outcome of specific historical and social forces — is the product of this position.

Saidiya Hartman's account of the archive's violence — her argument that the historical record of colonial societies is organized around the suppression of the experiences of the colonized and the enslaved, and that recovering those experiences requires acts of creative reconstruction that go beyond what the existing archive can support — is applicable to *Wide Sargasso Sea's* project. Rhys cannot simply recover Antoinette's story from the historical record because the historical record does not contain it; she must reconstruct it imaginatively from the materials available — the Caribbean landscape, the specific historical moment of post-

Emancipation, the general structures of colonial gender relations — while acknowledging, through the novel's specific formal choices, the limits of what reconstruction can accomplish.

15.2 Language, Place, and the Creole Identity

Antoinette's relationship to language — to the specific linguistic landscape of a society organized around the interaction of English, French, and the Creole that has developed from their encounter with African languages — is the novel's most direct engagement with the question of identity and its linguistic construction. She speaks Creole with her nurse Christophine; she speaks English in formal contexts; she inhabits both linguistic registers without belonging fully to either, which is the linguistic equivalent of her social position as a white Creole who is neither fully European nor fully Caribbean.

Edouard Glissant's account of the Caribbean's linguistic situation in *Caribbean Discourse* (1989) — his argument that Creole language is not a degraded version of the European languages from which it partly derives but an original creation produced by the specific conditions of the Caribbean colonial encounter, carrying within its forms a history and a cultural intelligence that the metropolitan languages cannot contain — is the theoretical framework within which Rhys's attention to language in *Wide Sargasso Sea* acquires its full political resonance. Antoinette's Creole is not a symptom of her cultural marginality; it is the linguistic form of a cultural knowledge that the novel insists on rendering as knowledge rather than deficiency.

15.3 Rochester and the Renaming of the Self

Rochester's decision to rename Antoinette as Bertha — the English name, the name that removes the specifically Caribbean identity that Antoinette carries and replaces it with something more legible within the English domestic economy of the Gothic novel — is the novel's most concentrated figure for the colonial practice of renaming as an instrument of identity destruction. To name someone is to place them within a system of references that predetermines what they can be: Bertha is the madwoman of English Gothic fiction, a figure already constituted within that tradition's conventions; Antoinette is a specific Caribbean woman with a specific history that the name Bertha refuses to accommodate.

Homi Bhabha's account of colonial mimicry — the way in which the colonial administration simultaneously demands that the colonized resemble the colonizer and ensures that the resemblance is always imperfect, always marked by the difference that the colonial hierarchy requires — is applicable to Rochester's relationship to Antoinette: he wants her to be English enough to be a respectable wife and keeps discovering that she is Caribbean in ways that make this impossible, and the discovery produces the specific form of colonial contempt — expressed through the renaming, through the removal to England, through the eventual confinement — that the novel renders as the historical logic beneath Jane Eyre's romantic plot.

Conclusion

Wide Sargasso Sea remains one of the most formally and politically significant acts of counter-memory in the postcolonial literary tradition: a novel that recovers, through

creative reconstruction, the specific history that canonical British fiction required to suppress in order to tell its own stories, and that insists, through the specificity and the dignity of its rendering of Antoinette's experience, that the suppression was not innocent. Rhys's achievement is to have taken the most available, the most convenient, the most plot-functionally useful figure of feminine madness in the English novel and to have rendered her as a person — specific, comprehensible, the product of specific historical and social forces — whose madness is the comprehensible outcome of what was done to her rather than the given that allows the plot to proceed.

Works Cited

1. Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.
2. Brontë, Charlotte. *Jane Eyre*. Smith, Elder, 1847.
3. Gilbert, Sandra M., and Susan Gubar. *The Madwoman in the Attic: The Woman Writer and the Nineteenth-Century Literary Imagination*. Yale UP, 1979.
4. Glissant, Edouard. *Caribbean Discourse: Selected Essays*. Translated by J. Michael Dash, U of Virginia P, 1989.
5. Hartman, Saidiya V. *Scenes of Subjection: Terror, Slavery, and Self-Making in Nineteenth-Century America*. Oxford UP, 1997.
6. Rhys, Jean. *Wide Sargasso Sea*. André Deutsch, 1966.
7. Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Three Women's Texts and a Critique of Imperialism." *Critical Inquiry*, vol. 12, no. 1, 1985, pp. 243–261.

**Alternative Futures and Dystopian Vision in
*Parable of the Sower***

Dr. P. Santhosh¹, Dr. R. Ramakrishnan²

*¹Assistant Professor, Department of English, Vels Institute of
Science, Technology & Advanced Studies (VISTAS).*

santhosh.sl@velsuniv.ac.in

*²Assistant Professor, Department of English, Vels Institute of
Science, Technology & Advanced Studies (VISTAS).*

ramakrishan.sl@vistas.ac.in

Abstract

The paper explores the complex interplay between speculative imagination and social critique in Octavia Butler's novel. Set in a near-future America marked by environmental collapse, economic instability, and social disintegration, the text presents a dystopian vision that reflects contemporary anxieties while simultaneously imagining alternative possibilities for survival and renewal. This study examines how Butler constructs a fractured world through the lens of the protagonist, Lauren Olamina, whose philosophy of Earthseed offers a transformative framework for adaptation and change. By analyzing themes such as climate crisis, systemic inequality, community formation, and resilience, the paper highlights how the novel challenges deterministic notions of the future. It argues that Butler's narrative not only warns of impending societal breakdown but also proposes a fluid, hopeful reimagining of human agency and collective destiny.

Keywords: *Octavia Butler, Parable of the Sower, dystopia, alternative futures, climate change, social collapse.*

Introduction

Octavia Butler's *Parable of the Sower* (1993) was published in a specific historical moment—the early 1990s recession, the Los Angeles riots, the environmental anxieties of the post-Cold War era—and yet it reads, from any subsequent vantage point, with a prescience that speaks less to Butler's prophetic gifts than to her rigorous analytical intelligence. The novel is set in 2024–2025 in a California that has become barely recognizable: walled communities struggle to maintain order against surrounding chaos, climate change has made water an unaffordably scarce commodity, corporations have begun reintroducing debt peonage under different names, and the political system has collapsed into a series of authoritarian gestures by a government that has lost the capacity to govern. Against this backdrop, Lauren Oya Olamina—a young Black woman with hyperempathy syndrome—embarks on a journey northward after her community is destroyed and begins assembling the survivors she encounters into a new community organized around a philosophy she calls Earthseed. This chapter examines *Parable of the Sower* as a work of Afrofuturist dystopian imagination, analyzing the political and ecological vision it articulates and the ways in which Lauren's hyperempathy functions as both an individual condition and a political philosophy.

16.1 Theoretical Framework: Afrofuturism, Dystopia, and Slow Violence

Afrofuturism—a term coined by Mark Dery in 1993 and subsequently theorized by scholars such as Kodwo Eshun and Alondra Nelson—designates cultural practices that engage with African diasporic history through the idioms of speculative fiction, technology, and futurity. Butler is a foundational figure in this tradition, though her relationship to its more celebratory strands is complicated by the darkness of her imaginative vision. *Parable of the Sower* is not an optimistic text, and its speculative future is deliberately stripped of the technological utopianism that characterizes some strands of Afrofuturist thought. Butler's concern is not with transcendence but with survival, and the conditions of survival she imagines are unsparing in their extrapolation from contemporary social dynamics.

Rob Nixon's concept of "slow violence" in *Slow Violence and the Environmentalism of the Poor* (2011) is strikingly applicable to Butler's fictional world: slow violence is violence that occurs gradually and out of sight, disproportionately affecting the poor and communities of color, and whose effects accumulate into catastrophe over timescales that conventional political attention cannot track. Lauren's California is the endpoint of slow violence—a world in which the consequences of decades of environmental neglect, economic inequality, and political abdication have finally become undeniable. Fredric Jameson's argument that science fiction's primary function is to defamiliarize the present rather than predict the future provides a useful methodological orientation: Butler's 2024 is most productively read as an

argument about the dynamics of the late twentieth century and their contemporary continuations.

16.2 Hyperempathy as Political Philosophy

Lauren's hyperempathy syndrome—a condition she regards as a disability but which the novel gradually repositions as a form of ethical heightening—is the most original element of Butler's political imagination. The syndrome means that Lauren physically experiences the pain and pleasure she observes in others: when she watches someone being hurt, she feels it. This makes her extraordinarily cautious in situations of violence and extraordinarily attentive to the suffering of strangers. In a world that has responded to catastrophe by intensifying selfishness and narrowing the circle of concern, Lauren's empathic compulsion expands that circle involuntarily.

The syndrome also raises questions that the novel holds open with admirable intellectual honesty: hyperempathy compels Lauren to attend to others' pain, but does compulsory attention constitute genuine compassion? Can an ethics of care be grounded in biological compulsion? Butler does not resolve these questions, and the refusal to resolve them is a sign of the novel's philosophical seriousness. What the novel does insist on is that Lauren's leadership style—inclusive, adaptive, ruthlessly pragmatic but never sadistic—is both enabled and shaped by her inability to ignore others' experience. Her hyperempathy is the biological ground of her ethical disposition, and both together produce the novel's model of what leadership might look like under conditions of catastrophe.

16.3 Earthseed and the Theology of Change

The Earthseed philosophy that Lauren develops and records in her journal—presented in verse fragments that interrupt the diary narrative—is organized around a single central principle: "God is Change." This formulation is simultaneously theological, political, and ecological: it refuses the comfort of stable transcendent authority and replaces it with an ethic of radical adaptability. Butler was a careful reader of systems theory and evolutionary biology, and their influence is visible in Earthseed's insistence that survival depends not on resistance to change but on the capacity to shape it.

Lauren's Earthseed community, Acorn, is organized around this principle: decisions are made collectively, resources are shared, and difference—of ethnicity, religious background, sexual orientation—is treated as an asset rather than a threat. This vision of community organization resonates with what Chela Sandoval calls "differential consciousness" in *Methodology of the Oppressed* (2000): the capacity to shift between subject positions and political strategies in response to changing conditions. Earthseed is a theology of the oppressed, constructed from the materials of catastrophe and aimed not at restoration but at forward motion.

16.4 Race, Class, and the Collapse of Liberal Institutions

One of the most politically incisive aspects of *Parable of the Sower* is its treatment of the collapse of liberal institutions and the racial hierarchies that are revealed when those institutions fail. The promise of colorblindness—already an ideological fiction in the novel's implied present—becomes openly untenable in a world where survival is scarce enough

that every resource is contested along existing lines of power. The novel does not argue that racism causes the apocalypse; it demonstrates how the structures of racial capitalism that preceded the collapse provide the template for the violence that follows. Lauren's Blackness, her father's ministry, the community's mixed-ethnicity composition, and the specific vulnerability of the poor to the novel's multiple catastrophes all reflect Butler's sustained engagement with the question of how race shapes the distribution of catastrophe and the possibilities of recovery.

Conclusion

Parable of the Sower is, in the most precise sense, a work of critical imagination: it uses the conventions of speculative fiction not to escape the present but to render it more legible. Butler's California is a mirror in which readers can recognize the accelerating dynamics of climate change, economic inequality, and political dysfunction without the comfort of temporal distance. Lauren's hyperempathy and her Earthseed philosophy are proposed not as solutions but as orientations: ways of inhabiting catastrophe that preserve the possibility of collective survival. The novel's enduring significance lies in its refusal of both despair and false optimism—its insistence that the future is not determined but is always, precariously and necessarily, being made by the choices of individuals and communities who have not yet given up.

Works Cited

1. Butler, Octavia E. *Parable of the Sower*. Four Walls Eight Windows, 1993.

2. Dery, Mark. "Black to the Future: Interviews with Samuel R. Delany, Greg Tate, and Tricia Rose." *Flame Wars: The Discourse of Cyberculture*, edited by Dery, Duke UP, 1994, pp. 179–222.
3. Eshun, Kodwo. "Further Considerations on Afrofuturism." *CR: The New Centennial Review*, vol. 3, no. 2, 2003, pp. 287–302.
4. Jameson, Fredric. "Progress versus Utopia; or, Can We Imagine the Future?" *Science Fiction Studies*, vol. 9, no. 2, 1982, pp. 147–158.
5. Nixon, Rob. *Slow Violence and the Environmentalism of the Poor*. Harvard UP, 2011.
6. Sandoval, Chela. *Methodology of the Oppressed*. U of Minnesota P, 2000.
7. Womack, Ytasha L. *Afrofuturism: The World of Black Sci-Fi and Fantasy Culture*. Lawrence Hill Books, 2013.

Open City: An Introspective Exploration and the Influencing Narratives of Urban Life

Dr. R. Ramakrishnan¹, Dr. P. Santhosh²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of English, Vels Institute of Science, Technology & Advanced Studies (VISTAS).

santhosh.sl@velsuniv.ac.in

²Assistant Professor, Department of English, Vels Institute of Science, Technology & Advanced Studies (VISTAS).

ramakrishan.sl@vistas.ac.in

Abstract

This study examines the dynamic relationship between individuals and the modern urban environment, focusing on how cities shape identity, memory, and perception. The study explores the city as both a physical space and a psychological landscape, where personal introspection intersects with collective narratives. Through a reflective and interdisciplinary approach, the work analyzes themes such as anonymity, migration, cultural hybridity, and the fragmented nature of urban experience. It also considers how everyday encounters, architecture, and social interactions contribute to the formation of meaning within the city. By weaving together subjective reflection and broader sociocultural observations, this exploration highlights the city as an ever-evolving text—one that is continuously written and rewritten by its inhabitants.

Keywords: *Urban life, introspection, identity, migration, cultural hybridity.*

Introduction

Teju Cole's *Open City* (2011) belongs to a genre that is by now well established in the literary tradition — the walking novel, the novel of urban wandering, the flaneur text — and it belongs to it in ways that simultaneously honor and complicate the genre's conventions. Julius, a Nigerian-German psychiatry resident in New York, walks through the city in the evenings after his hospital shifts, and the walking is both physical and intellectual: he moves through neighborhoods while moving through ideas, encounters strangers while encountering memories, observes the present city while excavating layers of its past. The narrative has no plot in any conventional sense; it accumulates rather than progresses, building through the accretion of observed detail and intellectual reflection a portrait of a consciousness that is simultaneously very much of its city and fundamentally at odds with any simple belonging to it.

Cole's debt to W. G. Sebald — specifically to the form of Sebald's *Austerlitz* (2001), in which a European intellectual's walks through cities become the occasion for layered meditation on history, memory, and loss — is acknowledged by critics and, indirectly, by Cole himself. But Cole does something with the Sebaldian walking-text form that Sebald could not: he inhabits it with a Black consciousness, a postcolonial consciousness, a consciousness formed by the specific history of African intellectual migration to the Western metropolis. When Julius walks through Manhattan or Brussels, the history he excavates is not only the European history of the walking-text tradition; it is the history of the Atlantic slave

trade, the history of African colonialism, the history of the specific position occupied by the Black intellectual in cities that his presence has always been organized to render peripheral.

17.1 The Flaneur and His Complications

Walter Benjamin's figure of the flaneur — the detached, leisured observer who reads the city as a text, moving through its spaces with a freedom of observation unavailable to those who must move through it with purpose — is both the presiding spirit of *Open City* and its primary complication. Julius walks freely; he observes with intelligence and precision; he reads the city against the grain of its official narratives. But he is not a neutral observer, and Cole is insistent about this. He is a Black man in cities whose history of racial organization means that his freedom of movement is always potentially conditional — always subject to the kind of interruption that the flaneur's classical formulation assumed away.

The novel's most formally significant moment in this respect is the brief, almost casual mention near the novel's end of an accusation from a woman Julius knew in graduate school: that he sexually assaulted her. The accusation is neither confirmed nor denied by the narrative, and Julius does not directly address it. This structural choice — the placing of an accusation that the novel's first-person narration cannot confirm or refute, in the final pages of a text organized around the narrator's introspective authority — is Cole's most demanding formal gesture. It introduces a crack in the introspective edifice that the novel has been constructing: the possibility that the narrator's self-knowledge is as limited as anyone else's, that the penetrating observer has not observed himself.

Toni Morrison's argument in *Playing in the Dark* (1992) that canonical American literature is organized around the suppression of its Black characters' full interiority — that Black figures in white-authored American fiction serve as screens for white anxieties rather than as subjects in their own right — is the theoretical background against which Cole's insistence on Julius's full, complex, morally ambiguous interiority acquires its significance. Julius is not a moral exemplar or a victim of racism or a figure for the immigrant experience in general; he is a specific, complicated person whose observations are acute and whose self-knowledge is limited, and the combination is precisely what makes him a fully realized literary character rather than a representative figure.

17.2 History Beneath the Streets

One of the novel's most consistent formal techniques is the excavation of historical layers beneath the contemporary city's surface: Julius learns that the block on which a new building is being constructed was once the site of the first African burial ground in New York, and the discovery sends him into a meditation on the specific history of African presence in Manhattan that the contemporary city's official geography suppresses. This historical excavation is the novel's primary intellectual mode, and it connects *Open City* to the tradition of postcolonial counter-historiography — the recovery of the histories that colonial and national narratives have organized their amnesia around.

The specific history that Julius recovers — of the African workers who built colonial New York, of the enslaved people whose labor produced the prosperity on which the city's later development rested — is not incidental to his psychiatric

practice or his personal wandering; it is continuous with both. He is a doctor who attends to the hidden contents of other people's minds, a walker who attends to the hidden contents of the city's past, and a person who is, the novel gradually reveals, not attending with sufficient care to the hidden contents of his own. The introspective exploration the title promises is only partially fulfilled, and the partial fulfillment is the honest outcome.

Conclusion

Open City is the most literary and the most formally ambitious of Cole's novels, and its ambition is inseparable from its honesty: it does not offer the consolations of either the successful immigrant narrative or the political novel of racial injury. What it offers instead is the specific texture of a particular consciousness moving through particular cities, thinking with precision and feeling with care and failing, like all of us, to see itself with the clarity it brings to everything else. This combination — the acuity of the observation, the limitation of the self-observation — is the novel's definition of urban interiority, and it is the most honest definition available.

Works Cited

1. Benjamin, Walter. "On Some Motifs in Baudelaire." *Illuminations*, edited by Hannah Arendt, translated by Harry Zohn, Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1968, pp. 155–200.
2. Cole, Teju. *Open City*. Random House, 2011.
3. De Certeau, Michel. *The Practice of Everyday Life*. Translated by Steven Rendall, U of California P, 1984.

4. Morrison, Toni. *Playing in the Dark: Whiteness and the Literary Imagination*. Harvard UP, 1992.
5. Sebald, W. G. *Austerlitz*. Translated by Anthea Bell, Random House, 2001.
6. Tuan, Yi-Fu. *Space and Place: The Perspective of Experience*. U of Minnesota P, 1977.
7. Zukin, Sharon. *The Naked City: The Death and Life of Authentic Urban Places*. Oxford UP, 2010.

~18~

**De-constructing Bodies, Defacing Humanity:
Dystopian Realities in Manjula Padmanabhan's
*Harvest***

Dashrath Gatt

*Associate Professor, Department of English
Govt College for Women, Sirsa (Haryana)*

dashrathgatt@gmail.com

Abstract

Manjula Padmanabhan's milieu is completely painted in black and grey and the relations between man and family and further with society remains at the centre of her dramaturgy. Her play *Harvest* offers a critique of late twentieth-century global capitalism where human body stands divested of human element and rather becomes a site of power relations between from First world/ third world binaries. The play unravels the invisible designs and stratagems adopted by the powers at the centre, and through economic deprivation and technological control reduces individuals to consumable entities. Manjula uses stage as a vehicle for expressing her concerns on contemporary issues in the Dystopian world like erosion of human values, fractured relations, politics of body, gender and power relations, crash consumerism reducing man to the level of a commodity to be used and traded as per the technology obsessed world order demands. The paper not only exposes the violence embedded in socio-economic structures but also calls

for critical engagement with the ethical implications in a globalized world.

Key words:

Dystopia, morality, body, gender, power structures, desire

Introduction

Manjula is one of the powerful voices among women playwrights in India. Her approach and treatment of contemporary issues that plague man as well as society is wider and deeper than most of her contemporaries as her dramatic canvas raises critical questions that affect the humanity at large. The world she conceives bespeaks of the influence not only of her milieu and times but also of the Western dramatic techniques propounded by the German Bertolt Brecht, the French surrealist writer Antonin Artaud, the absurdist Samuel Beckett and Jean Genet, and the social playwrights Henrik Ibsen, Bernard Shaw and Arthur Miller. Padmanabhan uses her text as an active agent to unfold the power structure controlling the human relations. Her dramatic world is painted in black and grey; there is darkness all around, embodying impotency of human values, filth, collapse of morality, violence obscuring human rationality. She believes in the middle path where both sexes have their fair share: “I believe in the duality of human sexual identity and I truly believe in the complementarity of the gendered life, the idea that we are not complete as single entities and that a combination of opposites makes us whole” (Dutta 2015). Her plays ask for serious deliberations about the society of her times and the future the world is heading for. In her two plays *Harvest* (1997) and *Lights Out!* (1984) her social concerns about human being as well as Indian society of late

twentieth century find potent expression. In *Harvest*, Padmanabhan deals with this commoditization of the healthy third world body with the help of significant advances in transplant medicine. The textual staging of organ trading in *Harvest* brings luxury but leads to dehumanization, forced surveillance, and the family's fragmentation as they become mere commodities for Western consumption.

18.1 Discussion

Harvest, a dystopian play, projects first/third world hegemonic/subservience clash. The play is written in the backdrop of the menace of organ selling and transplanting which juxtaposes first and third world binaries. Om Prakash a poor worker has signed an agreement with the agents of first world for selling his organs. While Om's aged mother is ignorant about her son's decision and seems worried when their poor cubicle gradually gets spruced-up by the agency Om's wife Jaya has some inkling about the deal made by Om. Though Jaya appears the most rational and empowered character in the play, still she is forced to wear many hats. As the registration agency prefers an unmarried donor, Om hides his marital status and shows Jaya as his sister and wife of his brother Jeetu. Like in *Lights Out!* women are again at the receiving end in this play. Ma, Om's mother questions this name/relation changing but her objection is brushed aside:

MA. Who is Jeetu, now? Is he a son? Or a son-in-law?

OM. Nothing's changed! The words are different, that's all.
(*Harvest* 18)

The buyer starts with Om but his actual target is Jaya for carrying forward his progeny, a perennial desire of male species. Act I in *Harvest* depicts a journey of a poor marginalized labour class family from a one-room tenement in a dirty slum area to that of a spruced up, well furnished with all the electronic house, with specified food supplements. The change apparently has taken place after the signing of the contract by Om for selling his body organs to the American buyer and in lieu of all this the family has been showered with all the gifts by the agency working for the organ buyer. Om after signing the agreement loses every right over his body; his decision has a bearing on others' life as well because he is a husband, a brother and a son. He has been reduced to a guinea pig. In this trade of organ donating/buying world India has been depicted as a budding market. Virgil the organ buyer appears on TV as a beautiful woman as Ginni just to lure the innocent Indian donors who ironically call her an 'angel' but Jaya can see through the facade: "Huh! An angel who shares her bed with her dinner?" (*Harvest* 48). And further she tears apart the designs of the buyers: '...it's been two months, you know! Time to collect their fattened broiler!' (*Harvest* 50) Clinton Trowbridge shows the dilemma the modern man is facing today: "...how can a person keep his sense of right and wrong while grappling for a living in a business world which recognizes only the principle of the survival of the fittest". (Trowbridge, 224)

The play highlights the centre/margin politics: the Occidental powers represented by America are at the centre whereas the Orientals like India are at the periphery. The powerful adopts patronizing attitude towards the weak, represented by Ginni: "I get to give you things you'd never get

in your lifetime and you get to give me...well...maybe my life (*Harvest* 41). The character of Ginni exemplifies an extreme form of consumerist desire. She approaches Om's body not as a human entity but as something that can be modified and consumed at will, turning it into a kind of personalized commodity as the futuristic world is becoming a trading site for decapacitated and vulnerable human bodies. The entire family's longing for a more secure and dignified existence leads them into a morally compromising arrangement. S. Mathur 's comments about the designs of the first world to exploit the third world natives shows that it is "through this manipulation of desire, this illusory reversal of the (first-world) seeing "I" becoming the object of the (third-world) gaze, that science engenders the native." (Mathur, 129) What begins as a hopeful attempt to escape poverty gradually becomes a trap that deepens their exploitation. Desire becomes as double-edged—fueling aspiration while simultaneously reinforcing systems of control and dehumanization.

The operation of orient/occident, colonized/colonizer designs reveals not only the stratagem adopted by the western powers but also exposes the ills plaguing the Indian society. The abject poverty and hunger have snatched inherent compassion of mankind and reduced Indians as objects for the use of wealthy, powerful 1st world represented by America. Manjula uses 'cage' as a metaphor to highlight the pathetic living conditions of the Indians from which there is no escape and like a caged animal the poor Indians are used for enjoyment and happiness of their powerful masters (Americans) : "It was like being in a cage shaped like a tunnel. All around, up, down, sideways, there were men..." (*Harvest* 11). The game of mask and reality runs throughout the play; Om/Jaya relationship has

the post-truth implications where real/unreal stand merged and blurred. The entire family agrees to play hide-and seek-game with their American saviour while eyes fixed on the money that they would get in lieu of Om's services (donating organ). Except some murmurs from Jaya and Ma, Om's explanation makes all willingly become a part of design to milk the rich foreigner: "Nothing's changed. The words are different, that's all" (*Harvest* 18). Contrary to Jaya, who very well understands the motives of the organ buyers in providing the facilities, ignorant Om can't see through their evil designs, allowing himself to be used and exploited: "How little you understand of Westerners! They're not small, petty people." (*Harvest* 47) The human body, once considered inviolable, is reduced to a tradable asset within a profit-driven system. The play powerfully highlights how turning body parts into commodities leads to a profound loss of identity and worth. Om's decision to sell his organs is not an act of free will but one shaped by economic coercion. This raises ethical questions: The play also shows how technology facilitates dehumanization. Surveillance devices monitor every aspect of the donor's life, erasing privacy and individuality. The home, traditionally a private space, becomes a site of corporate control. As a result, human relationships deteriorate, and emotional bonds weaken under systemic pressure.

Poverty and joblessness force the helpless people to redefine the sanctity behind social relations. But its effect varies as per their gender; while for men it is just routine and is taken very casually, but for women—Ma and Jaya—they can't accept this but are compelled to. But Jaya can't adept to this new role from being a wife to a sister: "And calling me your sister—what's that? If I'm your sister, what does that make you? Sister,

huh! My forehead burns, when I say that word, sister!”(*Harvest* 20). Women in Indian patriarchal society exist in physicality only and their body remains the site of politics, leaving them vulnerable and muted, and victims of visible as well as invisible violence. Lucy Nevitt while writing about violence against women in the social fabric comments: “Violence tells us things about the culture that produced it: the kinds of power relationships on which it is built, the attitudes and values that it takes for granted.” (Nevitt 29) No doubt, Manjula’s world is replete with issues affecting the lives of women, though she refuses to be labelled as a feminist as the term would be arresting for her free, independent self: “Feminism is an ideology I find interesting, but also limiting. Perhaps most ideologies are limiting to creativity? Anyway, to answer your question, no, I do not classify my work as feminist theatre” (Biswas 2010). Jaya, though belonging to a slum family, feels disturbed and seized of her new identity with which she can not come to terms with but the lure of money finally makes her to accept her changed identity before the buyer. Om’s decision has left Jaya fuming: “If you were dead I could shave my head and break my bangles—but this? To be a widow by slow degrees? To mourn you piece by piece? Should I shave half my head? Break my bangles one at a time?” (*Harvest* 21)

Virgil’s appearance on TV as a beautiful, charming Ginni is a ploy to trap the poor, white skinned obsessed Indians into scheme of things reaching up to Jaya to impregnate her through technological ways so as to propagate his gene. Ginni, the organ buyer, admonishes Om when he is not following the food schedule prescribed for him, and brings to the fore the interplay of culture hierarchy: “...I know, it’s part of your culture—it’s what your people do when they want to Avoid

Conflict and it's even got a name...it's called 'face saving' (*Harvest* 37). The cultural hegemony becomes further evident when Ginni lectures: "Why did Zhya sneeze? You know how terrified I am of cold, Auwm! Ever since we eradicated colds from here (America) where I live, it's like having the plague!" (*Harvest* 40). The discourse smacks of the power that the first world enjoys over the poor third world. Poverty turns all members into selfishness. Even Jeetu's mother does not want her son at home because he is a burden on the family. Gilbert says that Jeetu becomes a "cybernetic organism, a human-machine hybrid." (Gilbert, 128) Ma appears completely indifferent to the fate of Jeetu when he is taken away in place of Om and remains absorbed in watching TV. Pooja Sancheti while summing up *Harvest* says that the play shows "how humans are commodified in biomedical and capitalist discourse." (Sancheti, Beacon, 2022) The human body exists as a commodity to be purchased, whole or piecemeal by the American consumers.

Conclusion

The ethical issues in *Harvest* go far beyond the trafficking of organs, raising deeper concerns about human dignity and fundamental rights. The play presents a world where the instinct to survive eclipses moral responsibility, and human worth is reduced to economic value. By weaving together themes of dystopia, human desire, and survival stripped of dignity, the play reveals the disturbing impact of widening economic disparities and unchecked technological control. Jaya understands the reality behind patronizing posture of boorish, selfish organ buyer and asks her husband: "And where does that leave you? Two thirds a man? Half a wit? . . .

We grow on trees . . . in the bushes!” (*Harvest* 22). Her protest signifies the colonized questioning the colonizer - a post-modern, post-colonial feature of Manjula’s writings. Through her play Manjula expresses her concerns with regard to technological interventions into human life as *Harvest* serves as a cautionary vision to the world with an urge to reflect on the moral consequences of globalization and to critically examine what is truly lost in the pursuit of progress.

Works cited

1. Biswas, Praggnaparamita. Mail Interview with Manjula Padmanabhan on 01.12.2010.
2. http://www.academia.edu/27212705/Interview_with_Manjula_Padmanabhan.pdf. Web. 25 May 2017.
3. Dutta, Amrita. “And still I rise: Why Manjula Padmanabhan never came to terms being the second sex.” *The Indian Express* 4 October 2015. New Delhi ed.: P 2. Print.
4. Gilbert, H. 2006, Manjula’ Padmanabhan’s *Harvest* : Global technoscapes and the International trade in human body organs. *Contemporary Theatre Review*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 123-130.
5. Mathur, S. Caught between the Goddess and the Cyborg: Third-world Women and the Politics of Science in Three Works of Indian Science Fiction. *The Journal of Commonwealth Literature*, 2004, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 119-138.
6. Nevitt, Lucy. “Theater and Violence.” Quoted in Bloom Davida’s *Rape, Rage and Feminism in Contemporary American Drama*. New York: Macmillan, 2013. Print. Web. 20 May 2017.

7. <http://www.worldcat.org/title/theatreviolence/oclc/824657615/editions?editionsView=true&referer=br>
8. Padmanabhan, Manjula. *Harvest*. Twickenham: Aurora Metro Press, 2015. Print.
9. Sancheti, Pooja. Reading Manjula Padmanabhan's *Harvest* in 2022. The Beacon: Reading, Writing, Illuminating. October 22, 2022
10. <https://www.thebeacon.in/2022/10/22/pooja-sancheti-reading-manjula-padmanabhans-Harvest-in-2022/>
11. Trowbridge, Clinton. "Arthur Miller: Between Pathos and Tragedy", *Modern Drama*, 10 No. 2 (Dec. 1967).

Digital Voice and Decolonisation: A Study of Blogging as Identity

Dr. P. Anisha

*Assistant Professor of English,
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts and Science,
Kaliyakkavilai, Kanyakumari District, TamilNadu.*

*(Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar, University,
Tirunelveli.)*

dashrathgatt@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper explores the theme of identity and self-discovery in *Americanah* by Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie, focusing on the protagonist Ifemelu's transnational journey between Nigeria and America. It examines how migration, cultural displacement, and racial experiences shape her evolving sense of self. The study highlights the importance of tracing one's roots in order to maintain identity and belonging within a globalized world. Through narrative techniques such as flashbacks and blog writing, Adichie portrays the complexities of dual identity and the challenges of assimilation. Ifemelu's blog serves as a critical space for self-expression, resistance, and decolonisation, enabling her to articulate experiences of race and marginalization. The paper further analyses how community, particularly the African Students Association, contributes to her sense of belonging. Ultimately, the study argues that identity recovery is achieved through self-

awareness, cultural reconnection, and the reclaiming of one's authentic voice, culminating in Ifemelu's return to Nigeria as a transformative act of self-realization.

Introduction

Tracing the roots or identity is essential for any individual who lives in a society. People who forget their roots, moves from their native place to other place and lose their identity. They face many problems because their identity is at risk. The concept of identity is an important component for social life. This is important for everyone because it helps to understand who you are and where you belong in society. Just like how knowing the roots of a tree helps to understand its growth, knowing one's identity roots helps them to understand how they have become the person they are today. It is like having a map that guides in life, helping one make sense of their experiences and relationships.

Self discovery unlocks the doors to inner peace and fulfilment. Cultural clashes between the two nations America and Nigeria shapes Ifemelu's journey of self-discovery. Adichie presents the journey of self-discovery through the protagonist, Ifemelu. Ifemelu's self-discovery unfolds gradually throughout the novel, shaped by her experiences as a Nigerian immigrant in America and her reflections on identity, race, and belonging. Adichie uses various narrative techniques to portray Ifemelu's journey of self-discovery. The novel employs flashbacks to Ifemelu's childhood in Nigeria, providing insight into her formative years and the cultural influences that shape her identity. These flashbacks serve to contrast Ifemelu's Nigerian upbringing with her experiences in America, highlighting the complexities of her dual identity. Through Ifemelu's

relationships and interactions with others, Adichie delves into the intricacies of race and its intersection with culture, privilege, and power dynamics. Adichie seamlessly integrates these blog entries into the narrative, offering a compelling glimpse into Ifemelu's evolving sense of self.

Rediscovering the identity is the journey of self-empowerment and growth. In the novel *Americanah*, the protagonist Ifemelu's experiences of discrimination and alienation in America prompt her to embark on a journey of recovering her Nigerian identity. Adichie challenges the notion of a singular identity by portraying Ifemelu's struggle in recovering her multifaceted identity as both Nigerian and American. Also, Adichie's exploration of race and culture underscores the importance of recovering one's authentic identity in the face of societal pressures and expectations.

Blog writing serves as a tool of decolonisation and empowerment. One key part of Ifemelu's identity formation involves writing. A unique component of *Americanah* that distinguishes the novel from other postcolonial novels which address concerns of identity and subject formation is Ifemelu's use of blogging as a way to establish her voice and to connect with the world. Ifemelu tried to stabilize her identity through her blog. She started writing blog. It is through a blog that Ifemelu can fully compose her ideas openly and honestly.

The simple act of writing allows Ifemelu to assert and develop herself as she is writing the posts. She can anonymously shape herself however she would like to be. She realised her strong self, independence and started to voice out the things that she was interested. Her blogs became popular suddenly and she set up a link for donations. She got a huge and

overwhelming contributions. While her blog in America starts as an expression of her identity, it becomes something separate and unfamiliar: “The more she wrote, the less sure she became. Each post scraped off yet one more scale of self until she felt naked and false” (5). This begins with her American blog as she becomes more and more invested in the comments. She finds herself encouraged by some and angered by others. The blog becomes synonymous with her identity, and she reflects: “Now that she was asked to speak at roundtables and panels, on public radio and community radio, always identified simply as The Blogger, she felt subsumed by her blog. She had become her Blog” (306). Through her blog, Ifemelu created her own identity in America without the help of others. Through her blog, Ifemelu hopes to create a space where she can express herself freely, as well as a safe space where her readers can also express themselves. Ifemelu clearly states this intention in the following post:

This is for the Zipped-Up Negroes, the upwardly mobile American and Non-American Blacks who don’t talk about Life Experiences That Have to Do-Exclusively with Being Black. Because they want to keep everyone comfortable. Tell your story here. Unzip yourself. This is a safe space. (307)

Ifemelu clearly states her intention to offer a platform of free expression to American Blacks as well as Non-American Blacks, where they can express themselves freely. She wants her blog to be a place for others to find their voice too.

Blogging is a platform for diverse voices on race. Ifemelu starts a blog about race. The issues of race are scattered throughout her blog. Ifemelu describes many problems, incidents and assumptions that she has experienced with the

whites. The plight of the blacks cannot be always noticed or understood by the whites. She even presents everything very openly and also humorously. Comparing Ifemelu's blog in America to her blog in Nigeria reveals another significant change in her identity. Initially, she wrote to find a sense of belonging and to speak honestly about racism in America. This post comes out as the result of racist experiences of the blacks in America. Through her blog, Ifemelu addresses the many ways she has felt wide-reaching grasp of racism. Sharing her writing online allows her to connect to the words she suppressed for too long. As a part of sharing her experiences, Ifemelu wants to know the experiences of others. Censoring herself prevented her from reaching self-actualization.

Blogs were new, unfamiliar to her. But telling Wambui what happened was not satisfying enough; she longed for other listeners, and she longed to hear the stories of others. How many other people chose silence? How many other people had become black in America? How many had felt as though their world was wrapped in gauze? (296)

Adichie's use of rhetorical questions exposes Ifemelu's inner search for identity and connection with others. Through writing, she gains the answers. She gains the authority to share her worldwide and connect with others in an open conversation.

The most positive change occurs for Ifemelu when she discovers the African Students Association at her university. This transnational and Pan-African organization helps Ifemelu feel accepted and reminds her that she is not alone. Many immigrants undergo the same identity shock and loneliness if they do not have meaningful connections with people who have experienced something similar. Sharing jokes about America

and their home countries, Ifemelu finds a true place of belonging: “Here, Ifemelu felt a gentle, swaying sense of renewal. Here, she did not have to explain herself” (171). Students in the African Students Association further advise her to make friends with other international students because they can relate to the immigration experience of otherness in America. These new groups can help Ifemelu adapt to life in America, but none can fully replace the connections she had at home.

Returning home is the essential step in identity recovery. Later on, Ifemelu did not feel any belongingness in America. Ifemelu realizes that she is attached to Nigeria, a matter that enhances her sense of belonging. So she decided to return to Nigeria to experience her own identity. She wanted to relish her identity. Soon, she returned to Nigeria and found herself and also the love of her life. After a while, she started to live with her Nigerian boyfriend Obinze. When Ifemelu returns to Nigeria, she imagines Lagos with a distinct personality like the American cities. She finds both she and the city have changed. Later, however, she writes her first blog post about transnational Nigerians who whine about conditions in Lagos after returning from America. She recognizes the foolishness of griping and finds, instead, the qualities of Lagos she most admires: “Lagos has never been, will never be, and has never aspired to be like New York, or anywhere else for that matter. Lagos has always been indisputably itself” (421). This certain and stubborn nature of Lagos is exactly what she loves. Ifemelu portrays Lagos as a reflection of her own identity transformation. She discovers in America that she does not want to speak or act in a certain way in order to appease those around her. She comes to fully

embrace her identity like the city she finally feels a sense of belonging.

In conclusion, *Americanah* presents identity as a dynamic and evolving process shaped by cultural, social, and personal experiences. Ifemelu's journey illustrates the struggles of displacement, racial awareness, and the search for belonging in a foreign land. Her experiences in America expose the complexities of race and identity, while her blog becomes a powerful tool for self-expression and empowerment. Through writing, she negotiates her position within a society that constantly defines her by race and difference.

The novel emphasizes that losing connection with one's roots can lead to confusion and alienation, but rediscovering those roots enables personal growth and self-acceptance. Ifemelu's return to Nigeria symbolizes not just a physical movement, but a deeper psychological and emotional reconnection with her true self. Adichie ultimately suggests that identity is not fixed but multifaceted, and true fulfillment comes from embracing one's cultural heritage while acknowledging lived experiences. Thus, the novel underscores the importance of self-discovery, cultural awareness, and the courage to define one's identity on one's own terms.

Works Cited

Primary Source:

1. Adichie, Chimamanda Ngozi. *Americanah*. Harper Collins Publishers, 2013.

Secondary Sources:

2. Arthur, John A. *African Diaspora Identities: Negotiating Culture in Transnational Migration*. Lexington Books, Lanham, 2010.
3. Bulawayo, NoViolet. *We Need New Names*. Random House, 2013.
4. Cruz-Gutiérrez, Cristina. 'Hair Politics in the Blogosphere: Safe Spaces and the Politics of Self-Representation in Chimamanda Adichie's *Americanah*.' *Journal of African Cultural Studies*, 2019, pp. 65-66.
5. Fanon, Frantz. *Black Skin, White Masks*. Trans. Richard Philcox. New York: Grove Press, 2008.
6. Flodqvist, Hanna. "In Formation within the Nation." *Journal of Identity Studies*, vol. 8, no. 2, 2020, pp. 27.
7. Hall, Stuart. *Cultural Identity and Diaspora*. Routledge, 1996.
8. Iwuchukwu, Onyeka F. "Racism and Identity in Onwueme's *Riot in Heaven*." vol.15, no. 1, March 2013, pp. 7.

Contemporary World Literature

A Global Perspective

ABOUT THE EDITORS



Dr. P. Bala Shanmuga Devi

*Principal,
Nilgiri College of Arts and Science (Autonomous),
Thaloor, Tamilnadu.*



Dr. B. Pavithra,

*Associate Professor of English,
PSG College of Arts and Science,
Coimbatore, Tamilnadu.*



Dr. Ellakkiyaa Sankar

*Assistant Professor of English,
Andaman Law College,
Thanjavur, Tamilnadu.*



Dr. Abhishek Upadhyay

*Assistant Professor
Department of Management - MBA,
Arka Jain University,
Jamshedpur, Jharkhand.*



IVARIN PUBLICATION

Contact Number: 9445796239

Published: 20th April, 2026

© 2026 Ivarin Publications All Rights Reserved.

